MINUTES
LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION
IN THE
TOWN COUNCIL CHAMBERS
2ND FLOOR, TOWN HALL
360 S. COUNTY ROAD, PALM BEACH, FLORIDA

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 21, 2012, 9:30 a.m.

Please be advised that in keeping with a recent directive from the Town Council, the minutes of all Town Boards and Commissions will be "abbreviated" in style. Persons interested in listening to the meeting, after the fact, may access the audio of that item via the Town's website at www.townofpalmbeach.com or may obtain an audio recording (CD) of the meeting by contacting Cynthia M. Delp, Secretary to the Landmarks Preservation Commission at (561) 227-6408. As a point of reference, you will find the recording times listed on these minutes at the beginning of each item.

I. CALL TO ORDER: (9:33:26 a.m.)

Mr. Feldkamp, Vice Chairman and Acting Chairman, called the meeting to order at 9:33 a.m.

II. ROLL CALL: (9:33:39 a.m.)

Edward Austin Cooney, Chairman	PRESENT (arrived at 10:08 a.m.)
William P. Feldkamp, Vice Chairman	PRESENT
Dudley L. Moore, Jr., Member	PRESENT
Jacqueline Albarran, Member	PRESENT
William J. Strawbridge Jr., Member	PRESENT
Leslie Diver, Member	PRESENT
Elizabeth S. Murphy, Member	PRESENT
Jorge Sanchez, Alternate Member	PRESENT
Elizabeth Marshall, Alternate Member	PRESENT
Anne Fairfax, Alternate Member	PRESENT

Staff members present: John S. Page, Director of Planning, Zoning & Building, John Lindgren, Planning Administrator, Dr. Jane S. Day, Ph.D., Staff Historic Preservation Consultant, and Cynthia Delp, Secretary to the Landmarks Preservation Commission.

III. ADMINISTRATION OF THE OATH OF OFFICE TO NEW MEMBERS: (9:34:11 a.m.)

Mrs. Delp administered the Oath of Service to Elizabeth S. Murphy, Jorge Sanchez, Elizabeth Marshall, and Anne Fairfax. Mr. Feldkamp congratulated the new members on their appointments to the LPC.

IV. ELECTION OF OFFICERS: (10:40:28 a.m.)

Please be advised that this agenda item was handled later in the meeting. The results of the elections at that time follow below:

Mr. Feldkamp nominated Mr. Cooney as Chairman. That nomination was seconded by Mrs. Albarran, and there were no other nominations for Chairman.

Ms. Diver nominated Mr. Feldkamp as Vice Chairman. That nomination was seconded by Mrs. Albarran, and there were no other nominations for Vice Chairman.

V. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE FEBRUARY 14, 2012 MEETING: (9:36:34 a.m.)
MOTION BY MR. MOORE FOR APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES.
MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

VI. ADMINISTRATION OF THE OATH TO PERSONS WHO WISH TO TESTIFY: (9:36:46 a.m.)
Mrs. Delp administered the oath at this time and as necessary throughout the meeting.

VII. COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC AND COMMISSION MEMBERS: (Please limit comments to 3 minutes): (9:37:06 a.m.) None

VIII. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA: (9:37:24 a.m.)
MOTION BY MR. MOORE FOR APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.
MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

IX. PUBLIC HEARINGS: (9:37:50 a.m.)

A. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #038-2011
Restoration/Rehabilitation/Reconstruction
LPC TO MAKE RECOMMENDATION RELATIVE TO VARIANCE(S)
Owner/Applicant: 456 WA LLC
Address: 456 Worth Avenue
Architect: Michael J. Johnson Architect
Project Description: Request approval of restoration, rehabilitation, reconstruction, landscape/hardscape, interior/exterior demolition, and exterior color change which is further described as: Demolition of 1980?s addition of 274 sq. ft., 1980?s carport of 407.7 sq. ft., 1940?s pool cabana and garden walls of 146.5 sq. ft., 1980?s garage and apartment of 1315.5 sq. ft., and 1980?s second floor apartment of 471.5 sq. ft.; New Construction: new exterior covered loggia of 566.3 sq. ft., new A/C second floor bedrooms of 566.3 sq. ft., new one story garage of 576 sq. ft.; reconstruction/restoration of existing ground floor of 3804.5 sq. ft. and second floor of 3699 sq. ft.; Finishes: stucco over existing clay tile blocks and/or new CBS, new hurricane impact rated mahogany windows/doors/painted, clay tile roof, new metal railings at residence and at seawall/painted; Landscape: New landscape plan; and, other associated changes as presented. *Variance requested for cubic content ratio.*

Please note that the project was heard informally at the December 2011 meeting for an opinion/motion related to the requested variance. At that time there was a motion that implementation of the proposed variance will not cause negative architectural impacts to the subject landmarked property. There was a second motion to defer this Certificate of Appropriateness to the January meeting. At the January meeting, the project was not heard, but was deferred to February at the request of the applicant. At the February meeting, there was a motion for deferral of the project subject to the architect looking at eight issues: 1) Study railing issues at the seawall; 2) Study the entrance door and its canopy; 3) Revise the second floor fireplace to be corbelled as per the original drawings; 4) Study muntins on the windows; 5) As you've agreed, retain the existing sill heights at the second floor of the tower; 6) Study lowering the roof at the addition, and simplifying the doors below; 7) Show us that you are retaining the Della Robbias, the dove coats, the swans, and issues that Dr. Day has suggested; and, 8) Retain the stucco pattern that the original house has.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by Ms. Diver

ARCHITECTURAL PRESENTATION BY: Michael J. Johnson, Architect, and Daniel Menard, La Berge and Menard, Design Architect
APPLICANT'S ATTORNEY PRESENT: Maura Ziska

Mr. Menard addressed the modifications made to each of the eight (8) remaining items which were the subject of the deferral action last month. Dr. Day responded to the revised plans. She provided the definition of rehabilitation, according to the Secretary of the Interior's Standards. Though Dr. Day was generally pleased with the revisions made, she made a few comments relative to the stucco pattern, details at the front entrance, and where the Della Robbias will be positioned. She strongly encouraged the LPC to deny opening up new windows, (new punctures to the facade), in the tower. [*Let the record show that Mr. Cooney arrived at this time, but Mr. Feldkamp continued as Chairman until this item was completed.*]

The applicant objected to further discussion relative to the tower windows, as it was their opinion that the tower windows were not included in the eight (8) points. As the record was being questioned, Mrs. Delp stated that the motion, with the eight (8) conditions, made last month was transcribed verbatim, and the motion is as it appears above. Staff called attention to the fact that the windows might be re-considered under condition #7, as listed above. After further discussion of the eight (8) points, and after suggestions by various members, the following motion was made:

MOTION BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE FOR APPROVAL OF ITEMS 1-8, EXCEPT FOR THE TOWER WINDOWS.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. MOORE.
MOTION CARRIED 6-1, WITH MS. DIVER OPPOSED.

Next, discussion was limited to the tower windows. Several members sympathized with the property owner's desire for the tower windows feeling that livability within the home would be improved with the windows inserted. Mr. Cooney commented that adding the windows is bad historic preservation, especially when original plans are available.

MOTION BY MR. COONEY TO DENY THE NEW WINDOWS ON THE SOUTH ELEVATION.
MOTION SECONDED BY MS. MURPHY.
MOTION FAILED 2-5, WITH MESSRS. FELDKAMP, MOORE, AND STRAWBRIDGE, AND MRS. ALBARRAN AND MS. DIVER OPPOSED.

MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO APPROVE THE TWO WINDOWS.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. MOORE.
MOTION CARRIED 5-2, WITH MR. COONEY AND MS. MURPHY OPPOSED.

(Let the record show that the Election of Officers took place at this time.)

B. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #001 - 2012
Stair Layout, Doors/Windows, Roof, Landscape
Owner/Applicant: The Palmerial Revocable Trust, Maura Ziska as Trustee
Address: 801 S. County Road
Architect: Michael J. Johnson Architect
Project Description: Request approval for restoration, rehabilitation, reconstruction, new accessory structure, landscape/hardscape, and interior demolition which is further described as: New stair layout at South courtyard; reconfiguration of select windows and doors; reconfiguration of existing garage; new loggia structure and lap pool; restoration of existing pool house; removal of existing awning at pool house; new landscape/drainage plan; relocation of existing gate at South end of property; and, other associated changes as presented. *Please note that this is a tax abatement project.*

At the January meeting, the project was not heard, but was deferred to the February meeting at the request of the applicant. At the February meeting, there was a motion for APPROVAL AS SUBMITTED, SUBJECT TO THE ARCHITECT RETURNING FOR THE FOLLOWING SEVEN (7) ITEMS, AND THE SEVEN ITEMS ARE: 1. The great room east facing windows, the Gothic windows, return to the earlier approved version; 2. That the architect study the fanlight in the breakfast room for conformity with Fatio; 3. That at that entrance loggia, the two side knee walls would remain; 4. That you would comply with ordinances regarding the sight line issue at Via La Selva; 5. Consider relocation of the spa; 6. Reduce the size of the west windows in the great room as discussed with our architect; 7. When you return, give us a streetscape drawing showing the Niedel house, how it relates to the new loggia, and how the landscaping relates to the neighbor; And,(motion amended to include #8) 8. That the landscape architect review other options for the entrance driveway material. Please be advised that the motion was changed from approval to deferral subject to the client coming back regarding the specific eight (8) items only.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by Mr. Feldkamp

ARCHITECTURAL PRESENTATION BY: Michael J. Johnson, Architect, and Daniel Menard, La Berge and Menard, Design Architect
LANDSCAPE PRESENTATION BY: Don Skowron
APPLICANT'S ATTORNEY PRESENT: Maura Ziska

Mr. Lindgren read a letter into the record from Coe Broberg & Austin, LLP, relative to the spa location for this project. Mr. Lindgren noted that the spa location has been changed several times since the original submission of these plans. Mr. Lindgren stated that he notified Mr. Broberg of the latest spa location yesterday. He notified Mr. Broberg because on behalf of his client, he had objected to a previous location of the spa, an objection which was later retracted. A second letter was read into the record from Doctors Jim and Selaine Niedel at 115 Via La Selva wherein they express their concerns relative to the impact of the proposed loggia on their landmarked home.

Mr. Menard presented the revised plans in response to last month's deferral and the eight (8) conditions. Mr. Skowron presented the corresponding landscape/hardscape issues, with a focus on buffering. Mr. Menard stated that his client has made numerous accommodations to the design in response to the Niedel's concerns. Though much was improved on the plans, commission members still expressed concern regarding certain doors without transoms, too much hardscape, especially at the front terrace and approaching the front door, and, planting new Ficus as a landscape material choice.

Let the record show that Ms. Diver left the meeting at approximately 11:30 a.m. Mr. Sanchez voted in her stead.

Mr. Broberg, on behalf of Terry Taylor, the neighbor to the north, testified that he had just seen the revised plans until this morning. He asked that his client have the opportunity to review the revised plans prior to approval since the project may impact his property. Mr. Cooney noted that the spa backs up to Mr. Taylor's tennis court, so he felt the location was appropriate. Mr. Cooney asked Mr. Broberg to attempt to contact Mr. Taylor relative to this issue while the LPC was still considering the project. There was discussion about the sight triangle, but the applicant was instructed to abide by the code in that regard.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO APPROVE ITEM #1: (#1: The great room east facing windows, the Gothic windows, return to the earlier approved version).
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. ALBARRAN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MOTION BY MR. MOORE TO APPROVE ITEM #2, AS DRAWN, (WITHOUT FANLIGHT): (#2: That the architect study the fanlight in the breakfast room for conformity with Fatio)
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.
MOTION CARRIED 6-1, WITH MR. COONEY OPPOSED.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO APPROVE ITEM #3: (#3: That at that entrance loggia, the two side knee walls would remain)
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

WITH REGARD TO #4, CODE MUST BE FOLLOWED. No motion.

Mr. Broberg could not reach his client or the property manager. As such, and since this new information was just received this morning, he requested deferral for one month.

MOTION BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE FOR DEFERRAL OF THE SPA RELOCATION. (#5. Consider relocation of the spa)
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SANCHEZ.
MOTION CARRIED 5-2, WITH MR. FELDKAMP AND MRS. ALBARRAN OPPOSED.

AFTER DISCUSSION, THE MAKER AND SECONDER OF THE MOTION WITHDREW THE MOTION FOR DEFERRAL.

MOTION BY MR. MOORE FOR APPROVAL OF THE SPA RELOCATION (#5. Consider relocation of the spa)
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. ALBARRAN.
MOTION CARRIED 6-1, WITH MS. MURPHY OPPOSED.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO APPROVE ITEM #6 (#6: Reduce the size of the west windows in the great room…) AS PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. ALBARRAN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MOTION BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE TO APPROVE ITEM #8 (#8: That the landscape architect review other options for the entrance driveway material) FOR APPROVAL AS PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. MOORE.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

With regard to Item #7, there was a significant amount of further discussion. Mrs. Niedel testified to her position relative to the impact of the loggia on her property. Commission members still expressed concern regarding the height of the loggia, landscaping, and the garage doors.

MOTION BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE FOR DEFERRAL OF THE LANDSCAPE PLAN AND THE ELEVATION OF THE PAVILION, AS THE TWO ARE CONNECTED.
Mr. Cooney passed the gavel to second the motion.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. COONEY.
IT WAS CLARIFIED THAT THE HEIGHT OF THE PAVILION IS ALSO DEFERRED, ALONG WITH THE GARAGE DOORS.

MR. STRAWBRIDGE FURTHER CLARIFIED: "MY INTENT WAS THE FOLLOWING: TO LOOK AT THE LANDSCAPE PLAN IN FRONT OF THE PAVILION AS IT IMPACTS NOT ONLY THE TERRACE WHICH WE ARE LOOKING TO HAVE SHRUNK, IF NOT REMOVED, AND 2. AS IT AIDS THE POSSIBLE DROP IN THE HEIGHT OF THE PAVILION FLOOR, NOT THE SCALE OR THE HEIGHT OF THE BUILDING, BUT THE FINISHED FLOOR LEVEL OF THE PAVILION."

Again, discussion continued with concern expressed regarding the height of the loggia. Mr. Menard maintained that his client is not responsible for the elevation of the Niedel property, and that his client's loggia meets Town code requirements. Ms. Marshall, sensitive to the neighbor's concerns, questioned why the height of the lawn has increased and why the finished floor of the loggia has increased in order to dig a pool. She recommended further study to lower the height of the loggia to give an impression of smaller volume. Commission members acknowledged that noise and lighting will have an impact on neighbors. Dr. Day weighed in that the LPC needs to be concerned with height and impact to neighboring historic structures, like the Niedel home, and that the LPC has an opportunity to "do it right" at this point in the project.

It should be noted that during the discussion, Mr. Strawbridge pulled his motion, but shortly thereafter, brought it back to the table. Ms. Ziska asked whether the project might receive approval if the applicant agreed to lower it 1.5 feet. The LPC responded that the matter will have to return next month.

MOTION RE-STATED BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE THAT THE ISSUE OF LANDSCAPING IS DEFERRED ALONG WITH THE ISSUE OF THE HEIGHT OF THE FLOOR OF THE PAVILION AND MAKING THE GARAGE DOORS NICER. IT WAS NOTED THAT THE ISSUE EXPRESSED EARLIER WITH REGARD TO FICUS, AND THE TERRACE ISSUE ARE INCLUDED UNDER LANDSCAPING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. COONEY. (No vote on this motion. See below.)

Ms. Ziska asked for clarification on the landscaping.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO DEFER THE LANDSCAPE PORTION ONLY OF THIS PROJECT, SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING FOUR (4) ITEMS THAT YOU WOULD COME BACK WITH: (5^{TH} ITEM ADDED-SEE BELOW)

1. **INSTALLING THE GREENSCAPE ABOVE THE GARAGE;**
2. **TO CONSIDER OTHER PLANT MATERIAL OTHER THAN FICUS AT THE NIEDEL PROPERTY LINE;**
3. **TO COME BACK WITH A LANDSCAPE PLAN ACCOMMODATING THE SIGHT TRIANGLE WHICH IS REQUIRED; AND,**
4. **CONSIDER LOWERING THE POOL LOGGIA BY 1.5 TO 3 FEET, OR AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE;**
   AND,
5. **STUDY THE ARCHITECTURE OF THE GARAGE DOORS.**

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Let the record show that there was a short recess at this time. Chairman Cooney stated that the Informal Review item for 347 Worth Avenue would be heard next because of a scheduling conflict.

C. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #003 - 2012

Landscape/Hardscape
Owner/Applicant: Jeffrey Green
Address: 1200 South Ocean Boulevard
Architect: Nievera Williams Design
Project Description: Request approval of landscape/hardscape which is further described as: Proposed new hardscape and landscape for the pool area, motor court, main entrance north screen planting, courtyard and east terrace. Other associated changes as presented.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosures by several members

LANDSCAPE PRESENTATION BY: Keith Williams, Nievera Williams
OTHERS WHO TESTIFIED: Keith Spina, Glidden Spina (for the Bath and Tennis Club), Mark Marsh, Architect for 1200 South Ocean Boulevard

Mr. Williams reviewed the landscape/hardscape plans pursuant to the submitted plans and as listed above. Design elements include: landscape material as presented; a driveway meandering through lush tropical gardens; driveway material: Belgian block or cobblestone apron and main driveway concrete with cast stone finish; 50' long x 20' wide pool with terraces on each side; spa to west of pool; two cabana structures with pergola between; steps and fountain leading down to guesthouse; and, Belgian block inlay in Jerusalem stone motor court.

There was an expressed concern regarding the shape of the pool. Both Mr. Williams and Mr. Marsh justified the design to the commission.

An area of concern is the buffering between the Bath and Tennis Club and this property. Mr. Williams stated that layers of palms at heights of 25' and 35', with underplantings of other trees are planned to screen the area. He noted that they do need to provide a retaining wall due to a grade change. After discussing the plan for buffering, there was concern about the use of Coconut Palms as a buffering material. It was strongly suggested that there be a binding agreement to create a permanent buffer between the properties, especially in consideration of the Bath and Tennis Club.

Mr. Spina, on behalf of the Bath and Tennis Club, testified that grading and drainage issues were resolved by the Bath and Tennis Club and the applicant for 1200 South Ocean Boulevard. As for the site wall on the eastern half of the north property line, both parties will share the repair cost. As for buffering, Mr. Spina stated, on behalf of the Club, that the buffering should appear as it does on EL3 for perpetuity.

Mr. Williams noted that he will have to come back with gates, columns and pier caps.

MOTION BY MR. SANCHEZ FOR APPROVAL AS PROPOSED WITH THE CONDITION THAT THERE IS A LEGAL AGREEMENT KEEPING THE BUFFER, IN WHATEVER MATERIAL IT WOULD BE, IN PERPETUITY AT THE HEIGHTS STATED, AND THAT THIS AGREEMENT IS IN PERPETUITY WITH ANY FUTURE PROPERTY OWNER AS A DEED RESTRICTION.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. Cooney complimented the cooperation between the neighbors.

X. OTHER BUSINESS: (12:22:07 a.m.)

Informal Review: 347 Worth Avenue
LPC TO MAKE RECOMMENDATION RELATIVE TO VARIANCE(S)

Owner/Applicant: The Everglades Club
Architect: Smith and Moore Architects, Inc.
Project Description: Request approval for sign which is further described as: Satin black painted aluminum signage letters and logo on building facade. *Variance requested to allow the sign to be located higher than the first floor elevation of the building which is not permitted by code.*

VARIANCE PRESENTATION BY: Attorney Maura Ziska
ARCHITECTURAL PRESENTATION BY: Harold Smith, Smith and Moore Architects

Ms. Ziska discussed the variances required for the project, followed by Mr. Smith's review of the architectural aspects of the proposed signage on the Worth Avenue arcade. He presented 12" matte black, Times New Roman letters surface mounted on the building. The logo would be done in similar materials, painted black and white. After discussion, the commission recommended that the applicant study signage at Via Parigi and Via Mizner, and advocated for smaller letters. Dr. Day reminded that for purposes of today's hearing, the Town Council wants a recommendation from the LPC as to whether or not this sign negatively impacts the historic structure.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP RECOMMENDING TO THE TOWN COUNCIL THAT IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROPOSED VARIANCE, WITH THE HEIGHT OF THE LETTERING REDUCED TO 10 INCHES, WILL NOT CAUSE NEGATIVE ARCHITECTURAL IMPACT TO THE SUBJECT LANDMARKED PROPERTY.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. MOORE.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

VIII. DESIGNATION HEARINGS: (1:16:12 a.m.)

Item 1: 234 El Brillo Way
Owner: Marc P. Schappell and Thomas B. Anderson

Please be advised that the Notice of Hearing which was sent to the address of record for the owner was returned to the Town on March 14, 2012 and was marked Return to Sender. As such, staff recommends deferral of this hearing.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP FOR DEFERRAL OF 234 EL BRILLO WAY TO THE NEXT SCHEDULED MEETING. (APRIL)
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. ALBARRAN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MOTION AMENDED BY MR. FELDKAMP TO INCLUDE THAT THE DEFERRAL IS MADE WITH THE PROVISO THAT THE OWNER IS WAIVING HIS RIGHT BY ORDINANCE REQUIRING THE COMMISSION TO ACT WITHIN 30 DAYS.
AMENDED MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. ALBARRAN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Item 2: 220 Via Bellaria
Owner: Michael and Anne Flynn

Mrs. Delp verified that proof of publication and proper legal service were achieved with regard to this hearing.

Dr. Day announced that the property owner, Mr. Flynn, was present today in support of the designation. She testified to the architect, architecture and architectural history related to this 1929 Mediterranean Revival Fatio designed home. Her testimony revealed that this property meets the following criteria for designation as a landmark:

Sec. 54-161 (3) Embodies distinguishing characteristics of an architectural type or is a specimen inherently valuable for the study of a period, style, method of construction or use of indigenous materials or craftsmen; and,
Sec. 54-161 (4) Is representative of the notable work of a master builder, designer or architect whose individual ability has been recognized or who influenced his age.

Chairman Cooney expressed the appreciation of the Landmarks Preservation Commission to Mr. Flynn for his support of the designation.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP THAT THE DESIGNATION REPORT BE MADE PART OF THE RECORD.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. ALBARRAN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP THAT THE PROPERTY AT 220 VIA BELLARIA BE RECOMMENDED TO THE TOWN COUNCIL FOR DESIGNATION AS A LANDMARK OF THE TOWN OF PALM BEACH, AND THAT THE CRITERIA, AS PRESENTED, BE MADE PART OF THE RECORD.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. ALBARRAN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Michael Flynn, property owner, thanked the commission for their very thorough preservation efforts within the Town of Palm Beach which help to make Palm Beach so beautiful. He stated that the LPC is “a very big part of the future of Palm Beach retaining its great feel.”

Item 3: 240 Jungle Road
Owner: Elizabeth P. Miller & Gina D. Silvestri TR

Please be advised that the property owner has requested deferral of this hearing to the April meeting.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP FOR DEFERRAL TO THE NEXT MEETING (APRIL), WITH THE PROVISO THAT THE OWNER RECOGNIZES THAT HE OR SHE IS WAIVING HIS OR HER RIGHT BY ORDINANCE REQUIRING THE COMMISSION TO ACT WITHIN 30 DAYS.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. ALBARRAN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

XI. COMMENTS OF THE LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION AND PLANNING ZONING & BUILDING DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR: (1:30:05 a.m.)

Dr. Day stated that the property at 319 El Vedado Road, which had been marketed as a tear down, was recently purchased by new owners who want to landmark the property, and restore/renovate it as a tax abatement project. 319 El Vedado Road is a Clarence Mack, Neo-Classical house from 1936.

MOTION BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE TO PLACE 319 EL VEDADO ROAD “UNDER CONSIDERATION” FOR LANDMARK DESIGNATION.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. MOORE.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. Page reminded the members to respect the Sunshine Law with regard to communications with other LPC members.

Mr. Page stated that the LPC roster has been recently updated, and there has been a request to include e-mail addresses on that roster. He asked the members if they consent to this information being listed. All members except Ms. Murphy consented. *(Mrs. Delp notes that Ms. Murphy re-considered this matter and consented a few days after the meeting.)* The roster has been revised accordingly.

XII. ADJOURNMENT:

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 1:40 P.M.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SANCHEZ.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

The next meeting of the Landmarks Preservation Commission will be held on Wednesday, April 18, 2012 at 9:30 a.m. in the Town Council Chambers, 2nd floor, Town Hall, 360 South County Road, Palm Beach, Florida

Respectfully submitted,

Edward Austin Cooney, Chairman
LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION

cmd