



TOWN OF PALM BEACH

Building and Zoning Department

MINUTES OF THE ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION
WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 1990 AT 12:30 P.M.
IN THE TOWN COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 360 SOUTH COUNTY ROAD, PALM BEACH

I. Call to Order

The February 28, 1990 Architectural Commission was called to order at 12:40 p.m. by Chairman Jeffery W. Smith.

II. Roll Call

PRESENT: Jeffery W. Smith Chairman
Lesly Smith, Member
Jorge Sanchez, Member
John Schuler, Member
Marie Hope, Member
Leighton Rosenthal, Alternate Member
Joanna Frost-Golino, Alternate Member
Laurel Baker, Alternate Member
John C. Randolph, Town Attorney
Robert L. Moore, Director of Planning, Zoning & Building
Timothy M. Frank, Planner/Projects Coordinator

ABSENT: Jane R. Grace, Member

RECORDING SECRETARY: Mary A. Pollitt

III. Approval of Minutes of January 24, 1990 Meeting.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO APPROVE THE MINUTES.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. Moore asked the Commission to remove the Sloan's Curve item B74-89 from the agenda. After research by staff between the last Architectural meeting and this meeting of the original PUD document for Sloan's Curve signed by Sandor Weinstock and the Town in 1978, there was a subsequent minor modification to the agreement that did not require a full PUD process dated May 13, 1980. The Council reviewed some requested changes for the landscaping for the Sloan's Curve PUD project, Phase III. During the course of that minor modification, it was established that the west side of the road would remain in its natural state contrary

to the verbage found in the PUD document which spoke on the prohibition of structures. The modification further stated there would be no removal of any of the material without item by item prior Town approval. Town approval was defined in the earlier PUD agreement as the Council President and Building Official as the Town officials to pass on whether or not the materials could be removed. As the result of consultation of both parties interested in this item, the applicant and the home owners association representative of Ibis Island, Mr. Phillip Crenshaw, and the Town Attorney, staff has determined this item should be returned to the Town Council as a minor modification to that modification of the PUD. There is no current Town policy that will take precedent over the PUD agreement and the 1980 Modification. The project may or may not return to the Architectural Commission for consideration after this matter is heard by the Mayor and Town Council. The applicant has been advised to request that this matter be heard by the Mayor and Town Council.

The Commission concurred the item would be removed from the agenda at this time.

IV. Project Review

A. Deferrals and Continuations: Minor and Major Projects.

A158-89 Exterior Changes

Applicant:	Mr. and Mrs. Blaine Fogg
Address:	300 Clarke Avenue
Architect:	William D. Beebe
Project Description:	Bay window addition in kitchen; change front entryway; add hip roof to guest house porch to match existing house elevation.

This project was approved at the November meeting with the exception of the front entry. A plan for the front entry area has to be re-submitted to the Commission.

No one was present at the December or January meetings to represent the applicant, and the project was deferred until the February meeting.

No one was present at the February meeting to represent the applicant.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO REMOVE THE ITEM FROM THE AGENDA.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SANCHEZ.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A185-89 Landscape Plan

Applicant: Peter M. Stanley
Address: 1326 North Ocean Boulevard
Architect: Michael Hill
Project Description: Landscape plan

The project was deferred at the December meeting with the suggestion the following areas be re-studied:

1. REDUCE THE 25 FEET WIDTH OF THE DRIVEWAY TO 16 FEET.
2. MOVE THE LANDSCAPING TOWARD THE STREET.
3. DESIGN DENSER LANDSCAPING TO BLOCK/SCREEN THE HOUSE.
4. SUPPLY SAMPLE OF DRIVEWAY MATERIAL TO BE USED.

The project was deferred until the February meeting.

No one was present to represent the applicant at the February meeting.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO REMOVE THE ITEM FROM THE AGENDA.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SANCHEZ.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B1-90 Addition

Applicant: Robert Cummings
Address: 665 North County Road
Architect: Gordon Mock
Project Description: Kitchen addition to be added to the second story above existing garage; brick, trim, windows and other materials to match existing structure.

As requested by Staff, the project was deferred until the February meeting.

Gordon Mock presented an elevation of the "60's style" residence. The applicant wished to add a second story kitchen addition over the garage. The new addition will match existing materials on the house.

High windows on the addition are over the interior cabinets and will allow natural light to enter the room. The interior cabinets are continuous around the room precluding the use of lower windows. The "eyebrow" roof overhang on the addition matches the overhangs on the existing house.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. ROSENTHAL.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B4-90 New Residence

Applicant:	Mr. Lenardo Sessa
Address:	6 South Lake Trail
Architect:	Kenardon M. Spina
Project Description:	New residence, pool, tennis court, pool cabana and tennis cabana

The project was deferred with the following conditions and suggestions:

1. Try to reconcile the two differently styled facades.
2. Provide details of the cabana and the tennis court.
3. Re-study the glass area on the west elevation considering the comments of the commission.
4. Provide detailed drawings to include the front door area and elevations.
5. Present photographs of the neighboring properties.

Mr. Frank commented no new plans were received prior to the meeting and recommended deferral of the item until plans were received by the Building and Zoning Department.

The Commission members indicated they would like the architect, Ken Spina, and the applicant's attorney, Paul Thibedeau, to procede with the presentation.

Mr. Spina presented revisions to the drawings previously presented at the January meeting. Front, exterior changes included adding bands and extending the wings. On the west elevation, arched openings including three sets of French doors have been added behind the arched openings on the porch area. Grill work has been added on the east and west elevations to tie the two areas together.

An elevation of the house as viewed from the Bike Trail was presented to the Commission. Only portions of the house will be visible from the Bike Trail.

Photographs of the properties to the north and south of the Sessa property were shown.

The pool and tennis cabanas will not be included in the initial construction phase and have been removed from the site plan.

Mrs. Smith requested a site plan showing the names and sizes of the historic trees on the property. Mr. Sanchez requested a landscape plan be prepared identifying the names and heights of

the species.

Mr. Spina replied Bob Mizell is the landscape architect and will be preparing a detailed plan. He added the plan includes replacing cabbage palms with Royal palms.

Mr. Moore requested a definite time plan for the submittal of the landscape plan be established to avoid a problem prior to the issuance of the certificate of occupancy. The Commission discussed several possible dates for the landscape plan submittal. Mrs. Smith questioned the method to be used to protect the historic and specimen trees during construction. She suggested a barricaded area for each tree be indicated on the landscape plan.

Mr. Spina indicated the barricades for each tree would be shown on the architectural plans, since they would be available as working drawings before the landscape plan was finalized.

The Commission agreed the landscape plan should be available for presentation at the May, 1990, Architectural Commission meeting showing the screened landscaping of the north property line. The complete landscaping plan for the site should be submitted prior to the November, 1990 meeting.

Mrs. Edith Bliss, the property owner at 324 Pendleton Avenue, north of the proposed residence, commented she has no objections to the project. She would like to see plans for the construction proceed as quickly as possible. Her concern is for the site screening between her property and the construction site.

Mrs. Smith asked Mr. Randolph for his comments on the deferral recommendation of Mr. Frank at the beginning of the presentation of this project.

Mr. Randolph replied the Commission has the option to hear a project after Staff has stated the facts of the project prior to the presentation and may act on the project at their discretion.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SANCHEZ TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE CONDITIONS:

1. THE LANDSCAPE PLAN DETAILING THE NORTH PROPERTY LINE AREA BE PRESENTED TO THE COMMISSION AT THE MAY, 1990 MEETING.
2. THE COMPLETE SITE LANDSCAPE PLAN BE SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION AT OR PRIOR TO THE NOVEMBER, 1990 MEETING.
3. THE HISTORIC/SPECIMEN TREES ARE TO BE IDENTIFIED AND BARRICADE PROTECTION FOR THEM DURING CONSTRUCTION WILL BE SHOWN ON THE CONSTRUCTION PLANS

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE ABOVE CONDITIONS.

(Mr. Sanchez abstained from voting/discussing the following item.)

B5-90 Additions

Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Alfonso Fanjul
Address: 1161 North Lake Way
Architect: John B. Gosman
Project Description: Second story additions

As requested by Staff, the project was deferred until the February meeting.

John Gosman, Architect, presented photographs of the existing structure. Heavy vegetation limits the view of the proposed second story addition .

The elevation drawing shows white aluminum support columns used in the new addition with three pairs of casement windows. The roof on the addition would be copper. The existing roof is tile.

Mrs. Smith commented the copper roof detracts from the tile roof, and the addition would not look like an addition if the same roof material was used as exists on the existing house. Mr. Schuler added the windows on the addition are over existing windows of a different style.

Mr. Gosman replied the choice of materials was dictated by a concern of weight on the existing foundation/structure.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE SUGGESTION TILE IS USED ON THE ROOF TO MATCH THE EXISTING TILE ON THE RESIDENCE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED 6/1 WITH MRS. HOPE VOTING NEGATIVE.

(Mr. Sanchez returned to the meeting.)

A1-90 Shutters

Applicant: Patricia Schmidlapp
Address: 695 South County Road
Contractor: Folding Shutter Corporation
Project Description: Install 2 white, non-electric Bahama shutters

The project was deferred from the February meeting. No one was present at the January meeting.

Andy Baker, Folding Shutter Corporation, submitted a site plan for the project. The house is described as yellow, situated on the east side of South County Road. The Commission members were not able to identify the house without photographs of the

property.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO DEFER THE PROJECT UNTIL THE MARCH MEETING TO ALLOW TIME FOR THE CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE PROPERTY.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A2-90 Shutters

Applicant:	Susan Korzenewski
Address:	217 List Road
Contractor:	Folding Shutter Corporation
Project Description:	Install 5 pair white, non-electric Colonial shutters

The project was deferred from the January meeting.

Andy Baker, Folding Shutter Corporation, did not have photographs of the project.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SANCHEZ TO DEFER THE PROJECT UNTIL THE MARCH MEETING TO ALLOW THE CONTRACTOR TO RETURN WITH PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A4-90 Solarium Extension

Applicant:	Dr. Alexander Carden
Address:	122 Oceanview Road
Architect:	The Lawrence Group
Project Description:	Solarium extension

The project was deferred until the February meeting with the suggestion the front entrance area be re-studied.

Mr. Frank advised the Commission no plans were received by Staff prior to the meeting.

Les Neusbacher, The Lawrence Group, presented elevation drawings showing front door modifications. A wood panel door replaces the French doors in a previously submitted drawing. Double hung windows have been "pulled forward". No new windows will be used.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. SMITH.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A5-90 Gates

Applicant: Mr. Craig Coats
Address: 140 El Mirasol
Contractor: J. C. Spradley Construction Company
Project Description: Install three 4'(high) by 12' gates

The project was deferred until the February meeting with the suggestions the position of the gates be shown on a site plan, a drawing of the posts be submitted and a landscaping plan be included.

No one was present to represent the applicant; no plans were received by the Building and Zoning Department.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO DEFER THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SANCHEZ.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A8-90 Sign

Applicant: Camilla Galles (The Best of Italy)
Address: 105 North County Road
Contractor:
Project Description: Blue plastic letters "The Best of Italy"

The project was deferred until the February meeting with the conditions a site plan, pictures of the building and color samples be submitted.

No one was present to represent the applicant.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. HOPE TO DEFER THE PROJECT UNTIL THE MARCH MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A9-90 Sign

Applicant: Giorgio Fine Shoes
Address: 219 Royal Poinciana Way
Contractor:
Project Description: Sign with white and black plastic letters

The applicant was not present at the meeting, and the project was deferred until the February meeting.

The owner of Giorgio's presented a sign to the Commission he

proposes to install on the premises. He proposes two signs: 2' x 8' and 1 1/2' x 6'.

Mr. Moore reminded the applicant the allowable signage limit is 20 square feet for his space. He has permission to install one of the signs only to comply with that requirement.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SANCHEZ TO APPROVE THE ONE SIGN AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B74-89 Landscape Plan

Applicant:	Sloan's Curve Property Owners Association
Address:	2000-2100 South Ocean Boulevard
Architect:	Blakely and Associates
Project Description:	Remove certain undesirable vegetation, remove 50% of Existing Australian pine trees, top remaining pine trees and plant new buttonwood, mahogany, cocoplum, etc.

This project was indefinitely deferred from the December agenda to allow the landscape architect ample time to prepare complete landscape information including the size and specific name of the vegetation, define the "open vista" in the drawing, the height of the trees around the South Ocean Boulevard curve, the northern boundary of the project, ground stakes at the site identifying boundaries and the mangrove areas.

This item was removed from the agenda to be heard by Town Council. Refer to Mr. Moore's comments at the beginning of the meeting after approval of the minutes.

(The Commission recessed at 2:10 p.m. and reconvened at 2:30 p.m.)

B. New Business - Major Projects

B6-90 Addition and Remodeling

Applicant:	Mr. and Mrs. Holden Luntz
Address:	234 Seminole Avenue
Architect:	SKA Architect + Planner
Project Description:	Remodeling and addition to the existing residence.

The applicant requests deferral of this item until the March meeting.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO DEFER THE PROJECT UNTIL THE

MARCH MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. SMITH.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B7-90 Addition and remodeling

Applicant:	Sloane Construction Company
Address:	166 Everglade Avenue
Architect:	Pecht-Wensing Architects & Planners
Project Description:	Second floor addition, stairhall and new front entrance.

Richard Wensing, Architect, presented photographs of neighboring properties. The neighborhood residences are a mixture of one and two story houses of different styles.

A second story will be added over the center section of the house. Pella windows will be used. The roof will be white tile. The house will be painted beige with white trim.

A 4' x 9' addition will be added to allow stair access for the floor. The roof over the loggia is flat with a railing and will serve as a deck area.

Some of the present concrete area on the property will be returned to green space and sodded.

The side set backs are 15'9" and 16'4" respectively.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE CONDITION A LANDSCAPE PLAN BE SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION BY THE JUNE 1990 MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SANCHEZ.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE STATED CONDITION.

B8-90 Demolition: new tennis court & gazebo

Applicant:	Frank J. and Sandra Dee McKeown/J. Ira Harris as Trustee
Address:	300 Wells Road
Architect:	Morgan Wheelock, Inc.
Project Description:	Demolish single family residence; construct tennis court and gazebo

Frank Lynch presented a site plan for the proposed tennis court and gazebo. Additionally, photos of the residence and neighboring properties were presented. To build the tennis court, the two story, brick house designed by John Volk and built in

1936 would be demolished.

The lot would be joined by a unity of title agreement with the property at 310 Wells Road, currently under construction. The property currently is surrounded by a hedge.

Mr. Lynch stated the green space in the area would be increased by the addition of the tennis court and the removal of the house and the pool.

Mrs. Smith commented the site/landscape plan needed additional detailing. The code requires site screening of the tennis court. The species and size need to be identified on a more detailed plan.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE DEMOLITION OF THE HOUSE AND POOL WITH THE CONDITION THE APPLICANT PROVIDE A DETAILED LANDSCAPE PLAN AND TENNIS PAVILION DETAILS NO LATER THAN THE MAY, 1990 ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. SMITH.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE ABOVE CONDITION.

B9-90 Addition and remodel

Property Owner:	Madeline Herbert
Applicant:	Ned Monell
Address:	111 Via del Lago
Architect:	SKA Architect + Planner, Inc.
Project Description:	Residential remodel, addition of master bedroom, bathroom and den/office

The architect requested a deferral of this item until the March meeting.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO DEFER THE PROJECT UNTIL THE MARCH MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SANCHEZ.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B10-90 New Residence

Property Owner:	Mr. and Mrs. Lopata
Address:	234 Eden Road
Architect:	Robert Kolany
Project Description:	A new regency style residence.

Robert Kolany presented elevation drawings for revisions to a project previously approved by the Architectural Commission.

The original plans were for remodeling and a small addition to the house. The roof was to be removed. During the renovation, the contractor found deteriorating conditions in the interior of the house. The entire house was demolished. An engineering report determined muck existed under the concrete slab left after the demolition. The slab was removed, the muck situation corrected and a new slab poured using the original foot print of the house.

Mr. Moore reported a series of events and/or errors of judgement by the Building Department, the architect and the contractor have occurred. The Building Department should have stopped the job after the engineering report was received and did not. The amount of demolition and re-construction has exceeded the plans submitted by the architect to the Building Department. Staff understood the walls would not go up until the Architectural Commission had reviewed the plans. The contractor proceeded to construct walls prior to this review.

The current plan is identical to the original remodeling approval with the exception that this plan provides for the relocation of the garage from the front of the house to the rear as is the Commission's practice when practical. The garage would open onto an alley. The Commission considered this an improvement.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO APPROVE THE NEW DRAWINGS WITH THE CONDITIONS SAMPLES OF THE COLORS OF THE BUILDING (GRAY AND WHITE), TINTED GLASS, DRIVEWAY MATERIALS BE SUBMITTED. A LANDSCAPE PLAN MUST BE SUBMITTED ALSO.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE ABOVE CONDITIONS.

B11-90 Addition

Property Owner:	Anne G. Perry
Address:	277 Esplanade Way
Designer:	E. Arthur Margraf
Project Description:	Bedroom addition

(This item was heard after B6-90)

Mr. Frank reported a neighbor to the rear of the property had expressed concern over the visibility of the neighbor's swimming pool/patio area from the second floor addition planned by Ms. Perry. The neighbor requested the consideration of site screening.

Anne Perry presented an elevation drawing showing the second floor addition. The addition will balance a previous second story addition. The colors will match the existing structure.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SANCHEZ.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B12-90 Roof change; addition of conservatory to rear of house

Property Owner:	Mr. and Mrs. Michael Kaiser
Address:	245 Dunbar Road
Designer:	Trezise Design Associates, Inc.
Project Description:	Change existing roof to a standing seam metal roof; addition of conservatory to rear of the house

The representative from Trezise Design Associates presented a site plan showing the proposed renovations and the addition of a conservatory to the house. The diamond point shingle roof will be replaced by a standing seam metal roof. The gray, metal roof will weather in twelve months. The presenter commented Victorian houses often had metal roofs. He cited several examples in the area.

The Commission discussed the appropriateness of the metal roof for the style of the house. The discussion included questions on the height of the metal seams on the house. Several of the Commission members preferred the flatter metal seams on the roof. The representative stated the catalog/flyer from the manufacturer presented only the standard "standing metal seam" he requested approval of.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SANCHEZ TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED 6/1 WITH MRS. SMITH VOTING NEGATIVE.

C. New Business - Minor Projects

A12-90 Landscape Plan

Property Owner:	Mrs. Marina Perry
Address:	137 E. Inlet
Architect:	
Project Description:	Landscape Plan for residence

Mr. Frank reported after this item was added to the agenda, an investigation by staff found that the original architect for the project, Milton Klein, had delineated landscape plans in the originally approved presentation.

Mr. Frank requested removal of the item from the agenda.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO REMOVE THE ITEM FROM THE AGENDA.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. SMITH.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A13-90 Shutters

Property Owner:	John Mitchell
Address:	118 Via Viscaya
Contractor:	Folding Shutter Corporation
Project Description:	Install one, electric, aluminum shutter

The Commission requested that Andy Baker, Folding Shutter Corporation, return to the March meeting with the necessary photographs and site plan for the project.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SCHULER TO DEFER THE PROJECT UNTIL THE MARCH MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A14-90 Awnings

Property Owner:	Burton Handelsman
Address:	240 Worth Avenue
Contractor:	Awnings by Jay
Project Description:	Two previously approved bullnose style awnings cannot be installed due to space limitations. Request two quarter round awnings same color as previously approved awnings.

Lesley Coats, Awnings by Jay, presented new drawings showing two quarter round awnings that will fit into the space.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SANCHEZ.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A15-90 Revisions to Previously Approved Project

Applicant:	Don J. Williams
Address:	500 Island Drive
Architect:	
Project Description:	Request approval of revisions to previously approved plans

Don Williams presented revisions to the approved plans. Differences from the approved plans were noted by Town inspectors at the time inspections were performed at the building site. Mr.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A18-90 Modifications to residence

Applicant:	Mr. William M. Matthews
Address:	380 North Lake Way
Architect:	Peacock & Lewis Architects & Planners
Project Description:	Reconvert an existing storage room on the east side of residence to a two car garage. Driveway enters off North Lake Way with car turn around area.

Glen Harris presented an elevation drawing and explained the history of the project. The proposed garage area was formerly a garage. A revised drawing proposes a "turn-around" area before entering Lake Way from the garage area. Backing onto North Lake Way at this point is hazardous.

Mrs. Smith commented the turn around area was inadequate. The owners and delivery trucks would back out onto North Lake Way. Mr. Smith suggested creating a side entrance to the garage, using some of the existing green space for a driveway circling from the existing drive opening to the north of the proposed driveway cut. The new driveway could be screened from North Lake Way by a hedge. The turn around area could be maintained in front of the garage area.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO DEFER THE PROJECT WITH THE CONSIDERATION BY THE ARCHITECT TO INCORPORATE COMMENTS MADE BY THE COMMISSION USING THE NORTH DRIVEWAY CUT, CREATING A DRIVEWAY ALONG NORTH LAKE WAY, SITE SCREENED FROM THE ROAD.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE ABOVE SUGGESTIONS.

A19-90 Sign

Applicant:	Sun & Surf 100 Association, Larry Heath, Manager
Address:	100 Sunrise Avenue
Designer:	Kenneth M. Salvucci
Project Description:	White concrete and stucco sign with brass lettering

Ken Salvucci presented a drawing of the sign.

Mr. Smith requested a site plan showing the location of the sign and photographs of the property.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO DEFER THE PROJECT WITH THE SUGGESTION A SITE PLAN AND PHOTOGRAPHS BE PRESENTED AT THE NEXT MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A20-90 Garage addition

Applicant:	Jerrold A. and Bernice S. Lieberman
Address:	101 El Mirasol
Architect:	The Lawrence Group
Project Description:	Two car garage addition; driveway to accommodate new garage and new stucco detailing/quoining at front elevations

Donna Beinstein presented a plan providing for a new two car garage. Front modifications include a new front door and side lights. The garage doors face the street; however, there is an island in front of the garage that provides partial site screening. This island will be reshaped and landscaped with taller, fuller plants to provide screening.

The garage door will a solid louvered type. Mr. Schuler suggested adding a window to the garage door for interest.

Gene Lawrence commented a landscape architect has been retained for the project and will create a plan to landscape the area and screen the garage from the street. In response to questions from the Commission concerning the amount of green space, Mr. Lawrence indicated paving blocks can be removed.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SANCHEZ TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE CONDITION A LANDSCAPE PLAN BE SUBMITTED WITH THE GREEN SPACE SUBSTANTIALLY INCREASED. THIS PLAN MUST BE SUBMITTED BEFORE A CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY CAN BE ISSUED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE ABOVE CONDITION.

A21-90 Renovations

Applicant:	Noranit Pranich
Property Owner:	Bertha Simon
Address:	265 Plantation
Architect:	The Lawrence Group
Project Description:	Enclose breezeway, add foyer, replace windows, add gable roof at garage, add circular driveway

Mr. Pranich presented an elevation drawing proposing to remodel the existing residence. The remodeling will give a Bermuda character to the house.

Frank outlined these deviations in a letter to Mr. Williams.

The entry way to the residence has been changed. A tie-beam was not removed due to the existing construction of the building. The entry was modified to allow for the tie beam. The chimneys have been modified. They are 4 1/2 - 5 inches higher than designed. Railings were added to the front stairs to comply with the building code. The garage entry was modified and entry lights in the garage area were added.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. HOPE TO APPROVE THE MODIFICATIONS.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. SMITH.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. Moore added the original architect for the project has filed a complaint with the Town concerning this project. This was the reason the revisions were returned to the Architectural Commission.

A16-90 Changes & Revisions

Applicant:	Mr. and Mrs. Allen Wyett
Address:	1145 North Lake Way
Architect:	Schwab & Twitty Architects, Inc.
Project Description:	Window changes and revisions to previously approved plans

The applicant requests deferral of this project until the March meeting.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO DEFER THIS PROJECT UNTIL THE MARCH MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A17-90 Front Gates & Lights

Applicant:	Mrs. Edna Caruso
Address:	247 Wells Road
Contractor:	Reich Metal Fabrications
Project Description:	Request approval for front gate style and lights

Ms. Caruso presented drawings showing the gates and lights.

The seventeen foot gate is electric and slides to open. The second gate is hinged and swings to open. The height of the lights including the post is six feet and meets code.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE
CONDITION A LANDSCAPE PLAN BE SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A22-90 Sign

Applicant: The Cotton Club
Address: 125 Worth Avenue
Contractor: Phil Rowe Signs
Project Description: White window lettering

Steve Rowe presented a photo of the front of the building showing
the white window lettering.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. HOPE TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

23-90 Sign

Applicant: Koepfel, Cooke & Gottlieb
Address: 249 Royal Palm Way
Contractor: Phil Rowe Signs
Project Description: Install black 8 1/2" and 6" wood letters
to name building

Steve Rowe presented a photo of the building including the
proposed sign. The sign in the same size as the Chemical
Building at 251 Royal Palm Way.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO APPROVE THE SIGN.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A24-90 Sign

Applicant: Chase Bank of Florida, N.A.
Address: 218 Royal Palm Way
Contractor: Phil Rowe Signs
Project Description: Name building with "dark bronze"
aluminum letters: west side of building-
"CHASE BANK OF FLORIDA BUILDING"

Steve Rowe presented a photo of the building with the name on the
building. A tree interferes with the centering of the sign;
therefore it is shown to one side of center.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE SIGN.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. SMITH.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

The Commission discussed with the staff the enforcement of the ARCOM procedures. Several of the applicants at this meeting were not sufficiently prepared to present their projects. Additionally, plans for some of the projects are not submitted to the Building Department prior to the meeting.

Mr. Frank explained the applicants are given an application with over three pages of instructions, but some of the applicants are disregarding these instructions. Follow-up with some applicants where language may be a barrier may be necessary.

The Commission requested all plans be submitted a minimum of seven days prior to the meeting. Mr. Randolph added the Commission may make the final determination to hear the projects if plans have NOT been submitted.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO CHANGE THE DEADLINE OF SUBMITTAL OF PLANS PRIOR TO MEETINGS TO SEVEN DAYS.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Adjournment

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO ADJOURN THE MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

The February 28, 1990 Architectural Commission meeting was adjourned at 5:15 p.m. The next Architectural Commission meeting will be held March 28, 1990 at 12:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

PALM BEACH ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION

Jeffery W. Smith, Chairman

JWS:mp

BS-90

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME <i>Jorge Sanchez</i>		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE <i>Architectural Commission</i>	
MAILING ADDRESS		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF:	
CITY	COUNTY	CITY COUNTY OTHER LOCAL AGENCY	
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED <i>2/28/90</i>		NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION: <i>Town of Palm Beach</i>	
		MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes. The requirements of this law are mandatory; although the use of this particular form is not required by law, you are encouraged to use it in making the disclosure required by law.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

ELECTED OFFICERS:

A person holding elective county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his special private gain. Each local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he is retained.

In either case, you should disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

A person holding appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his special private gain. Each local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he is retained.

A person holding an appointive local office otherwise may participate in a matter in which he has a conflict of interest, but must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision by oral or written communication, whether made by the officer or at his direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You should complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.
- A copy of the form should be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form should be read publicly at the meeting prior to consideration of the matter in which you have a conflict of interest.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You should disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You should complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, JORGE SANCHEZ, hereby disclose that on 2-28, 19 90:

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

☐ inured to my special private gain; or

☐ inured to the special gain of _____, by whom I am retained.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my interest in the measure is as follows:

The Fanguels are relatives.

Date Filed

2/28/90

Signature

J. Sanchez

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317 (1985), A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$5,000.