



TOWN OF PALM BEACH

Planning, Zoning & Building Department

MINUTES

LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION

WEDNESDAY, MAY 17, 2006 AT 9:00 A.M.

AT THE TOWN COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 360 SOUTH COUNTY ROAD, PALM BEACH

I **CALL TO ORDER:** Chairman Pandula called the meeting to order at 9:02 a.m.

II **ROLL CALL:**

PRESENT: Eugene Pandula, Chairman
William Lee Hanley Jr., Vice Chairman
Wendy Victor, Secretary
Patrick Segraves, Member
Robert T. Eigelberger, Member
Eileen Bresnan, Member
Gail Coniglio, Alternate Member
Hazel Rubin, Alternate Member
D. Imogene Willis, Alternate Member
John C. Randolph, Town Attorney
Veronica B. Close, Director of Planning, Zoning & Building Dept.
Timothy M. Frank, Planning Administrator
Jane S. Day, Staff Preservation Consultant

ABSENT: Judy Hoffman, Member (Excused by Town Manager)

Recording Secretary: Cynthia M, Delp

III **APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE APRIL 19, 2006 MEETING:**
MOTION BY MR. EIGELBERGER TO APPROVE THE MINUTES.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. VICTOR.

Mr. Hanley noted that a correction was needed to Page 14 at the motion, correcting Mrs. Hanley to Mr. Hanley.

MOTION FOR APPROVAL, AS CORRECTED, CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

IV **ADMINISTRATION OF THE OATH TO PERSONS WHO WISH TO TESTIFY:**
By Mrs. Delp

V **PUBLIC HEARINGS:**

- A. 455 North County Road: Continuation of Deterioration by Neglect
Owner: 123, LLC
Continuation of quarterly reports, Attorney Frank Lynch

CALL FOR DISCLOSURE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATION: None declared

As Mr. Lynch had not yet arrived, Mr. Frank stated that many of the major trees on the site had been damaged by the hurricanes. The applicant coordinated with Joe Ugi, the Town Forester, who indicated that certain trees were damaged to the point that they had to be removed. This has effectively largely denuded the site. Mr. Frank contacted the landscape architect, John Lang. Mr. Lang indicated that minimal changes to the approved landscape plan are anticipated, and a plan is being developed to replace the canopy/specimen trees which were lost. Mr. Lang is inventorying available plant/tree material in the area to secure the largest plants/trees available. When this process is complete, the landscape plan will be presented to the LPC for changes. Mr. Lang has stated that he should be ready to return to the LPC next month.

Mrs. Victor asked about perimeter planting at the site, since the site has been open for three years. Mr. Frank responded that to his knowledge, no perimeter landscape was presented for the project because prior to the hurricanes, most of the vegetation was to be retained.

Mr. Lynch, having just arrived, presented a series of photos showing the current status of the project. He noted that the drywall is done; the preliminary finish work is well underway; and, the exterior painting has started.

Mr. Pandula asked how far the project is from completion. Mr. Lynch estimated that the project should be complete within six months.

Mr. Eigelberger thought that he recalled that the LPC had required a Unity of Title on this property to prevent the front land from being parceled off and sold. Mr. Lynch stated that there is no Unity of Title now, though he was not involved with this property at the point of the original demolition work. He admitted that the current property owner had considered subdividing the property, and had looked into re-zoning the property, but that was denied. So, at this point the zoning, R-A, remains intact and there is no Unity of Title. Mr. Eigelberger asked staff to check back into the LPC records to see if there had been an agreement that a Unity of Title be filed. Mr. Frank recalled that there was never a Unity of Title at this property, but stated that staff will check the records.

MOTION BY MR. HANLEY TO ACCEPT THE REPORT FROM MR. LYNCH.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. EIGELBERGER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

It should be noted that Mr. Lynch will return to the LPC in three months for the next progress report.

B. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #7-2006

Accessory Structure

Owner/Applicant: The Society of the Four Arts

Address: 1-2 Four Arts Plaza

Architect: Morgan Wheelock, Inc.

Project Description: Request approval for new accessory structure which is further described as: A revision to the design of the Women's Garden Club Area, which is a walled space north of the Library Courtyard and adjacent to the existing Library. The revision includes modification of the boundary walls from the previously approved 8' solid walls to 3' solid walls with 4-1/2' of decorative aluminum trellis panels above. These trellis panels match the aluminum work on the Library Pavilions. Supporting the trellis panels are pilasters that match the Sculpture Garden pilasters and will be finished in the same smooth stucco finish. The Garden Club Slat House will be located at the north end of the Garden Club Area. This aluminum structure will compliment the Pannill Garden Pavilion, currently under construction at the Sculpture Garden. The Slat House will be an open air structure that will shelter the Garden Club's horticultural needs. Other associated changes as presented

CALL FOR DISCLOSURE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATION: None declared.

Mr. Don Skowron, from Morgan Wheelock Inc., Landscape Architects, and Jacqueline Albarran de Mendoza, Architect and Architectural Consultant for this project, presented this request. Mr. Skowron stated that over the last year, his firm has been working with the Garden Club, the caretakers of the Display Garden. A larger work area, approximately 2,024 square feet, for the Garden Club has been designed off the northeast wing of the library addition. Site walls, some 8 ft. in height and some 3 ft. in height will surround the work area, together with landscaping. The design is intended to provide increased air flow and light. The new structure proposed is a slat house in the north end of the work area which is composed of aluminum and wood with column details and aluminum panels to match the flavor of the Garden House and the two pavilions. Mrs. Albarran de Mendoza stated that the new building will have a wooden slatted roof, using pressure treated painted wood, and painted aluminum elements. Paint color to be used will be light green. It was noted that the LPC was very interested in the structural connectors of the new structure being hidden from view. It was also noted that approximately three parking spaces will be lost due to the new 500-600 square foot structure.

Mr. Pandula thought it might be preferable to have the roof be natural stained wood rather than green. Mr. Skowron stated that he would take that into consideration.

MOTION BY MR. EIGELBERGER FOR APPROVAL OF THE PROJECT PROVIDED THAT THE WOODEN SLATTED ROOF IS NOT PAINTED GREEN. A COFFEE COLOR WAS SUGGESTED AS THE ROOF COLOR.

**MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. VICTOR.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

Mr. Pandula asked Mr. Skowron to return to the LPC with a stain or paint color for the roof which is different from the green color.

- C. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #8-2006
Modifications to previous approval
Owner/Applicant: Mr. Stephen Schwarzman
Address: 1768 South Ocean Boulevard
Architect: Ferguson & Shamamian Architects, LLP, Mr. Mark Ferguson
Project Description: Request approval for minor modifications to approved house design which is further described as: Raise the sill height of one window on the north facade (at the second floor) by one window lite. Raise the sill height of two windows on the south facade (at the second floor) by one window lite. Modify the design of guard rails on the north, west, and south elevations of the guest wing. Change the material of the two flat roof decks on the north and south sides of the main house from metal to wood. Change one window to a French door of the same width on the south flat roof deck of the main house. Add two exterior lights flanking the new French door. Add a guardrail on the south flat roof deck of the main house. Add a new entrance to the basement playroom. Modify cloister fountain. Other associated changes as presented.

CALL FOR DISCLOSURE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATION:

Mr. Hanley met with the architect and attorney on site.
Mr. Eigelberger met with the architect and attorney at the site.
Mrs. Victor had a call from the lawyer, but met with staff instead.
Mr. Segraves met with the architect and lawyer on site.
Mrs. Bresnan met with the architect and lawyer on site who told her why she should support this project.
Mr. Pandula met with the architect and the attorney at the Town Hall to review the proposal.
Mrs. Coniglio met with the architect and attorney on site.

Architect Mark Ferguson and Attorney Maura Ziska made the presentation for minor modifications to the Four Winds project. It should be noted that the original model for the project was brought to the meeting along with a full section of the proposed railing. Mr. Ferguson explained that the window sill heights in certain locations were being raised to prevent water penetration which could have resulted from the roof configuration. Mr. Ferguson reviewed the elevations explaining the changes which involve reduced numbers and placement

of lanterns, and railing changes. On the west elevation, the proposed metal railing will be changed to an "X" patterned railing with glass inserts to meet code. Picket style railing will be used on west guest elevation steps. Lattice work and lanterns at the pool house have been modified. On the south elevation, a wooden roof deck will replace a copper roof. The "X" pattern railing with glass will be installed at the roof deck to meet code. This railing will be set back 8 feet from the face of the building. On the west terrace elevation, two openings will be created within the garden wall to access a play area inside. Regarding the picket railing, it was felt that the original design was too repetitive. As such, the new railing design, per the large sample presented, will be installed instead. The railing will be aluminum, though it will appear as wood. The originally proposed small fountain in the guest cloister will also be changed to a larger pool of water with an urn.

Mrs. Day was very positive relative to all of the changes presented. She stated that as construction proceeds on any building, certain details need to be modified so it works better. These changes fall into that category, and will help to create a better final project. She appreciated the architect's attention to detail.

MOTION BY MR. EIGELBERGER TO APPROVE THE MODIFICATIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED. HE STATED THAT THE CHANGES MAKE THE HOUSE MORE LIVEABLE, AND THAT THIS IS AN AMAZING PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. HANLEY.

Mrs. Victor wondered if the glass inserts in the railing were appropriate. Mr. Eigelberger responded that the glass must be used in order to preserve the historic railing design and still meet current building codes. Mrs. Victor commented that she was happy to see a Preservation Foundation representative in the audience today, and asked Mr. Stambaugh for his comments relative to the project. Mr. Stambaugh stated that he shared Mr. Eigelberger's enthusiasm for approval of this project. Mr. Pandula commented that all the changes proposed are consistent and support the previous approval.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

VI OTHER BUSINESS:

- A. Informal Review: 4 Golf Road
 (In conjunction with Variance #21-2006)
 2nd story addition over existing garage
 New 55 sq. ft. two story addition
 Change from a flat to pitch style roof on the existing one story guest house

Let the record show that Frank Chopin, Attorney, and Jeffery W. Smith and Bill Nolan from Smith Architectural Group presented this request. Mr. Smith stated that this is a simple project including the following: Changing a one story rear garage with a flat roof to a two story

structure; adding an elevator to the house; and, changing the flat roof on the guest house to a barrel tile roof. Mr. Smith showed a site plan, materials removal plan, and all elevations.

Mr. Chopin explained that a series of variances are required to effect these changes: 1) On the east property line, a setback variance is required; 2) Variance on lot coverage due to the elevator; and, 3) a setback variance needed for the hip roof on the guest house.

Mr. Eigelberger thought that the proposal makes the house more liveable, and he supported the variance requests with respect to the architecture.

Mr. Chopin summarized his justification for the variances by the following: A landmarked house should get special consideration; the neighbors do not object to the proposal; and, there is no increase in encroachments due to the proposed changes.

Mario Nievera gave a brief presentation of the landscape/hardscape for the project. Mr. Frank noted, for the record, that landscaping was not part of the advertised project.

Mrs. Day stated that the proposed changes do not negatively impact the landmark architecture of the house. She noted, however, that the commission will need to see a detail relative to the replacement of the front door.

MOTION BY MR. EIGELBERGER THAT THE LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION APPROVES OF THE DESIGN OF THE ADDITION AND RECOMMENDS THAT THE TOWN COUNCIL APPROVE THE ASSOCIATED VARIANCES. (It was understood that the LPC will formally review the design next month for Certificate of Appropriateness.)

**MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. VICTOR.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

VII COMMENTS OF THE LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION AND PLANNING ZONING AND BUILDING DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR:

Ms. Willis stated that she had contacted the **Society of the Four Arts** to see if they are members of the Florida Trust and National Trust. The Society of the Four Arts will be joining both of these organizations and as such, will have the periodicals of both groups available in the Four Arts Library.

Mr. Eigelberger commented that at the **old school building, on the west side of Cocoanut Row**, the landscaping and grass is not being cared for properly, and the building needs attention. Mr. Frank responded that the Four Arts is responsible for the maintenance of the site, and he will contact Mr. Ron Minnichs at the Four Arts to rectify the situation.

Mr. Eigelberger asked for a confirmation that since the **Royal Poinciana Plaza** is 'under consideration," all changes to the exterior of the buildings and property would have to come to the LPC for approval. Mr. Frank confirmed that approval by the LPC is required for any changes.

Mr. Pandula passed the gavel to make the following motion.

MOTION BY MR. PANDULA TO PLACE 221 SEASPRAY AVENUE, A 1924 GUSTAVE MAASS BUILDING, "UNDER CONSIDERATION" FOR LANDMARK DESIGNATION.

**MOTION SECONDED BY MR. EIGELBERGER.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

Comments regarding the **paving of the crosswalks of the Town Hall Square Historic District:** Mr. Frank indicated that the crosswalks are to be paved in a brick pattern with white stripes on each side of the brick pattern. The brick pattern could be done in asphalt or painted asphalt. Staff prefers a color consistent asphalt without painting. Mr. Segraves hoped it would not be a red color, but instead a cobblestone or concrete color. Mr. Frank stated that the latest proposal is for a red brick patterned asphalt, with the red being unlike the red of the West Palm Beach crosswalks, and more like St. Louis brick. Mr. Pandula stated that the LPC, last month, has asked for a buff or tan color, and definitely not red. Mr. Frank stated that he will make this known to Public Works and the DOT.

Mr. Eigelberger brought up the **Seagull Cottage**, asking Mr. Stambaugh about the status of the cottage and the prospective move, etc. Mr. Stambaugh responded that the Preservation Foundation has submitted a draft lease to the Town for review. Mr. Eigelberger stated that he is concerned about the landscaping at Bradley Park, and maintained that the LPC needs to see a landscape plan for the site. Mr. Stambaugh responded that Jorge Sanchez is their landscape architect, and the plans are in the planning stage at this time. Realizing that the move and the landscape plan will take some time, Mr. Eigelberger asked for some assurance that the Seagull Cottage was being properly maintained and air conditioned in its current location at the Royal Poinciana Chapel site. Mr. Stambaugh stated that the Chapel is well aware of their need to maintain the cottage. When the cottage is moved, responsibility for maintenance belongs to the Preservation Foundation. Mr. Pandula asked if Mr. Stambaugh was satisfied that the current level of maintenance of the cottage was sufficient to stabilize the cottage until moved. Mr. Stambaugh responded that he did not feel it would be appropriate now to do a full restoration

since the building will be moved, but it should be maintained to preserve the building's integrity. He felt that it was up to the Code Enforcement Officer to determine whether Town codes are being violated at the site. Mr. Pandula inquired whether the Preservation Foundation has retained an architect or engineer to validate the structural integrity of the building. Mr. Stambaugh indicated that their construction managers are performing an assessment of the structure and will provide a cost estimate for the renovations.

Mr. Eigelberger referred to a code enforcement list, dated today, listing various building conditions at Seagull Cottage: east facade rotten, floor board safety hazard, hurricane tracks loose, check for hurricane shutters, window surround rotten, fascia rotten-north facade, vertical board supporting inner hallway completely rotten, structural elements at risk - south facade, moisture through the siding, shingles off-west facade, shingles off roof, all wood on porch rotten - safety hazard, and, Chippendale railing inappropriately replaced. Mr. Eigelberger stated that when he visited the building approximately eight months ago, his foot almost went through the porch. This is a safety hazard. Mr. Eigelberger maintained that the Chapel is not doing its job in maintaining the cottage. He cautioned Mr. Stambaugh that the building is a safety hazard with the potential for liability, and strongly recommended that these issues be addressed. He also noted that the building must be secured for hurricane season with shutters. Mr. Eigelberger asked the Preservation Foundation to take charge of the building now, not after a year has passed. Mr. Stambaugh reiterated his statement that until the building is moved, maintenance of the building is the responsibility of the Chapel.

Mr. Frank stated that staff is moving forward with code enforcement relative to the conditions at the cottage. He noted that if the Chapel is still responsible for the cottage, they must adhere to the code for proper maintenance. Ms. Close added that Ms. Day, Mr. Frank, and the Town's Code Enforcement officer will visit the site and meet with Chapel representatives. The Town will issue a code enforcement notice of violations letter. She was not sure when the matter would be heard at the Code Enforcement Board level. Ms. Close looked forward to full cooperation from all parties involved to ensure that the building is secured.

Mrs. Coniglio hoped this matter would move forward as soon as possible. She was reluctant to find fault with the Chapel in light of the circumstances. Mr. Pandula thought that moving the building within a year would be an optimistic estimate. Mrs. Victor felt that the most important action to take regarding the cottage would be to shutter it from possible hurricanes.

Mrs. Victor noted her concern for two other landmarked properties, now that hurricane season is upon us. These are **1200 South Ocean Boulevard** and **710 South Ocean Boulevard**. At 710 South Ocean Boulevard, she found open doors, broken windows, roof tiles missing, rotten wood frames and stairs, etc. She stressed the need to act quickly, especially when code enforcement is such a "lumber some" process. At the very least, these properties should be boarded up to preserve beautiful interior features.

Ms. Close stated that the Town's Code Compliance Officer has already met with the property owner of 710 South Ocean Boulevard and has advised him that further deterioration of the property must be prevented. The same measures will be taken for other deteriorated landmark properties.

Relative to the Glazer house (1200 South Ocean Blvd.), the commission had been previously told to expect to see a new proposal for the site come before the Town Council and the LPC. To date, no applications have been filed. Ms. Close stated that the property owner and his team have experienced a delay and staff is proceeding with code enforcement. Mr. Stambaugh stated that the Glazer property is moving forward, in a good faith effort, to bring new plans to the table, but since this house has lingered in its present condition for so many years, proper code enforcement action is appropriate.

Mrs. Victor suggested that these properties in jeopardy should be allowed to be fast tracked through the code enforcement system. Mrs. Coniglio agreed that landmark building should be on a different track because the normal code enforcement process takes too long.

Mr. Pandula posed the reality that it might be beneficial to some of these owners if their buildings were destroyed in a hurricane. He contended, however, that the owner's lack of action toward proper maintenance should not result in what some owners may view as the "bonus" of having the building destroyed, and that the owner should be required to re-build the building.

VIII COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC:

Mr. Arnold Hoffman, 30 year Town resident living at the Biltmore, expressed his concerns about the Royal Poinciana Playhouse. He noted that he was speaking for himself, not representing any other group. Mr. Hoffman stated that anyone can purchase property within the town to develop it, but the Town should not permit any action to be taken which would make us a suburb to West Palm Beach. He was against the waterfront being lined with condominiums, and asked the Landmarks Preservation Commission to preserve the Town, our community, and the Town's beautiful buildings, including the **Royal Poinciana Playhouse**.

Mr. Patrick Flynn, President of the Palm Beach Theatre Guild, addressed the LPC asking that the LPC take immediate action to landmark the **playhouse** now, apart from the rest of the Royal Poinciana Plaza. Mr. Flynn, noting that the Royal Poinciana Plaza and Playhouse matter is in the courts, stated that if the courts find that the 1979 agreement (thought to be protecting the playhouse) is not valid, the protection, afforded by the 1979 agreement, will cease to exist. Fearing that this might be the outcome, he strongly encouraged the LPC to act.

Mr. Eigelberger responded that the LPC has already placed the Royal Poinciana Plaza, including the Royal Poinciana Playhouse, "under consideration" for landmark designation. As such, any changes to the plaza buildings or the playhouse would have to come to the LPC for approval first. This protects the building, aside from the 1979 agreement.

Mr. Frank and Mrs. Day agreed that the building is protected with the "under consideration" status. Mrs. Day stated that staff is writing a new designation report, since the property placed "under consideration" is the entire Royal Poinciana Plaza, not just the theatre. Mr. Randolph agreed that the distinct protections offered by the 1979 agreement and the "under consideration" status are two different issues.

Mr. Flynn asked that the matter be placed on the LPC's November agenda, at which point designation season begin. He also asked that code enforcement become involved because the building is being allowed to deteriorate, and it is not being air-conditioned.

Mr. Frank pledged that staff will bring the designation of the Royal Poinciana Plaza to the LPC sometime during the 06/07 Designation Season, but would not commit to November. He declined to comment relative to perceived code enforcement issues relative to the playhouse.

Prior to adjournment, Mr. Pandula congratulated staff on being the only municipality in Palm Beach County successful in being awarded a Preservation Grant from the State of Florida. Mrs. Day stated the \$350,000 awarded will be used to fix the Town Hall roof, and the Town will be eligible to apply for additional funds in the future.

Ms. Close reminded the members about the member orientation session today at 2:00 p.m.

IX ADJOURNMENT:

**MOTION BY MR. EIGELBERGER TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 10:55 A.M.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. VICTOR.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

The next meeting of the Landmarks Preservation Commission will be held on Wednesday, June 21, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. in the Town Hall, 360 South County Road, 2nd Floor, Town Council Chambers.

Respectfully submitted,

Wendy Victor, Secretary

Wendy Victor, Secretary
LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION

cmd

**TOWN OF PALM BEACH
LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION**

ATTENDANCE RECORD 2006

MEMBERS	1/06	2/06	3/06	4/06	5/06	6/06	7/06	8/06	9/06	10/06	11//06	12/06
Eugene Pandula	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Ann Blades	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	(Term maximum reached)									
Judy Hoffman	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_E_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_E_</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Patrick Segraves	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Wendy Victor	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
William Lee Hanley Jr.	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Robert Eigelberger	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Eileen Bresnan			<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u>_P_</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

ALTERNATE MEMBERS	1/06	2/06	3/06	4/06	5/06	6/06	7/06	8/06	9/06	10/06	11/06	12/06	
Eileen L. (Morris) Bresnan	<u> P </u>	<u> P </u>	(Promoted to regular member)										
Gail L. Coniglio	<u> P </u>	<u> P </u>	<u> P </u>	<u> P </u>	<u> P </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Hazel Rubin	<u> P </u>	<u> P </u>	<u> P </u>	<u> P </u>	<u> P </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
D. Imogene Willis			<u> P </u>	<u> P </u>	<u> P </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

LEGEND: P=Present. E=Excused, U=Unexcused, A=Absence Pending Classification as "excused" or "unexcused"



**TOWN OF PALM BEACH
LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION**

RECORD OF VOTING CONFLICTS 2006

MEMBERS	1/06	2/06	3/06	4/06	5/06	6/06	7/06	8/06	9/06	10/06	11/06	12/06
---------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------

Eugene Pandula	<u>V</u>											
----------------	----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Ann Blades			(Term maximum reached)									
------------	--	--	------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Judy Hoffman												
--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Patrick Segraves	<u>V</u>	<u>VPD1</u>										
------------------	----------	-------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Wendy Victor												
--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

William Lee Hanley Jr.												
------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Robert T. Eigelberger												
-----------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Eileen Bresnan												
----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

ALTERNATE MEMBERS	1/06	2/06	3/06	4/06	5/06	6/06	7/06	8/06	9/06	10/06	11/06	12/06
-------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------

Robert T. Eigelberger				(promoted to regular member)								
-----------------------	--	--	--	------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Eileen L. (Morris) Bresnan			(Promoted to regular member)									
----------------------------	--	--	------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Gail L. Coniglio												
------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Hazel Rubin												
-------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

D. Imogene Willis												
-------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LEGEND:

V	=	One Voting Conflict during a meeting
V2	=	Two Voting Conflicts during a meeting
V3	=	Three Voting Conflicts during a meeting

VPD	=	Voting Conflict Previously Declared (This category to be used when a conflict has Been declared at a previous meeting, and the Changes being reviewed are part of an ongoing project. ONLY COUNT ORIGINALDECLARED CONFLICT PER JCR, TOWN ATTORNEY)
-----	---	---

