



TOWN OF PALM BEACH

Planning, Zoning & Building Department

MINUTES

LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION

9:00 a.m., WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 19, 1992

AT THE TOWN COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 360 SOUTH COUNTY ROAD, PALM BEACH

I. Call to Order: The meeting was called to order at 9:04 a.m. by Chairman Lillian Jane Volk.

II. Roll Call:

PRESENT: Lillian Jane Volk, Chairman
Elise P. Mackintosh, Vice Chairman
Sally Gingras, Secretary
Jacqueline Albarran de Mendoza, Member
Leslie Divoll, Member
John C. Randolph, Town Attorney
Robert L. Moore, Director of Planning, Zoning & Building
Timothy M. Frank, Planner/Projects Coordinator

ABSENT: William S. Gubelmann, Member
Anne G. Metzger, Member
Arthur Silverman, Alternate Member
Wilmer J. Thomas Jr., Alternate Member
Jane R. Grace, Alternate Member

RECORDING SECRETARY: Cynthia M. Delp

Let the record show that Mrs. Albarran de Mendoza arrived at 9:05 a.m.

Let the record show that Ms. Kim Ayers, Court Reporter from Florida Court Reporting, was present to transcribe the entire meeting. Ms. Ayers stated that she was hired by Attorney James McCartney Wearn's office.

III. Approval of the Minutes of the July 15, 1992 Meeting:

Mrs. Volk read an amendment to the July Minutes as proposed by Mrs. Divoll:

Page 6, paragraph beginning "Mrs. Divoll presented a site plan..."

Please change "presented a site plan of Via Parigi" to "reviewed the architect's Via Parigi site plan."

MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES, AS AMENDED, BY MRS. GINGRAS.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. MACKINTOSH.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

IV. Public Hearings:

A. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness No. 42-92,
Awnings

Owner/Applicant: Via Mizner Associates Limited Partnership
Address: 18 Via Mizner
Project Description: Request approval to replace
deteriorated yellow/orange and white awnings with new green
and white striped awnings

Mr. Kirk Henckels submitted a site plan of Via Mizner to the
Commission. This site plan will be retained on file, for
use whenever requests for Via Mizner are heard.

Using the site plan, Mr. Henckels reviewed the existing
approved awning colors for Via Mizner...solid orange/yellow,
orange/yellow and white striped in one courtyard; and dark
green in the other courtyard. The awnings in question are
the three awnings on the second story level at the Thomas
Morrissey Hair Salon. Historically, as shown by a submitted
photo, green and white striped awnings were used in this
location. Mrs. Volk commented that green and white awnings
were a very typical color choice, and may have been the
original awnings.

MOTION BY MRS. MACKINTOSH TO APPROVE THE AWNING REPLACEMENT
AS SUBMITTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. ALBARRAN DE MENDOZA.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mrs. Divoll thanked Mr. Henckels for providing the site plan
to the Commission.

B. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness No. 43-92,
Signage

Owner: Via Mizner Associates Limited Partnership
Applicant: Gallery 13 Art & Antiques
Address: 335 Worth Avenue
Project Description: Request approval for identification
signage on two windows to read: Gallery 13 Art & Antiques.
Letters (gold with black outline) will be 5" high, and total
width of sign will be 6'.

Mr. Steve Rowe of Phil Rowe Signs modified the request, as
stated above, reducing the size of the lettering to 4",
which changes the width of the sign to approximately 56".
The signage will be placed low on two windows. Mrs. Volk
noted that this sign does meet the requirement of the Town's
Sign Code.

MOTION BY MRS. GINGRAS TO APPROVE THE SIGNAGE REQUEST AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. DIVOLL.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

C. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness No. 44-92, Signage

Owner: Raymond Mansfield/Allen Morris Company

Applicant: Joshua Ritz Corp.

Address: 139 N. County Road Ste. 15

Project Description: Request approval to paint 1" stripes around (7) seven windows on glass; apply 2" white vinyl lettering on glass to read "Joshua Ritz"; and stud mount (4) 6" x 12" Corian plaques (engraved and gold paint filled to read "Joshua Ritz" mounted next to entrance doors.

Mr. Bill Leppert, of Brite Lite Neon & Custom Sign Co., presented this request as stated above, without any modification, on behalf of Mrs. Dorothea Lorber of Joshua Ritz. He presented samples of the vinyl lettering and the Corian plaque. The striping on the windows will be done in 1" white enamel paint.

MOTION BY MRS. DIVOLL TO APPROVE THE SIGNAGE AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. ALBARRAN DE MENDOZA.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

D. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness No. 45-92, Misc. changes to rear courtyard

Owner/Applicant: Power-Love Associates c/o Mr. Gustave Broberg

Address: 256 Worth Avenue

Architect: Robert L. Bell

Project Description: Request approval for the following:

1. New show window at tenant space (east end of rear bldg.)
2. New wrought iron or aluminum grillwork, painted black, at opening west of new show window
3. New openings in elevator tower with cast stone columns at corner and wrought iron or aluminum grillwork infill painted black.
4. Infill partition with new show window west of elevator tower
5. Revised storefront with new lowered fixed glass in bronze frame, cast stone columns at corners of bay window and cast stone steps at west end of courtyard

Mr. Robert Bell, Architect, presented the project as follows:

Regarding #1: New show window at tenant space (east end of rear building.) There is an existing small 2' x 3' rectangular glass jalousie window at the east end of the rear building. The request is to change it to a larger

arched show window to give further exposure of the tenant space. The head height and mullion height of the window will match that of the windows to the west of this location. The existing bougainvillea in this location will remain.

Regarding #2: New wrought iron or aluminum grillwork, painted black, at opening west of show window The dimensions of the wrought iron grillwork will be 7.5' tall by 3.3' wide.

(Mr. Frank noted, for the record, that even though the drawings submitted show different fenestration on the second floor than currently exist, no changes to the second floor fenestration are included in this request.)

Some concern was expressed with respect to borrowing the large arch form from another building, and using it on this building. Using another window form would be preferable. In fact, the Commission suggested that a pair of long arched windows be inserted instead of the proposed arched show window. Further, the Commission suggested that the east three arched windows be lengthened to match the other two.

Regarding #3: New openings in elevator tower with cast stone columns at corner and wrought iron or aluminum grillwork infill painted black Mr. Bell noted that the elevator tower was an addition, not original. The openings are contemplated for the tower to provide both interior and exterior visual interest. As the existing elevator is tiny, dark, and intimidating, the proposed openings will provide a view of the courtyard and permit needed light.

Mrs. Albarran de Mendoza stated her opinion that the corner columns are not necessary, and that it would be more appropriate to vary the size and/or shape of the openings...actually, to decrease the size of the openings as the tower rises. Mrs. Volk commented that the original courtyard was very simple. Now, however, so many openings exist and more are contemplated, creating facades which are "too busy". Mrs. Volk was similarly not in favor of the grillwork proposed for the elevator tower openings. Mr. Bell stated that if the grillwork is a problem here, it could be eliminated, leaving just the openings.

The consensus of opinion was that the grillwork at the openings should be eliminated, leaving just the openings,

Regarding #4: Infill partition with new show window west of elevator tower The owner desires to infill this existing rectangular opening and insert a new arched show window/door. Mr. Frank stated, per his consultation with Mr. Zimmerman, Assistant Building Official, that the enclosure, itself, does not require a variance. However, if it is intended for use as additional commercial square footage, it would, indeed, require a variance. The Commission asked why the enclosure was being requested. Mr. Bell replied that visual approval of the enclosure was his reason for appearing before the Commission. He was unaware

of the owner's intent for the request. Mrs. Divoll expressed her concern that the applicant was asking the Commission to alter an historic structure without first stating his need, or his intent. Mr. Bell, in response, asked whether the Commission would consider an approval of just the arch element, omitting the glazing.

Regarding #5: Revised storefront with new lowered fixed glass in bronze frame, cast stone columns at corners of bay window and cast stone steps at west end of courtyard
Mrs. Divoll expressed concern regarding these proposed changes as decorative elements are again being introduced on an existing simple building. While agreeing that the show window be lowered, she suggested that existing wood elements be carried through to the first floor, that the steps be eliminated at the show window substituting a belt course, that steps appear at the glazed entrance door only, and that the existing bougainvillea remain in place.

MOTION BY MRS. MACKINTOSH TO APPROVE REQUEST #4, AS AMENDED, FOR AN ARCHED PASSAGEWAY WITHOUT GLAZING, AND REQUEST #5, AS AMENDED, FOR THE STOREFRONT PROPOSED TO INCLUDE A LOWERED SHOW WINDOW AND GLAZED ENTRANCE DOOR, ELIMINATING THE COLUMNS AND RETAINING THE WOOD FRAME ELEMENTS THROUGH TO THE FIRST FLOOR, ELIMINATING THE STEPS AT THE SHOW WINDOW IN FAVOR OF A BELT COURSE, RETAINING OF STEPS AT THE ENTRANCE DOOR, AND RETAINING THE EXISTING BOUGAINVILLEA.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. GINGRAS.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MOTION BY MRS. GINGRAS TO DEFER COA # 45-92, SPECIFICALLY REQUESTS #1, #2, AND #3, AS LISTED ABOVE, TO THE NEXT MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. DIVOLL.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

E. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness No. 46-92, Signage

Owner/Applicant: Mr. Robert, Joseph Sr., Joseph Jr. & Leo Bustani

Address: 350 South County Road

Project Description: Request approval of identification signage for the face of the building to read "Palm Beach Gallery Building". Lettering to be 7"/5.5" x 1/2" thick matt black

Mr. Steve Rowe of Phil Rowe Signs presented this request with the following changes: The size of the lettering may change to 8"/6" instead of 7"/5.5". This size may fluctuate somewhat because the letters must fit in a 4'x 8' area. Mr. Rowe stated that the applicant is maintaining the approved style, texture and color for this building, but wants to upgrade to a prismatic letter to actually name the building.

MOTION BY MRS. DIVOLL TO APPROVE THE SIGNAGE AS REQUESTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. MACKINTOSH.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. Rowe recommended that, in the future, the color of the lettering for this building be kept the same. This attempt had been made, with one exception. The Helander Gallery was permitted a different letter color so that their South County Road signage would match their closing Worth Avenue signage.

F. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness No. 47-92,
Pool and tennis pavilion

Owner/Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Ralph MacDonald

Address: 300 El Brillo Way

Architect: Smith Architectural Group, Inc.

Project Description: Request approval for new swimming pool and tennis pavilion

Mrs. Divoll stated that as of yesterday, when she visited the Building Dept. to review this file, there were no plans submitted. Therefore, the public could not have reviewed this item either. Mr. Frank confirmed, for the record, that no plans were submitted for this project. Mrs. Volk commented that she had gone to Smith Architectural Group offices to review any available plans related to 300 El Brillo Way. Mr. Jeff Smith commented that they had done at least ten different designs for the owner who had changed his mind quite often. The last change occurred as late as yesterday afternoon. Mr. Smith, in admission that they had not submitted plans to the Town, yet requested review, as the owner wants to proceed with these items. He also stated that the MacDonald's have done a superior job in restoration of this house, even down to the bathroom fixtures. Mrs. Volk replied that it is not the desire of the Commission to cause inconvenience to the owners, but on the other hand, cautioned Mr. Smith to submit drawings in a timely fashion. Mr. Randolph stated that the property was properly legally advertised, and since there is no specific ruling on the submittal date of plans, the matter could therefore be heard if the Commission chooses to do so.

Mr. Ken Brower, Architect, continued with the presentation. He explained that the proposed tennis pavilion will be placed on axis with the opening, on the back side of the porch, which is used to exit from the foyer to the back yard. It will also be on axis with center court of the tennis court. The pavilion will be a very small simple structure employing the same design and materials as the rest of the house. As to height, the pavilion will be 9' high from the surface grade of the tennis court. Interiorly, there will be a small bath and shower and small wet bar.

Mr. Moore noted for the record that the tennis court has received a variance from the Town Council for placement 6'

from the north property line. In fact, the swimming pool also has received a variance for placement 5' from the north property line. The north property line, however, has been in dispute. Currently, the location of the tennis court, as the Town believes, is 1' from the property line, rather than 6'. There is a question of ownership of the easement located 5' to the north. "An appeal of the condition of ownership, in front of the Town Council, was decided against the applicant, and a variance was received for the swimming pool subsequent to the approval for the tennis court. The tennis court, at this point, must be located 5' south of the location that it is now, in order to compensate for the questionable property line, which the Town has determined is, in fact, in the location where it is. Their options are this...either to shorten the tennis court or in the event that they choose to apply for a variance to the front yard, come and ask for encroachment into the front yard setback requirement; or ask for a variance for 1' from the property line, in the alternative. They have really three choices." Mr. Moore asked the Commission to determine whether or not the 5' in question is important to their review of the matter today. A review today could proceed with the understanding that the court might be either shortened or moved 5'.

Mr. Brower stated that Mr. MacDonald, an avid tennis player, will absolutely not consider shortening the court, so the options are to move the court 5' to the south or ask for a variance to place the court 1' from the property line. Mr. Smith stated, for the record, that the tennis pavilion, requested in today's application, requires no variance.

Mrs. Albarran de Mendoza commented that she had no problem with approving the location of the court as shown or moved 5' to the south.

Regarding the swimming pool, Mr. Brower showed original photos of the Huntington house, showing the lagoon-type pool which existed at that time. The MacDonalds wish to re-create the lagoon pool by constructing a free-form pool which respects existing landscaping. The exact location or shape of the pool cannot be determined until the ground is excavated and locations of existing tree root systems are determined. Mr. Brower showed a colored rendering of a free form pool bordered by coquina rock. The pool will be placed off the bay window of the dining room, and the approximate dimensions will be 25' wide x 32' long.

MOTION BY MRS. MACKINTOSH TO APPROVE THE TENNIS PAVILION AND POOL AS PRESENTED.

MOTION DIED FOR LACK OF A SECOND.

Mrs. Gingras and Mrs. Albarran de Mendoza stated they had a problem with the three arches of the tennis pavilion, as they do not match each other. The arched opening on the west elevation is much shorter (bottom blocked) than the other two arches. The reason for this variation on the west

elevation is that the grade drops off sharply and could present a hazard to pavilion users. The Commission requested that the three arches be the same, and that landscaping be used to prevent a hazardous condition.

MOTION BY MRS. MACKINTOSH TO APPROVE THE TENNIS PAVILION WITH THE LOWERING OF THE ARCH ON THE WEST ELEVATION, AND TO APPROVE THE FREE FORM POOL, WITH CUT COQUINA SURROUNDING IT, IN THE APPROXIMATE LOCATION PROPOSED, WHICH SHALL RESPECT THE EXISTING LANDSCAPE. THE SIZE OF THE POOL MAY BE SMALLER THAN 25' X 32', BUT SHALL BE NO LARGER.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. ALBARRAN DE MENDOZA.

Mr. Randolph interrupted to ascertain whether the Commission was approving these items with respect to their design rather than their placement on the site, whether 1' or 6' away from the north property line. The Commission replied that the approval was relative to design rather than placement.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

G. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness No. 48-92, Awnings

Owner/Applicant: Mr. Wilson Lucom
Address: 260 North Ocean Boulevard
Architect: Smith Architectural Group, Inc.
Project Description: Request approval of front door awning and breakfast room terrace awning.

Mr. Jeff Smith, Architect presented this request, as stated above. The front door awnings is requested to provide shelter from the elements. The awning will be a small Moorish style awning with spears, and will match the color of the house. The proposed awning at the breakfast room terrace will cover slightly more than one-half of the terrace. The awning, necessary for morning shade, will match the color of the structure, and will be mounted on white metal columns.

MOTION BY MRS. MACKINTOSH TO APPROVE THE AWNINGS AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. ALBARRAN DE MENDOZA.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

H. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness No. 49-92, Miscellaneous changes

Owner/Applicant: Mr. George Mann
Address: 930 South Ocean Boulevard
Architect: Smith Architectural Group, Inc.
Project Description: Request approval of the following:

1. Tile changes to lily pond area: (a) change tile border (b) eliminate tile behind bench (c) tile change at south wall

2. Addition of two columns at the drive to the south of the property
3. Deletion of tree grates with substitution of liriope #1

Mr. Ray Wall of Boynton Landscape presented this project as stated above. In the lily pond area, the tile border will be changed to LV212 Seixas Blue & Yellow; all tiles will be eliminated behind the bench, leaving plain stucco; and the tile at the south wall will be changed to PM Grand Panneau Jaune, as shown at the meeting. The south driveway services the tennis court of Mr. Cook (150 Via Bellaria). The two proposed columns will be constructed, with a chain across the opening, to offer some security for Mr. Cook's access drive. One of the columns will be free-standing, while the other will appear as the end of a low wall. There will be no lights on the columns. The tree grates will be deleted in the westernmost courtyard as the owners felt the grates looked too commercial. Instead, liriope will be planted around the base of the trees.

MOTION BY MRS. DIVOLL TO APPROVE THE CHANGES AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. GINGRAS.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

I. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness No. 50-92, Miscellaneous changes

Owner/Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Michael Price

Address: 450 Worth Avenue

Architect: Smith Architectural Group, Inc.

Project Description: Request approval for the following:

1. Restore window openings in the living room and dining room-main house (staff approval given)
2. Add crenelation above the front entry.
3. Add new french doors in the foyer, to open to the terrace.
4. Revise north and south window elevations of the pool pavilion.
5. Change material of entry walk, pool patio and terrace.
6. Add fountain at the terrace.
7. Landscaping changes.

Also, APPROVAL PENDING LEGAL ADVERTISEMENT requested for six foot site wall along north boundary (variance required) and four foot site wall at east boundary.

Mr. Smith stated that Mr. Price purchased this property three months ago, and has a move-in deadline of December 26th. Therefore, an urgency exists to move ahead with construction. Regarding (#1), Mr. Frank gave a Staff approval, as the work was purely restorative. At the dining room, however, the proposal is to change the balcony from a flush balcony to a 2' balcony so that the doors can be opened. Regarding (#2), the crenelation which was once in place above the front entry will be restored. Regarding

(#4), the pool pavilion, Mr. Smith stated that it was an addition. They propose to remove the existing picture window in favor of arched windows arched french doors leading to a 2' balcony. Regarding (#3), which presents the largest change at the balustraded courtyard, the existing windows looking out to the courtyard are very, very small and are at an inappropriate height. The proposal will repeat the same openings as appear at the bedroom (french doors with tile above). Regarding (#4), on the north elevation of the pool pavilion, the proposal will replace an existing large rectangular sliding glass door opening with two windows flanked by a french door on either side. A planter will occur under the two windows. Blue tiles will be added above the two openings at either end of the north elevation. Mr. Smith mentioned that the owners wish to paint the cypress eaves of the pool pavilion in a bright blue color. The Commission was not fond of this color choice, and suggested that the cypress remain natural. Regarding #6 and #7, Mr. Smith stated that these items will be brought back to the Commission in the future. Regarding #7, landscaping, the only change requested at this time is to infill the ficus hedge along the property wall at the pool and at the west property line.

The other item which was brought forward is site screening along Worth Avenue, for which a variance has been applied to raise the height of an existing wall. Currently, there is a 6.5' wall with tile openings east of the existing Worth Avenue gate. The proposal would close these openings, which would offer more privacy and less noise to the pool area. Also, the proposal would raise the height of the Worth Avenue wall, west of the gate, by two and one-half feet.

MOTION BY MRS. DIVOLL TO APPROVE #1, #2, #3, #4, AND #7 FOR THE FICUS HEDGE INFILL, AND CONCEPTUAL APPROVAL TO CLOSE THE OPENINGS IN THE EXISTING 6.5' SECTION OF THE WORTH AVENUE WALL; AND CONCEPTUAL APPROVAL, PENDING VARIANCE APPROVAL, TO RAISE THE WEST PORTION OF THE WORTH AVENUE WALL TO 6.5'. PLEASE NOTE THAT THIS APPROVAL IS MADE OMITTING THE SUGGESTED PAINT COLOR FOR THE CYPRESS OF THE POOL PAVILION.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. GINGRAS.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

J. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness No. 51-92, Tennis pavilion

Owner/Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Gerald Tsai

Address: 702 North County Road

Architect: John B. Gosman

Project Description: Request approval to construct a small tennis pavilion to replace an existing awning.

Architect John Gosman presented this request for a simple tennis pavilion atop a blue stone slab, which will serve as the floor. On the west elevation, sliding glass doors will occur which will slide back into a wall pocket. On the east elevation, the same sliders in a pocket will occur. On the

south elevation, two small penetrations of glass block will occur, using the same type of block as used on the main house. Mr. Gosman pointed out that all utilities will be on the roof.

Mrs. Albarran de Mendoza commented that the east and west elevations are not alike because the opening on the west elevation is inset, which, she felt, was the more attractive elevation. The Commission suggested that the east and west elevations be flipped so that the more attractive elevation would be facing the house; or alternatively, have both elevations match.

MOTION BY MRS. ALBARRAN DE MENDOZA TO APPROVE THE TENNIS PAVILION WITH THE PROVISIO THAT THE EAST AND WEST ELEVATIONS BE FLIPPED (INTERCHANGED), OR IDEALLY, BE MATCHED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. GINGRAS.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

V. Other Business

Landmark Plaques

Mr. Frank reported that the Landmark Plaques are currently being fabricated. Notification will be sent to landmark owners advising them of the availability of the plaques. Plaques will be distributed on a first come, first serve basis.

Historic Colors

Mr. Frank was requested, by the Commission, to attempt to secure information about paints delivered to Florida historically. Mr. Frank spoke to Benjamin Moore paints and at least one other paint company. The response from these companies is that they have no information regarding colors used here or the quantities of specific colors delivered here. Mr. Frank, however, pointed out that such information might become available at the National Trust Convention. Any information obtained will be passed on to the Commission, and particularly to the Color Committee.

It was mentioned later in the meeting, with respect to color, the Commission might be surprised as to exactly what colors were used here historically. Mrs. Volk agreed, and added that the color itself is not as important as the value or intensity of that color.

Mr. Randolph's comments regarding inserting hardship criteria into the Town's Landmark Ordinance

The LPC had requested Mr. Randolph to make a determination as to whether the Town should include hardship provisions with respect to demolition of landmarked structures. Mr. Randolph stated in his written response to Mr. Frank: "Upon review of the information you provided the Landmarks Commission relating

to consideration of amendments to the landmarks ordinance so as to include criteria which would allow for the demolition of a landmark based upon economic hardship, I have concluded that the Town's ordinance should be amended so as to include such criteria."

Mr. Randolph stated his recommendation to the LPC to recommend to the Town Council that they consider so amending the Landmarks Ordinance. After this, Mr. Randolph will draft the Ordinance, and submit it to Town Council for their consideration. He noted that the amendments would need to be reviewed by the Zoning Commission as well. The Commission stated that they would like to review the amendments to the Ordinance before they are voted upon.

MOTION BY MRS. DIVOLL TO RECOMMEND TO TOWN COUNCIL TO CONSIDER AMENDING THE LANDMARKS ORDINANCE TO INCLUDE HARDSHIP PROVISIONS RELATIVE TO DEMOLITION OF LANDMARKED STRUCTURES, AND TO INCLUDE DEFINITIONS OF "CONTRIBUTORY" AND "NON-CONTRIBUTORY" STRUCTURES.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. GINGRAS.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

VI. Adjournment

MOTION BY MRS. MACKINTOSH TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 11:07 A.M.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. ALBARRAN DE MENDOZA.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

The next meeting of the Landmarks Preservation Commission will be heard on WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 16, 1992 at 9:00 a.m., in the TOWN COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 360 SOUTH COUNTY ROAD, PALM BEACH.

Respectfully submitted,


Sally Gingras, Secretary

cmd

Mrs. Hingras,

Please sign
original & return
to me.

Thank you

Cindy

