

the Town had a five million dollar budget and she predicted then they were increasing the budget each year and would be at thirty million and now we are twenty seven million now and looking at thirty million quite soon.

Mr. Doney knew when he started working with the budget in 1974 when he first came to the Town, there were a certain number of personnel and every year through the Finance & Taxation Committee meetings, the budget was dissected to see what it consists of and there is nothing in that budget which is not identifiable and things have grown. Mayor Marix agreed they were all identifiable and they all knew that. Mr. Heeke felt they went through line item budget and staff prepares a budget with a lot of caution and care and each year it is refined and improved. He suggested if there were some thoughts that they had during the reviews with the Department Heads, the Town Manager share them with the Committee and if something is not in the budget it could be brought to the attention of the Committee and if there was something that was eliminated it should be pointed out to them.

Mr. Heeke agreed with Mrs. Wiener and didn't believe they could get in and do each Department's budget. Mayor Marix stated she was not asking that. Mr. Doney understood what the Mayor was saying but the problem he had with it is the assumption that in their professional responsibility preparing a justifiable budget, they must be putting something in there that shouldn't be there. Mayor Marix did not agree stating again the least important items she would like to know what they are, and that doesn't mean they get thrown out but simply a matter of being interested in what are the lowest priorities and she believed there was a misunderstanding there on Mr. Doney's part, as she didn't believe this would take more time. Mr. Heeke called for an end to this discussion.

PALM BEACH COUNTY MUNICIPAL LEAGUE SPECIAL ASSESSMENT FOR LEGAL FEES. Mr. Doney stated this is a request for the payment of \$525 for a special assessment for legal fees in defending the actions of the Countywide Planning Council. Motion was made by Mr. Weinberg to authorize the payment of \$525 for the special assessment for legal fees by the Palm Beach County Municipal League. SEconded by Mrs. Wiener. On roll call, the motion carried.

EIGHTIETH BIRTHDAY OF THE TOWN - April 17, 1991. Mr. Doney asked the Mayor and council if there was anything they wished to do in recognition of that. Mr. Heeke asked if the Council wished to have this recognized in any way? Mayor Marix suggested they talk with Mrs. Maxwell as that is her birthday also. Mr. Heeke requested if they have any special thoughts on this they should communicate them to the Town Manager.

COMMUNITY SERVICE SIGNS. Mr. Moore explained the Zoning Commission declined to take up this issue which leaves the request on the floor to the Town from the Palm Beach Community Chest Red Feather sign. Mr. Heeke suggested there are a number of organizations who have consistently over the past ten or fifteen years, had a sign on a temporary basis erected in the Memorial Fountain area, and he believed they could recognize and limit the location of a sign of the Red Feather as to time, size and location. He suggested it be no larger than two by four feet and no higher than six feet for a period of two or three weeks for those organizations who have consistently been in existence for fifteen years. He thought it should be on Royal Palm Way or some area like that. He believed those organizations, such as the School and the Bethesda Church who have their festivals and erect signs on their property should be allowed to be continued on a very temporary basis. Mr. Heeke believed that this could be handled administratively to which the Mayor and Town Council agreed. Mr. Moore stated he would need direction from the Council to call these temporary signs as they are now prohibited. Mrs. Wiener felt this was part of a small community feeling and the guidelines with regards to fifteen years and limitation on the time, size and location. Mr. Heeke suggested the time allowed should be no longer than three weeks.

XVI. ADJOURNMENT. There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 8:52 PM.

TOWN COUNCIL MINUTES OF MARCH 12, 1991
HELD AT 5:01PM on page 3156

Grace T. Peters
Town Clerk

MINUTES OF THE TOWN COUNCIL MEETING
HELD ON MARCH 20, 1991

I. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL. A special meeting of the Town Council to consider zoning matters was called to order by President Heeke on March 20, 1991 at 9:30 AM in the Town Hall Council Chambers. On roll call, the following were found to be in attendance: Mayor Marix, President Heeke, President Pro Tem Weinberg, Councilwoman Douthit, Councilman Ilyinsky, Councilwoman Wiener. Also attending for all or portions of the meeting were: Town Manager Doney, Town Attorney Randolph, Mr. Moore, Mrs. Peters, Mr. Zimmerman, Mr. Frank and from Adley, Brisson & Engman Associates, Mr. William Brisson.

II. INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: Invocation was given by Mrs. Peters. Pledge of Allegiance was led by President Pro Tem Weinberg.

III. PROOF OF PUBLICATION: Mr. Moore reported the Proof of Publication has been filed.

IV. APPROVAL OF AGENDA: Motion was made by Mr. Ilyinsky, seconded by Mr. Weinberg to approve the agenda as printed. On roll call, the motion carried unanimously.

V. PROCEDURES FOR COMMENTS BY GENERAL PUBLIC: Mr. Moore indicated the staff will put forward the Zoning Commission recommendations and public comments will be taken, the Town Council will deliberate and then a motion and the vote is taken.

VI. ADMINISTRATIVE PROPOSALS AND/OR RECOMMENDATIONS (ITEMS 1 THROUGH 4) AND RECEIPT OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT OF THE ZONING COMMISSION DATED FEBRUARY 15, 1991.

Mr. Moore explained the Zoning Commission's recommendation is to amend SubSection (e) of Section 5.33.

Mr. Moore reported the Zoning Commission recommended approval of the proposal as submitted by the Town Administration and as modified by the Town's planning consultant in his Technical Memorandum dated 1-24-91 to amend footnote (3) of Section 4.20 A. "Schedule of Lot, Yard and Bulk Regulations" and to amend Section 5.30, "Yard Regulations" by adding a new subsection (e) to Section 5.33 to read as follows:

Item (B) Footnote (3) of Section 4.20 A:

"(3) With the exception of arcades and colonnades in the C-WA District, all buildings shall be set back so as to provide at least ten foot wide pedestrian walkway between the street curbline and the building, exclusive of beautification strips, not more than five (5) feet of which may be on the Town street right-of-way, where appropriate, and additionally, to provide for the minimum building front yard setback, which shall be measured from the inside (lot side) of said required pedestrian walkway.

Within the C-WA District, arcades or colonnades may be constructed, subject to approval as a Special Exception, over the sidewalks in the required front yard setback, provided they meet the requirements of Section 5.33 (e)."

Mr. Brisson addressed the Council stating they felt it was more consistent that the aspect of colonnades and arcades be included as a Special Exception and they have modified the language somewhat.

Mr. Moore explained Item (b) is as follows:

"(e) Within the C-WA District, arcades or colonnades a Special Exception, may be constructed over sidewalks or ways, provided that they shall not project nearer than three (3) feet to the face of the street curb line, nor more than ten (10) feet but not less than seven (7) feet from the exterior wall of the building; and, provided that no support shall be nearer than three (3) feet to the face of the curb and said installation shall have a minimum of nine (9) feet of vertical clearance.

Administrative
Proposals and
Recommendations
Zoning Comm.

The design of such arcades or colonnades shall be based upon the "Worth Avenue Design Guidelines" and shall be subject to review and approval by the Architectural Commission.

The Worth Avenue Design Guidelines are hereby incorporated and adopted as part of this Zoning Ordinance as if fully set forth herein."

Mrs. Douthit noted they need seven foot clearance from the front door to the columns, but then they need three feet on the outside, which she didn't understand. Mayor Marix thought it was saying they could not have a column on a ten foot sidewalk.

Mr. Adrian Winterfield addressed the Council noting the footnote states there is an exception to the ten foot requirement and if that exception means there should be additional footage to allow for the columns, he thought appropriate language should be inserted so there is no question that it is ten minus the columns or ten plus the columns. Mr. Brisson responded this would have to be measured from the outside of the column from the building, assuming a column would be about eight inches.

Mr. Heeke didn't believe the wording said that. He thought it could be stating they were measuring from two faces from the way it is worded. Mr. Brisson believed it should be modified to state it is measured from the two faces of the columns. Mr. Weinberg asked if all columns could be put three feet from the curb? Mr. Brisson responded they could be closer than the three foot.

Mrs. Douthit didn't believe this could be done with just one building, and it would have to be done by a group of buildings.

Mayor Marix asked if the piece of sidewalk which would be on the outside of the pillar was right-of-way to which Mr. Moore responded affirmatively. Mayor Marix asked if they could decide that they could put flowers there? Mr. Moore advised that as a part of the Special Exception application, if the applicant wishes to plant that area, the individual departments would comment on the aspect of it. He believed language could be put into the Ordinance allowing that. Mrs. Douthit agreed.

Mr. Moore asked Mr. Randolph if this would be appropriate for the Council to hear about the planting when the Special Exception application is being considered? Mr. Randolph responded anything that relates to the building would be appropriate to be looked at, but there are no rules or regulations regarding that at the present time. Mr. Heeke believed it was the Town's right-of-way and the Town has control over it and is not relinquishing that control and he believed this would have to be an ad hoc situation and if someone wants to use our right-of-way, it would be reviewed.

Mr. Randolph agreed it was not a zoning consideration.

Mr. Heeke stated because this comes to the Council as a Special Exception, the Council will have control over the actual width of the column itself. Mr. Randolph suggested they may want to indicate the column shall not be any wider than necessary to act as a support. Mr. Brisson did not believe this should be included as it might interfere with the actual style.

Mr. Weinberg moved that Item 1 A and B be approved with the modification to the language proposed by the Zoning Commission which makes it clear the measurements are taken from the exterior of the colonnade and that shall be the measuring point for the three and seven feet measurements. Seconded by Mrs. Douthit. On roll call, the motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Moore explained Item 2 (a) amends Section 4.20, Schedule of Lot, Yard and Bulk Regulations to add a new footnote 18 (a) applicable to the R-B District to read as follows: "Maximum overall height of a building in the R-B District shall be twenty seven (27) feet and twenty eight (28) feet for all other roof-styled structures.

Item 2 B amends Section 5.48 Special Exception to Height Regulations, Special Exception Structures by adding a new paragraph to read: "In order to encourage increased open space, landscaped open space and architectural detail and merit, the Town Council may allow for an increase of maximum building height in the R-B District, upon a finding being made by the Town Council that the proposed increase in height for a contemplated Special Exception Structure is in the public interest, that careful attention is given to architectural detail and that it meets the standards of Section 6.40 and the goals and guidelines set forth herein. The Town Council shall require a study and recommendation by the Architectural Commission which recommendation shall, among other things, address the issue of the architectural merit of the proposed structure, giving due consideration to the relationship of the proposed structure to the surrounding R-B structures."

Mr. Moore stated the Zoning Commission heard that proposal and on a vote of 5.1, the Zoning Commission recommends approval of the Item 2 A with the following modification to read: "18A - Maximum overall height of a building in the R-B District shall be twenty-seven (27) feet for flat-roofed structures and thirty (30) feet for all other roof-styled structures."

Mr. Moore explained on Item 2 B, the Zoning Commission recommended this be deferred for further study, such study to include the criteria for such Special Exceptions submitted by Mr. Grace. Mr. Brisson advised the ceiling heights are not customary for the Town of Palm Beach due to the style and architectural designs one typically sees in the Town. He indicated ceiling heights are usually 11 to 12 feet and with the height recommended, they would have to be eight or nine feet.

Mr. Heeke called for public comment and there was none.

Mrs. Wiener moved the Town Council approve the recommendation of the Zoning Commission regarding Section 4.20 Footnote 18 A addressing the maximum overall height of the buildings in the R-B District and it shall be 27' for flat roofed structures and 30' for all other roof styled structures. Seconded by Mr. Ilyinsky. On roll call, the motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Moore reported the Zoning Commission also recommends the Town undertake a study of implementing a

Administrative
Proposals and
Recommendations
Zoning
Commission

Special Zoning District for a portion of the R-B District fronting on Ocean Blvd. between Queen's Lane and Onondaga Avenue. He asked Town Council's consideration of whether or not they wished the Building Department to go forward with this study and what rules and regulations should be in force to protect the character of this particular area.

Mr. Heeke asked that this issue be addressed at this time.

Mrs. Douthit made a motion that the Town Administration undertake a study of implementing a Special Zoning District on North Ocean Boulevard from Queen's Lane to Onondaga Avenue. Mayor Marix asked if there were other areas of uneven terrain which could possibly have the same problem? Mr. Moore responded he is not familiar with any other properties that would come under this ruling. Mayor Marix suggested Lagomar Road and it seemed to her if the uneven terrain is what has caused the problem, that if there are others, they should be included. Mr. Moore indicated he would look at other areas and bring it to the Council for further consideration.

Mrs. Wiener stated she had a few concerns, one being strip zoning and she believed they should be looking at this very carefully before they spend the money to have a study. She wanted to know also what it would cost to have this studied as this is a year when the budget is quite tight.

Mr. Brisson responded he could see no problem with this area being made a special zoning district as it has unique characteristics and special conditions are being applied to this unique area.

Mr. Randolph responded also noting at this point, no-one knows what the study will reveal and what the recommendation will be and if there are special characteristics, strip zoning would not apply. He felt if there was something unique that is being lumped together for a particular reason, that is alright but if there is no unique reason, then it could be suspect and these are the types of things that need to be studied.

Mr. Moore advised the staff will work this study internally and he isn't able to give a dollar figure on this at this time. He stated the work of the staff will then be reviewed by Mr. Brisson and by the Town Attorney. Mrs. Wiener stated she had reservations on this as they are talking about protecting certain property owners at the risk of other property owners and she hates to see the Town become involved in matters of this type.

Mayor Marix believed the study would help clarify this as she has seen some homes absolutely devastated with a huge house being built next door and perhaps there could be some way they could be fairer as zoning is rules and compromises and a good zoning code achieves this good purpose. Mrs. Wiener stated she felt they were heading down a peculiar road as they have already separated beach houses and in an overall zoning situation, to continue to develop new zoning districts is not a good public policy.

Mr. Ilyinsky believed it has been done in the past. Mrs. Douthit stated she is behind those who have been here for a good number of years as opposed to newcomers and she wants to see the study made. Mrs. Wiener agreed stating she was talking about the homes they are putting in this new district as those are not empty pieces of property but are homes of people who have lived there many years and their property has a certain value and they need to be protected.

Mr. Heeke believed the point that raised the issue is topography and that is a concern. He stated value is protected by zoning and rapid changes in neighborhood do not always enhance the situation.

Mr. Ilyinsky made a motion that the Town Administration undertake the study of implementing a Special Zoning District for the area on North Ocean Blvd. from Queen's Lane to Onondaga Avenue. Seconded by Mrs. Douthit.

Mr. Robert M. Grace, Chairman of the Zoning Commission, addressed the Council assuring the Council the Zoning Commission does not consider matters with respect to individuals but they think of preserving the character of the Town. He thought this particular proposed district has a certain unique character as it is located on the ocean and landscaping is difficult to maintain. He believed it has an existing character that 90% of the homes in this area are single story homes and what they are interested in doing is to preserve the character of the District. On roll call, the motion carried 4-1 with Mrs. Wiener voting against the motion since she did not know what the cost of this study would be.

Mr. Moore explained the moratorium is currently for the area on North Ocean Blvd. from Queen's Lane to Onondaga and also on North Ocean Way and this would permit a one story residence only until the April 9, 1991 meeting. He recalled the basement credit has been eliminated so the concept of a three story structure is no longer available. He believed the combination of removing the basement credit and the lowering from 35 to 30" would mandate a small home being built and if they feel the one story concept is of utmost importance, he recommended they return the basement credit for parking and storage for the one story structures while the study is being done, which would inevitable give the appearance of two stories to the neighbors to the west. He believed it would be overly restrictive to keep one story without a credit until the Zoning season next year.

Mr. Heeke noted the house would be built and they would have to extend from the first floor to the ground level something to keep it in the air and what Mr. Moore is saying is that part will not change and it will still be there but the usage is what they want to address. Mr. Randolph asked if the basement credit was governed by zoning at the present time? Mr. Moore responded it has been removed. Mr. Randolph asked if Mr. Moore wanted to amend the Zoning Ordinance to return it? Mr. Moore stated this would be to allow the area to be used for garage and storage until the study is finished. Mr. Randolph believed they would have to modify the Zoning Ordinance. Mr. Moore pointed out this restriction has not yet been passed on first reading which is scheduled for April 9th. Mr. Randolph noted that the recommendation is that this restriction be modified? Mr. Moore responded it would only be for this special area where the proposed study would be done for the overlay zone. He stated this would be new circumstances for this particular area. Mr. Randolph believed the Zoning Ordinance would have to be amended to reference they would be dealing with only this specific area, or else take it out completely.

Mr. Moore stated he thought that under the "Zoning in Progress" it could be studied and there could be restrictions and rules which would apply. Mr. Randolph did not agree, noting if the Zoning Ordinance is going to be passed and this basement credit restriction is in that Ordinance and if someone wants to build a basement, they would have to be granted a variance from the basement restriction. Mr. Moore agreed. Mrs. Wiener felt it was extremely restrictive.

Mayor Marix asked about the one foot of fill? Mr. Moore explained that restriction is still in effect and the natural topography cannot be raised more than one foot, unless it is to meet the flood elevation. He believed if while the study is being conducted, the Council felt one story is what they wanted to keep in place, a return of the basement credit would be in order during the study period.

Mrs. Douthit felt the problem is this is a step effect and that is very nice, but people will want more space up stairs so they would have their 30' on the roadway and on the westerly side it would be about 60'. Mr. Heeke stated Zoning in Progress for the overlay area would be restricting the overall height to one story. Mr. Moore agreed it would be 27'. Mayor Marix believed they would end up with that if it is approved.

Mrs. Wiener wondered if they could take a different approach which would say the height on the raised part is one thing and the height beyond that could only be a certain percentage of it, depending on the circumstances. Mr. Moore stated this is one of the proposals to be studied that only a portion of the second story would be permitted and with different setbacks. Mrs. Wiener felt that was a reasonable approach to this whole problem so that people can have a home of a reasonable size on an oceanfront piece of property without unduly upsetting the neighbors on the side street. Mr. Moore stated his goal is to try and provide reasonable use of the property from a planning and zoning and legal standpoint, taking into consideration the neighborhood and characteristics which would mean that under the 5.48 concept, they would be bringing back to the Zoning Commission and then the Council, a staggered type of home to be permitted to be built in this area with setbacks further from the west property line, but still with the ability to build a two story structure on the Boulevard side. He wished to make a point, as Mr. Randolph is correct, as it would take a variance and he suggested they continue with Zoning In Progress and ask for a one story structure with the Variance procedure as outlined by Mr. Randolph.

Administrative
Proposals &
Recommendations
Zoning Comm.

Mayor Marix pointed out some of the houses along there are not two stories. Mr. Heeke stated that would bring an opportunity to the Council to look at each situation and make a judgement on an individual basis and some circumstances, there would be no hardship and in others, there would be.

Mrs. Wiener stated her concern about restricting people for a whole year which she thought was unreasonable. Mayor Marix pointed out they could obtain relief through a variance. Mr. Moore stated the variance procedure would allow them to look at it on an individual basis. Mr. Heeke felt that was a more reasonable approach than trying to handle everything which they really cannot visualize. Mr. Moore agreed.

Mrs. Wiener asked if she was understanding correctly as she heard them saying anything more than a single story, 15' home would require a variance for a whole year? Mr. Moore responded affirmatively. Mrs. Wiener did not think that was in order. Mr. Heeke pointed out in this particular district, if a resident wishes to use the lower part of the level, they would need a variance. Mr. Randolph asked if they were talking about a variance from the Zoning Ordinance or a special consideration? Mr. Heeke responded it was a waiver during the time it is being studied, and this would give the Council a good bit more flexibility.

Mrs. Wiener stated she was still concerned about going forward on that. Mayor Marix stated her concern if the Council allowed a garage and a story in the rear of the property, she was afraid that since the study might show it is not a good concept, she was afraid there would be a mad rush to get the permits. She stated they know they have a problem and they are willing to spend the time to find the answer to the problem, and that is why it should be Zoning In Progress with a waiver process, so as to not to defeat the entire object of what the study is all about.

Mr. Heeke viewed another aspect, as they don't know what the study will actually reveal and it might be abandoned in mid-stream and then the Zoning in Progress would be halted. Mrs. Wiener asked how many pieces of property are they actually talking about in this particular area? Mr. Zimmerman recalled there were two potential projects pending, one being the Staley property and another one at El Pueblo and North Ocean Boulevard which has been through ARCOM.

Mrs. Douthit moved the Zoning in Progress be maintained while the study is going forward as there would be the ability to come to the Council with the waiver procedure. Mrs. Wiener asked if this was a reasonable amount of time as the Zoning in Progress has been in effect for three months and now they are adding another year. Mr. Randolph responded he did not believe the scenario was relevant to the reasonableness of the length of time of the study as this adds some flexibility with the waiver process, which will cause less complaint by someone who wants to move forward as they have an opportunity for a waiver.

Mrs. Wiener seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion carried unanimously.

Motion was made by Mr. Ilyinsky that the council approve the Zoning Commission recommendation on ITEM 2B, that this item with regards to amending Section 5.48, Special Exception to Height Regulations, Special Exception Structures be studied with the criteria for such Special Exceptions submitted by Mr. Grace to be studied also. Seconded by Mr. Weinberg.

Short break was taken. Mr. Heeke called the meeting back to order.

Mr. Grace stated he agrees with Mrs. Wiener as he doesn't like to see studies done which turn out to be a waste of the taxpayer's money. He stated he is not happy with an Ordinance that would tell people they would have to have 30' ceilings as some people would like to have higher ceilings and he hoped there was some way it could be worked out in the Zoning Ordinance, where they can do it, but they would have to give something in return. He knew recently there has been a rash of massive buildings being built and he would like to have some flexibility and perhaps it would be that they would have to have architectural merit, approved by the Architectural Commission, to get the additional height and that would take care of the neighbor's concern. Perhaps with more landscaping in the sideyard and a decision would have to be made to have more sideyard setbacks on that side.

Mr. Heeke agreed with Mr. Grace and he thought it was worth pursuing as it is very meritorious. Mr. Ilyinsky also agreed. Mrs. Wiener asked if this would be a quasi-zoning situation? Mr. Grace responded it would be in the Zoning Ordinance and the guidelines would be spelled out in order to the request granted. Mrs. Wiener wondered if this was to be granted with architectural merit and approved by the Architectural Commission, would not the Town Council be giving the Architectural Commission a zoning assignment? Mr. Grace felt it would not only be the architectural merit, but they would have to live up to the other guidelines and Town Council would have the final approval.

On roll call, the motion carried unanimously.

ITEM 3. Mr. Moore stated this item amends Section 6.40 B, Schedule of Use Regulations to add a new foot note (3) applicable to Special Exception Uses in the C-TS, C-WA, C-PC or C-B Districts to read: "3. Special Exceptions and their related accessory uses and for any expansion, enlargement or modification of existing Special Exception Use or any physical expansion of an existing Special Exception or facility shall be permitted, only upon authorization by the Town Council, provided that such uses shall be found by the Town Council to comply with the following requirements and other applicable requirements as set forth in this Chapter: In the event a property previously granted a Special Exception, subsequent to the adoption of Ordinance No. 4-80 shall be required to obtain a new occupational license. (other than renewal) from the Town, such new license holder (s) shall be subject to approval by the Town Council per the requirements of this Chapter."

Mr. Moore advised the Zoning Commission recommended approval of the proposal as submitted by the Town Administration and modified by the Town's Planning Consultant in his technical memorandum dated Jan. 24, 1991, to amend Section 4.20 (b), Schedule of Use Regulations to add a new Footnote (3) applicable to Special Exception Uses in the C-TS, C-WA, C-PC and C-B Districts, to read as follows:

"(3) An owner or tenant of a property, located within the C-TS, C-WA, C-PC or C-B Districts, which

Administrative Proposals & Recommendations Zoning Commission	property has received approval of a Special Exception subsequent to the passage of Ordinance No. 4-80, shall be required to obtain approval by the Town Council under the provisions of Section 6.40 (1) prior to being granted a new occupational license. This provision shall not apply to renewal of existing occupational licenses." Mr. Brisson explained the provisions were approved by the Zoning Commission, however, he would recommend that instead of "subsequent to the passage of Ordinance No. 4-80" they insert the date "after 3-31-80" which is the date Ord. No. 4-80 was adopted. Mr. Heeke recalled that in the event of a change of ownership or tenant, they have required they come back for all purposes to the Town Council, rather than the single purpose of Town-serving, and in that way they can look at the nature of the business coming into the Town for the overall good of the community. Mr. Moore suggested that the word "the" before "provisions" then be changed to "all". Mr. Heeke asked if adequate legal notice was provided if that change is made? Mr. Randolph believed the way this has gone forward is they have relating it specifically to item (1) and if that is the case, they are expecting to affect a vast number of other people, perhaps they have not given the adequate notice. Mr. Heeke believed that could be covered when they grant the Special Exceptions and this can be brought up again next year, so there was the proper advertising. Mr. Winterfield addressed the Council noting he had reservations about giving preferential treatment to non-conforming properties. He suggested that no Special Exception be allowed to take effect until after one change of ownership. Attorney Paul Prosperi addressed the Council on the over two thousand square foot requirement. Mr. Moore asked if the property to which he referred had been there since 1980? Mr. Prosperi advised it was there before 1980. Mr. Moore advised it was a non-conformity and may continue without revisiting the Council. Motion was made by Mr. Ilyinsky to approve Item 3 with an amendment to include "after 3-31-80" instead of "Ordinance No. 4-80" as recommended by the Zoning Commission. Seconded by Mr. Weinberg. On roll call, the motion carried unanimously. ITEM 4 - Mr. Moore indicated the Zoning Commission recommended disapproval of this item to amend Section 6.31 "General Regulations" by adding a new paragraph (L) to read: "The Town Council may permit, upon review, certain public service signs which are those to convey information of a Public Service nature, which originally had been in existence for a period of ten years or more. Signs not more than twenty square feet and not more than six feet above grade may be on public or private property in any zoning district for a period not to exceed ninety days." Mrs. Wiener recalled discussing this at a Town Council meeting and now this type of sign will be handled administratively. Mrs. Douthit moved that the recommendation of the Zoning Commission to disapprove the amendment of 6.31 (L) be approved. Seconded by Mr. Ilyinsky. On roll call, the motion carried unanimously.
Any Other Matters	VII. ANY OTHER MATTERS: A. FINANCE & TAXATION COMMITTEE MEETING TO BE HELD ON MARCH 22, 1991. After discussion, a motion was made by Mr. Weinberg, seconded by Mr. Ilyinsky that the public input on the budget be rescheduled for 9 AM on April 9, 1991 before the monthly Town Council meeting. On roll call, the motion carried unanimously. After further discussion, a motion was made by Mr. Ilyinsky that the Finance & Taxation Committee Meeting scheduled for March 22, 1991 be cancelled. Seconded by Mrs. Wiener. On roll call, the motion carried unanimously.
Adjournment	VIII. ADJOURNMENT. Meeting was adjourned at 11:45 AM. Grace T. Peters Town Clerk
Roll Call	<u>TOWN COUNCIL MINUTES OF</u> <u>MARCH 12, 1991 HELD AT 5:01 PM</u> I. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL: President Heeke called the special meeting of the Town Council to order at 5:01PM on March 12, 1991 in the Town Hall Council Chambers. On roll call, the following elected officials were found to be in attendance: Mayor Marix, President Heeke, President Pro Tem Weinberg, Councilwoman Douthit, Councilman Ilyinsky, Councilwoman Wiener. Also attending were Mr. Doney, Mr. Randolph, Mrs. Peters, Mr. Moore, Mr. Frank and Mr. Zimmerman.
Approval of Agenda	II. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA: Mrs. Wiener moved to approve the agenda as printed. Seconded by Mr. Ilyinsky. On roll call, the motion carried unanimously.
Public Hearing re: adoption of Comprehensive Plan Amendments	III. PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING ADOPTION OF COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS BY THE TOWN COUNCIL: A. PROOF OF PUBLICATION: Mrs. Peters advised the proof of publication has been received. B. TOWN COUNCIL CONSIDERATION OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY REGARDING OBJECTIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND COMMENTS ON THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS FROM THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS. Mr. Doney advised this is the second reading of the Ordinance and the stipulated settlement agreement has been handled through Mr. Randolph's office with the Department of Community Affairs. Mr. Heeke recalled this has been handled at the first public hearing with regards to the background on this matter. C. COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC: Mr. Heeke called for comments from the public and there were none forthcoming.
ORD. 2-91	D. SECOND READING OF ORDINANCE NO. 2-91 - ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE TOWN'S COMPREHENSIVE PLAN. Attorney Randolph read the Ordinance No. 2-91 by title: AN ORDINANCE OF THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF PALM BEACH, PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA, ADOPTING COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS FOR THE TOWN OF PALM BEACH, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING AND LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATION ACT AS AMENDED, BEING SECTIONS 163.3161 ETC FLORIDA STATUTES, ATTACHING AS EXHIBIT A, A COPY OF THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS; PROVIDING A CONFLICTS CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES. Motion was made by Mr. Ilyinsky to adopt Ordinance No. 2-91 on second reading. Seconded by Mr. Weinberg. On roll call, the motion carried unanimously.