MINUTES
LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION
IN THE TOWN COUNCIL CHAMBERS
TOWN HALL, 2ND FLOOR
360 S. COUNTY ROAD, PALM BEACH, FL

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 2012, 9:30 a.m.

Please be advised that in keeping with a recent directive from the Town Council, the minutes of all Town Boards and Commissions will be "abbreviated" in style. Persons interested in listening to the meeting, after the fact, may access the audio of that item via the Town's website at www.townofpalmbeach.com or may obtain an audio recording (CD) of the meeting by contacting Cynthia M. Delp, Secretary to the Landmarks Preservation Commission at (561) 227-6408. As a point of reference, you will find the recording times listed on these minutes at the beginning of each item.

I. CALL TO ORDER:(9:30:54 a.m.)

Chairman Cooney called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m.

II. ROLL CALL:(9:30:59 a.m.)

Edward Austin Cooney, Chairman	PRESENT
William P. Feldkamp, Vice Chairman	PRESENT
William O. Cooley, Member	PRESENT
Dudley L. Moore, Jr., Member	PRESENT
Jacqueline Albarran, Member	PRESENT
William J. Strawbridge Jr., Member	PRESENT
Leslie Diver, Member	ABSENT (unexcused)
D Imogene Willis, Alternate Member	ABSENT (unexcused)
Wallace Rogers, Alternate Member	PRESENT
Rachel Lorentzen, Alternate Member	PRESENT

Staff members present: John S. Page, Director of Planning, Zoning & Building, John Lindgren, Planning Administrator, Jane S. Day, Ph.D., Staff Historic Preservation Consultant, and Cynthia Delp, Secretary to the Landmarks Preservation Commission.

It should be noted that in light of the absences, Mr. Rogers was a voting member today.

III. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE JANUARY 18, 2012 MEETING: (9:31:26 a.m.)

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP FOR APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. COOLEY.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

IV. ADMINISTRATION OF THE OATH TO PERSONS WHO WISH TO TESTIFY:(9:31:35 a.m.)

Mrs. Delp administered the oath at this time and as necessary throughout the meeting.

V. COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC AND COMMISSION MEMBERS: (Please limit comments to 3 minutes):(9:31:53 a.m.)

Mr. Strawbridge asked for an update relative to the Flagler Memorial Bridge. Chairman Cooney stated that he had received an updated set of drawings which he planned to forward to Mrs. Albarran, Mr. Smith and Mr. Pandula. He noted that at first glance, it appears that the design team has made the requested changes.

Mr. Rogers noted that this was his last meeting on the commission after 5 years of service. He expressed that it was an honor and a privilege to serve on the commission, and particularly acknowledged the leadership of the previous chairman, Gene Pandula, and the current chairman, Ted Cooney, and also Dr. Day for her excellent service. Mr. Rogers received a round of applause.

Mr. Cooley noted that this was likewise his last meeting after 3 years of service. He thanked the Town Council members for his appointment to the commission, and expressed his enjoyment in serving under Chairman Pandula and Chairman Cooney. Mr. Cooley also received a round of applause.

Mr. Moore acknowledged the time and effort exhibited by both members in their service on the commission.

VI. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA:(9:35:39 a.m.)

Prior to a motion, Chairman Cooney stated that there are deferral requests for both of the designation hearings, and he elected to handle those first. (Please see the next agenda item below for details.)

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO APPROVE THE AGENDA, AS AMENDED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. MOORE.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

VIII. DESIGNATION HEARINGS:

Item 1: 350 Island Road
Owner: Jane W. Smith

Please be advised that the owner's attorney has requested deferral of this designation hearing to the April 18, 2012 meeting.

Attorney Ron Kolins addressed the commission explaining that he had just been engaged by the owner a short time ago to represent her in this matter, and has not had adequate time to prepare for the hearing. Ordinarily, Mr. Kolins would have requested deferral to the March meeting, but for health concerns on his part, he requested an additional month, i.e., deferral to the April meeting.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP FOR DEFERRAL OF 350 ISLAND ROAD TO THE APRIL MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. ALBARRAN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MOTION AMENDED BY MR. FELDKAMP THAT LANDMARKING CONSIDERATION OF 350 ISLAND ROAD BE DEFERRED TO THE APRIL 18TH MEETING WITH THE PROVISO THAT THE OWNER RECOGNIZES THAT HE/SHE IS WAIVING HIS/HER RIGHT BY ORDINANCE REQUIRING THE COMMISSION TO ACT WITHIN 30 DAYS.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. ALBARRAN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Item 2: 272 Wells Road
Owner: Heather Wyser-Pratte
Please note that this hearing had originally been scheduled for April 20, 2011, and was deferred to November 16, 2011 and then again to February 14, 2012 at the request of the homeowner.
Please be advised that the owner's attorney has requested a sixty (60) day deferral of this designation hearing to the April 18, 2012 meeting.

Attorney Neil Schiller, with Becker-Poliakoff, addressed the commission seeking the deferral. He explained that his firm was recently retained by the property owner on February 6, 2012. Unfortunately, the attorney handling the case had some emergency medical procedures the next day and will be out for the next 4-6 weeks. He noted that his firm had submitted a Public Records request for the whole file, and stated that Mrs. Delp returned that information yesterday. As such, he claimed that they needed an opportunity to review the file before moving forward.

Members were concerned about the number of times this matter has been deferred, the length of time that this particular designation has been awaiting disposition, and the fact that the attorney has just been hired, approximately a year after notice had been given. Mrs. Wyser-Pratte offered her comments.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP WITH THE ABSOLUTE UNDERSTANDING THAT THE APRIL 18TH MEETING WILL NOT BE DEFERRED AGAIN, THAT LANDMARKING CONSIDERATION OF 272 WELLS ROAD BE DEFERRED TO THE APRIL 18TH MEETING, WITH THE PROVISO THAT THE OWNER RECOGNIZES THAT SHE IS WAIVING HER RIGHT BY ORDINANCE REQUIRING THE COMMISSION TO ACT WITHIN 30 DAYS.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. ALBARRAN.
MOTION CARRIED 6-1, WITH MR. COONEY OPPOSED.

Mrs. Delp made some comments to correct the statement made by Mr. Schiller with regard to the Town's response to the Public Records request. She noted specifically that she had been out of the office as of February 3, 2012 and returned to the office yesterday. The information in the Public Records request had been provided to the Town Clerk prior to that February 3, 2012 departure. [*Mrs. Delp notes that in checking Town Records, the information was sent to the Town Clerk's office on 2/1/2012, as the Town Clerk's office had been the recipient of the Public Records request.]*

VII. PUBLIC HEARINGS: (9:43:47 a.m.)

A. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness # 036 - 2011 (9:43:50 a.m.)

Restoration/Rehabilitation/Addition/Landscape/hardscape/Demolition
Owner/Applicant: Mr. Arthur Minerof, The Milan Associates, L.P.
Address: 330 Island Road
Architect: The Pandula Architects, Inc.
Project Description: Request approval for restoration, rehabilitation, addition to historic structure, landscape/hardscape, and interior/exterior demolition which is further described as: Raise original structure, in place, from existing finished floor elevation 6.22 to finished floor elevation 7.50 flood elevation; Materials removal: Remove approx. 416 sq. ft. enclosed porch addition at southwest corner of residence; remove approx. 72 sq. ft. exterior elevator addition at southwest corner of residence; remove approx. 400 sq. ft. dining room addition at the south facade of the residence; removal approx. 150 sq. ft. greenhouse structure at the southeast corner of the property; remove miscellaneous interior partitions at the east side of the ground floor to allow the dining room to be re-located back to its original location, and, to accommodate a new kitchen/breakfast area with related support service areas and new back stair; remove miscellaneous interior partitions on the

second floor to accommodate new bedroom/bathroom suite layouts and the introduction of a new back stair; remove non-original enclosure at the second floor south balcony and revise to a configuration similar to the original layout; remove non-original enclosure at the second floor north balcony and revise to a configuration similar to the original layout. New Construction: Create a new one-story loggia/cabana/ guest bedroom suite addition at the southeast corner of the residence, replacing the removed enclosed porch. This area will include a swimming pool to the south and garden courtyard to the north; create a new two-story addition at the southeast corner (rear) of the residence to accommodate staff areas on the ground floor and guest bedrooms at the second floor, including an expansion above the existing automobile garage; create a new covered porch/balcony element at the south facade of the residence, replacing the removed dining room addition. Miscellaneous: Replace existing windows with new painted wood hurricane rated units to match existing; repair/replace brick wall surfaces, roofing, fixtures and trim, as required, to match existing; update mechanical, electrical, plumbing and site drainage systems; landscape/hardscape finalized layout to be presented; other associated changes as presented. Please note that this is a tax abatement project.

Please note that after hearing this project, there was a motion at the November meeting approving the materials removal plan, the site wall to the west, the footprint of the house presented, and demolition of all the structures as presented, and approval to raise the house, together with a deferral of the architectural details and the roof lines to the December meeting. At the December meeting, there was a motion for approval of the architecture as presented and a second motion for deferral of the landscape/hardscape. At the January meeting, the project was not heard, but was deferred to February at the request of the applicant.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by Mr. Strawbridge

ARCHITECTURAL PRESENTATION BY: Eugene Pandula, The Pandula Architects
LANDSCAPE PRESENTATION BY: Cliff Leisinger, Land Stewardship Company

Mr. Pandula updated the commission on the status of the job. Mr. Leisinger presented revised landscape/hardscape plans. He noted that at the North side of the library, originally two (2) water features had been proposed. The plans have been revised deleting one of them, and keeping the fountain with the runnel. Mr. Leisinger presented a rendered front elevation showing landscaping.

MOTION BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE FOR APPROVAL AS PRESENTED.
MOTION CARRIED BY MR. FELDKAMP.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #038-2011
Restoration/Rehabilitation/Reconstruction
LPC TO MAKE RECOMMENDATION RELATIVE TO VARIANCE(S)
Owner/Applicant: 456 WA LLC
Address: 456 Worth Avenue
Architect: Michael J. Johnson Architect
Project Description: Request approval of restoration, rehabilitation, reconstruction, landscape/hardscape, interior/exterior demolition, and exterior color change which is further described as: Demolition of 1980's addition of 274 sq. ft., 1980's carport of 407.7 sq. ft., 1940's pool cabana and garden walls of 146.5 sq. ft., 1980's garage and apartment of 1315.5 sq. ft., and 1980's second floor apartment of 471.5 sq. ft.; New Construction: new exterior covered loggia of

566.3 sq. ft., new A/C second floor bedrooms of 566.3 sq. ft., new one story garage of 576 sq. ft.; reconstruction/restoration of existing ground floor of 3804.5 sq. ft. and second floor of 3699 sq. ft.; Finishes: stucco over existing clay tile blocks and/or new CBS, new hurricane impact rated mahogany windows/doors/painted, clay tile roof, new metal railings at residence and at seawall/painted; Landscape: New landscape plan; and, other associated changes as presented. *Variance requested for cubic content ratio.*

Please note that the project was heard informally at the December 2011 meeting for an opinion/motion related to the requested variance. At that time there was a motion that implementation of the proposed variance will not cause negative architectural impacts to the subject landmarked property. There was a second motion to defer this Certificate of Appropriateness to the January meeting. At the January meeting, the project was not heard, but was deferred to February at the request of the applicant.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosures by several members

ARCHITECTURAL PRESENTATION BY: Michael Johnson and Daniel Menard of LaBerge & Menard
LANDSCAPE PRESENTATION BY: Keith Williams, Nievera Williams Design

Architect Michael Johnson introduced Daniel Menard who provided a brief re-review of the history related to this property and presented the proposed plans. In addition to the description listed above, he explained that the project includes the following: A general clean up of the property; a consolidation of the footprint and volume; increasing the green space from 9% to 52%; preserving the building as it was through 1966 and demolishing additions from the 1980's; addition of more windows for more light; addition of new garage; make fenestration more symmetrical; replace awnings which were original to home; and, removal of some of the railing at the lake.

<u>Commission Comments</u>:
Concerns expressed regarding the following: symmetrical fenestration; adding new fenestration; columns at front door; design of front doors; mullions in windows; window lite sizes; too much of a departure from the original windows; changes to waterfront balustrade; chimney; change to tower fenestration; problem with effecting changes prior to obtaining approval; and, (expressed later), the roof (on East elevation) needs to come down.

Mr. Williams presented the revised landscape/hardscape plan noting that the generator has been relocated to the west side of the property, allowing for a new gate off Worth Avenue with a garden entrance; courtyard modifications; re-alignment of Palm alley; all mature trees to remain on site, except for one Ficus which will be removed; new pool on axis with loggia; and removal of portion of hardscape and the addition of much more green.

<u>Dr. Day Comments</u>: That we are losing more and more of the details of the original house with this proposal; totally opposed to opening up the fenestration in the tower; original details should be kept and are not shown on the new plans; it's an error to smooth out the rusticated stucco; French doors not appropriate for front door of this mansion; in favor of rehabilitation of the home, but these plans need work; and, chimney changes are a mistake.

Mr. Moore questioned whether, with all of the changes to this property, past and proposed, it should be unlandmarked. Dr. Day recommended against such an action, noting that this home was landmarked largely upon its historic associations. She reminded the commission that they do not have to approve all that has been presented.

Robert Moore, present on behalf of the property owners, Mr. and Mrs. Adams, stated that these owners also own 1 Via Mizner and are well acquainted with what is required to care for a landmark. He assured the commission that the homeowners want to renovate the property so it can be lived in…with windows. He introduced Mr. Adams who stressed the extensive experience that he and his wife have with landmarked property, and further stressed his passion for Mizner and for rehabilitating the history and spirit of the property.

While the commission appreciated the best intentions of the owners, there was concern about the number and type of changes requested. It was noted that the LPC review offers a free critique of the plans which results in a better project.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP FOR DEFERRAL OF THE PROJECT SUBJECT TO THE ARCHITECT LOOKING AT EIGHT ISSUES:

1. **Study railing issues at the seawall;**
2. **Study the entrance door and its canopy;**
3. **Revise the second floor fireplace to be corbelled as per the original drawings;**
4. **Study muntins on the windows;**
5. **As you've agreed, retain the existing sill heights at the second floor of the tower;**
6. **Study lowering the roof at the addition, and simplifying the doors below;**
7. **Show us that you are retaining the Della Robbias, the dove coats, the swans, and issues that Dr. Day has suggested; and,**
8. **Retain the stucco pattern that the original house has.**

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE.

Under discussion, the property owner expressed his desire to move forward to stay on schedule, and asked the commission to re-consider deferring the project. Chairman Cooney responded that too many changes are needed to the drawings to make them an appropriate set of plans to be stamped as the approved set.

Robert Moore, speaking on the owner's behalf, was sworn (relative to previous testimony and current testimony) and made some additional comments regarding the requested changes.

Mr. Lindgren stated that it is important that staff has an updated set of plans to facilitate the building permit process. As such, he supported the deferral.

MOTION CARRIED 4-3, WITH MESSRS. COOLEY, MOORE, AND ROGERS OPPOSED.

Let the record show that there was a short recess at 11:26 a.m. The meeting reconvened at 11:40 a.m.

C. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #001 - 2012

Stair Layout, Doors/Windows, Roof, Landscape
Owner/Applicant: The Palmerial Revocable Trust, Maura Ziska as Trustee
Address: 801 S. County Road
Architect: Michael J. Johnson Architect
Project Description: Request approval for restoration, rehabilitation, reconstruction, new accessory structure, landscape/hardscape, and interior demolition which is further described as: New stair layout at South courtyard; reconfiguration of select windows and doors; reconfiguration of existing garage; new loggia structure and lap pool; restoration of existing pool house; removal of existing awning at pool house; new landscape/drainage plan; relocation of existing gate at South end of property; and, other associated changes as presented. *Please note that this is a tax abatement project.*

At the January meeting, the project was not heard, but was deferred to the February meeting at the request of the applicant.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by Mr. Cooney

ARCHITECTURAL PRESENTATION BY: Michael Johnson and Daniel Menard of LaBerge & Menard
LANDSCAPE PRESENTATION BY: Don Skowron, Don Skowron Inc.

Mr. Menard presented the proposed changes in accordance with the submitted plans and as described above. He stated that the new open loggia, now with a lowered roof, will have 5 arches and 2 chimneys, and will cover a lap pool. Mr. Skowron explained that the landscape/hardscape will have a simple, understated elegance to give the owner space and clean lines, and proceeded to review the landscape material. Most landscaping occurs on the periphery, and it will be layered to create a buffer between neighbors. The grading will be undulating rather than flat. Other details include: Tabby driveway (using existing curb cut, columns and walls) with Dominican Shell Stone banding; two retaining walls in rear; enclosure (11 ft. x 19 ft.) for 67kw generator (covered by hedge); refinish existing fountain (materials to return to LPC); new fountain at front door; and, wall fountain opposite the family room.

Mr. Lindgren reported that one letter had been received from Doctors Jim and Selaine Niedel at 115 Via La Selva, wherein they express their concerns about the elevation of the front yard, the “massive” loggia, and the affect of this project on their house and the neighborhood. Selaine Niedel addressed the commission a bit later in the meeting.

Commission comments: East elevation: height of the great room is enormous…OK from the east, but large doors are a problem on the west; preference to return to original east elevation; loggia was improved by lowering it and moving it to the south; keep the chimney and delete the fake one; problem with spa modification to existing pool [It was noted that the spa had been originally located close to the property line next to 780 S. Ocean Blvd. That property owner objected to its placement there and the Town received a letter to that affect from their attorney. Since the spa was relocated, that owner withdrew his objection]; the loggia dwarfs the house; the driveway pattern is a problem. It was recommended that a streetscape be done to determine the affect of the project on the Niedel’s property.

Dr. Day comments: The French doors off the great room are much too large; change the Gothic arches back to the previous approval; and, concern regarding height of loggia.

Let the record show that Mr. Moore left the meeting at 12:57 p.m.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP FOR APPROVAL AS SUBMITTED, SUBJECT TO THE ARCHITECT RETURNING FOR THE FOLLOWING SEVEN (7) ITEMS, AND THE SEVEN ITEMS ARE:

1. **The great room east facing windows, the Gothic windows, return to the earlier approved version;**
2. **That the architect study the fanlight in the breakfast room for conformity with Fatio;**
3. **That at that entrance loggia, the two side knee walls would remain;**
4. **That you would comply with ordinances regarding the sight line issue at Via La Selva;**
5. **Consider relocation of the spa;**
6. **Reduce the size of the west windows in the great room as discussed with our architect;**
7. **When you return, give us a streetscape drawing showing the Niedel house, how it relates to the new loggia, and how the landscaping relates to the neighbor;**
   And,(motion amended to include #8)
8. **That the landscape architect review other options for the entrance driveway material.**

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE.

Under discussion, some members felt this project should be handled the same way as the previous…a deferral with certain items to be addressed.

MOTION AMENDED BY MR. FELDKAMP CHANGING HIS MOTION FROM APPROVAL TO DEFERRAL SUBJECT TO THE CLIENT COMING BACK REGARDING THE SPECIFIC EIGHT (8) ITEMS ONLY.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

D. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #002 - 2012
Rehabilitation
Owner/Applicant: Palm Beach Hotel Condominium Association - Richard Spilberg, President
Address: 235 Sunrise Avenue
Architect: SKA Architect + Planner, Jacqueline Albarran, Architect P.A.
Project Description: Request approval for rehabilitation which is further described as: Phase I of this project addresses the entry doors on Sunrise Avenue (South Elevation), the two windows with circle transoms at each side of the entry doors, and five windows with circle transoms at rear of the building (North elevation). The original 1925 wood windows and doors have been replaced with a mix of non impact aluminum windows with wood transoms and aluminum doors. We propose to remove the non-original fenestration and automatic aluminum sliding glass doors with new white aluminum automatic French doors with sidelights and new white aluminum windows and transoms. All elements to be installed in existing openings and muntins to match original. Other associated changes as presented. *Please note that this is a tax abatement project.*

Please be advised that LPC member, Jacqueline Albarran, has a Voting Conflict with regard to this project. Also, Mr. Rogers stated that he resides in 235 Sunrise Avenue, owns a condo unit *there, and serves on the Building Committee for that building. As such, he recused himself. Mrs. Lorentzen will vote.*

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: No disclosures

ARCHITECTURAL PRESENTATION BY: Jacqueline Albarran, SKA Architect + Planner
CONTRACTOR PRESENT: Kevin Cronin, Continental Glass Systems

Mrs. Albarran presented the project pursuant to the submitted plans and according to the project description above. A sample of the impact white aluminum window was brought to the meeting. Commission members questioned the brushed aluminum hardware on the door. Mr. Cronin noted that other pull handle material is available which might be reviewed and approved by staff. Dr. Day added that individual property owners should be able to install the same type of windows in the future.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP FOR APRPOVAL AS PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. LORENTZEN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Let the record show that there was another short recess. Let the record show that Mrs. Albarran left the meeting.

IX. OTHER BUSINESS: (1:35:00 a.m.)

A. Discussion relative to the establishment of an historic district on Royal Poinciana Way [Edward Austin Cooney, LPC Chairman]

Anne Pepper, 333 Seaspray Avenue, read a prepared statement in support of creation of an historic district on Royal Poinciana Way and a main street preservation effort in Palm Beach.

Susan Markin, 1450 North Lake Way, addressed the commission to support the creation of an historic district on Royal Poinciana Way maintaining that no other location in Palm Beach is more historic.

Alexander Ives, Director of the Preservation Foundation, urged the LPC to consider more districts in the Town. He reminded that landmarking is not an anti-development tool, since matters are left to the Planning and Zoning Commission and the Town Council.

Les Evans, 249-253 Royal Poinciana Way, maintained that there is nothing historic on Royal Poinciana Way, and redevelopment would be impeded if an historic district was created.

Mr. Page reported that Attorney Frank Lynch, on behalf of Testas, had been at the meeting earlier, but had to leave. Instead he left a note, which Mr. Page read into the record, stating that Testas objects to the consideration of Royal Poinciana Way as an historic district.

Dr. Day offered her expert testimony. She discussed districting in the Town of Palm Beach and in other Florida communities. Her study of these properties revealed that so many changes have been done to the buildings that the area does not qualify as an historic district. She commented that the street meets the first criterion for landmarking as it was the original main street in the Town. When this had been discussed previously at the LPC level, the LPC voted against districting on Royal Poinciana Way and opted for designations of individual buildings instead, when appropriate. She noted that though landmarking in the Town of Palm Beach does not require owner consent, the Town Council listens to property owners and their hired attorneys as they make cases against landmarking.

Mr. Cooley strongly advocated for an historic district on Royal Poinciana Way, as he felt that the LPC should guide future development in that area. He commented that if any street in Palm Beach cries out to be preserved, it is this street.

Mrs. Lorentzen distributed copies of certain pages of the document known as *Cultural Resource Assessment Survey for Flagler Memorial Bridge*, which reviews the properties on Royal Poinciana Way.

Mr. Feldkamp commented that the LPC should make no recommendations related to this subject today, one day prior to the scheduled review of the Testa application.

MOTION BY MR. COOLEY THAT WE PLACE IT (ROYAL POINCIANA WAY HISTORIC DISTRICT) UNDER CONSIDERATION.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. LORENTZEN.
MR. COOLEY CLARIFIED THE BOUNDARIES OF THE DISTRICT: BRADLEY PLACE TO NORTH COUNTY ROAD, AND ROYAL POINCIANA WAY TO SUNSET.

Under discussion, Mr. Page discussed the overlay district to be discussed tomorrow at the Town Council meeting, especially in light of the motion on the floor.

MOTION FAILED 2-4, WITH MESSRS. FELDKAMP, STRAWBRIDGE, ROGERS AND COONEY OPPOSED.

Mrs. Markin wanted it noted, for the record, that four of the members had not been present for the vote.

Dr. Day reminded the commission members to contact her relative to any individual property designations on Royal Poinciana Way.

Dr. Day noted that 3 properties will be heard at the March meeting for designation: 220 Via Bellaria, 240 Jungle Road and 234 El Brillo Way. Designation reports will be e-mailed to the members.

X. COMMENTS OF THE LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION AND PLANNING ZONING & BUILDING DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR: (2:30:00 a.m.) None

XI. ADJOURNMENT:
MOTION BY MR. COOLEY TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 2:30 P.M.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

The next meeting of the Landmarks Preservation Commission will be held on Wednesday, March 21, 2012 at 9:30 a.m. in the Town Council Chambers, 2nd floor, Town Hall, 360 South County Road, Palm Beach.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward Austin Cooney, Chairman
LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION

cmd