



TOWN OF PALM BEACH

Planning, Zoning & Building Department

MINUTES OF THE ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION

APRIL 25, 2007

TOWN COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 360 SOUTH COUNTY ROAD, PALM BEACH

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Wheelock called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

II. PRESENT:

Morgan Dix Wheelock, Chairman
William P. Feldkamp, Vice-Chairman
Nikita Zukov, Member
William J. Strawbridge, Jr., Member
Leslie C. Diver, Member
Thomas M. Youchak, Member
Jeffery Smith, Member
Kenn Karakul, Alternate Member
Samuel Boykin, Alternate Member
Mark Bennett, Alternate Member
John C. Randolph, Town Attorney
Veronica B. Close, Director Planning, Zoning & Building
Timothy M. Frank, Planning Administrator
Gretchen Dayton, Recording Secretary

ABSENT:

As Samuel M. Boykin, Alternate Member, was in attendance for 1.5 hrs of a 4 hr. meeting. His attendance will be considered as an un excused absence.

III PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Chairman Wheelock.

IV APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FROM THE MARCH 28, 2007 MEETING.

The March meeting minutes were not available for approval at this time.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP DEFER APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES TO

**MOTION SECONDED BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

V SWEARING IN PERSONS TESTIFYING.

Persons testifying were sworn in at this time and periodically throughout the meeting.

VI. PROJECT DEFERRALS/REMOVALS

B2-07/SPR 3-07 (New Residence) Landscape

Address: 324 Cherry Lane
Applicant: Michael and Cheryl Minikes
Architect: Mike Johnson
Project Description: "New residence"

This project was deferred from the January meeting and the variance requests were recommended with the exception to raise the elevation of the property. This project was approved at the February meeting with the provision to bring back a landscape plan and location of the generator and cooling towers. The review of the landscape and equipment location was deferred from the March meeting for restudy.

The attorney requests deferral to the May meeting.

B15-07 Landscape & Hardscape

Address: 252 Cherry Lane
Applicant: Bob and Sandy Brady
Landscape Architect: Jeff Houghtaling, JBD Design, Inc.
Project Description: Final planting plan for 252 Cherry Lane. (Hardscape layout and preliminary planting plan have been previously approved by ARCOM).

This project was deferred from the February meeting at the landscape architect's request. This project was deferred from the March meeting for restudy.

The architect requests deferral to the May meeting.

**MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO DEFER THE PROJECTS B2-07 AND B15-07 TO THE MAY MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

VII. PROJECT REVIEW

**A. TOWN COUNCIL PROJECTS - VARIANCE REQUESTS
DEFERRALS**

Formal action may be taken relative to these projects pending Town Council approval.

B1-07/V3-07 Addition

Address: 577 East Woods Road
Applicant: Lois Umbach
Architect: Theodore E. Davis
Project Description: "749 s. f. two-story addition."

At the January and February meetings, this project was deferred for restudy and the variance request was not recommended to the Town Council. This project was deferred from the March meeting for restudy.

There were no ex parte communications disclosed regarding this project.

Architect Theodore Davis presented the revised project which no longer requires a variance.

**MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE.**

ROLL CALL:

MR. FELDKAMP	YES
MR. STRAWBRIDGE	YES
MR. ZUKOV	NO
MS. DIVER	NO
MR. YOUCHAK	NO
MR. SMITH	NO
MR. WHEELOCK	NO

MOTION FAILED 5-2.

MOTION BY MR. SMITH TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE STIPULATION THAT ON THE NORTH AND SOUTH ELEVATIONS, THE WINDOWS SHOULD BE LOWERED AND BECOME TRANSOM WINDOWS, ELIMINATE THE TIE BEAM BETWEEN THE WINDOWS AND DOORS AND THE SIDING SHOULD STOP AT THE EAVE LINE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. ZUKOV.

MR. WHEELOCK OPPOSED.

MOTION CARRIED 6-1.

B18-07/V9-07 Addition

Address: 1482 South Ocean Blvd.
Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Malcolm Glazer
Architect: Ames Bennett
Project Description: "Two-story elevator shaft addition."

This project was deferred from the March meeting for restudy.

Staff recommends removal from the agenda.

Mr. Frank informed the Commission that the applicant has considered using different elevator equipment that can be housed in a smaller configuration. Therefore, the elevator shaft no longer requires a height variance. The applicant decided to go forward with the original staff approval.

**MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO REMOVE THE PROJECT FROM THE AGENDA.
MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

B23-07 V10-07 New Residence

Address: 615 North County Road
Applicant: Mr. Lewis Sanders
Architect: Smith Architectural Group
Project Description: "New residence in a French style; limestone facade and a slate roof."

This project was deferred from the March meeting in order to have a full commission present for the presentation.

Mr. Smith explained that this project was deferred by the Town Council to their June meeting for further study. As a result, ARCOM will not see this project until June.

**MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE JUNE MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

**B. TOWN COUNCIL PROJECTS - VARIANCE REQUESTS
NEW BUSINESS**

B25-07SP8-07 Demolition and New Residence

Address: 122 Ocean View

Applicant: Mr. John Tatooles & Mr. Victor Moore
Architect: Ames Bennett
Project Description: "Demolition of an existing residence. Construction of a new two-story single family residence."

Mr. Bennett declared a Conflict of Interest as the applicants are real estate client of his. He then left the dias during the presentation of this project. Mr. Karakul, Ms. Diver, Mr. Youchak and Mr. Zukov said the met with Mr. Perry and discussed the plans. Mr. Wheelock and Mr. Feldkamp said they met with Mr. Perry to discuss the plans and they visited the site.

Architect Ames Bennett introduced Designer Michael Perry. Mr. Perry read the demolition report which stated that the existing house was designed by Engineer George Brockway in 1953. Several additions and renovations have been made to the house over the years. The house is good condition, but its design is outdated.

**MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO APPROVE THE DEMOLITION WITH THE USUAL CONDITIONS AND TO ERECT A GREEN FENCE IN FRONT OF THE BUILDING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

Mr. Perry indicated that the applicant is seeking three variances from the zoning code in order to build this project. He said that the lot is in the RA zoning district which requires a lot width of 125' and a depth of 150'. A site plan approval will be required because the lot is only 100' wide and 112' deep. They would like to set the house 35' from the street and build a tower element which will require building height plane variances. Also, they wish to set the finished floor at an elevation of 9.5' in lieu of the required 7.5' elevation, resulting in a point of measurement variance.

Zoning Administrator Paul Castro addressed the Commission and said there is an additional variance that will be necessary for a parapet wall. This additional variance request will have to be re-advertized and noticed to the neighbors.

Responding to Mr. Perry's project description as "Palladian Architecture," Mr. Strawbridge said Architect Palladio had different proportions and different details. He described the architecture of this proposal as "Neo-Mediterranean."

Mr. Feldkamp perceived the house as "wonderful." He recognized that a variance could be eliminated by moving the tower back, but doing so would compromise the swimming pool.

Mr. Zukov commented that this is one of the nicest buildings that has come before this Commission. His only suggestion was to bring the watertable all around the building rather than just on the front of the house.

At Ms. Diver's request, Mr. Perry presented the street scape drawing and indicated that there are other Mediterranean style homes in the neighborhood.

Mr. Youchak pointed out that the length of the windows on the south elevation did not match.

Mr. Smith remarked that there are mostly one story homes in the area and felt that this house did not fit this neighborhood. He further commented that the roof pitch was too high, the pediment facade appears squeezed together and the tower and the balustrade around it add mass to the house. Furthermore, the widow's walk is a Colonial style of architecture rather than Palladian.

Mr. Karakul liked the overall design, but thought the tower was not a powerful element for this house.

Mr. Boykin thought the widow's walk was not appropriate for a Mediterranean style home.

Mr. Wheelock said he walked up and down Ocean View Road and determined that this proposal is too big for the lot and too big for the neighborhood. Although, he liked the house, but thought it belonged somewhere else. He further commented that the tower was unnecessary and it was not an enhancement to the house.

MOTION BY MR. ZUKOV TO RECOMMEND TO THE TOWN COUNCIL THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROPOSED VARIANCE WILL NOT CAUSE NEGATIVE ARCHITECTURAL IMPACTS TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.

ROLL CALL:

MR. ZUKOV	YES
MR. FELDKAMP	YES
MR. STRAWBRIDGE	NO
MS. DIVER	YES
MR. YOUCHAK	YES
MR. SMITH	NO
MR. WHELOCK	NO

MOTION CARRIED 4-3.

MOTION BY MR. ZUKOV TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.

ROLL CALL:

MR. ZUKOV	YES
MR. FELDKAMP	YES
MR. STRAWBRIDGE	NO
MS. DIVER	NO
MR. YOUCHAK	YES

MR. SMITH NO
MR. WHELOCK NO
MOTION FAILED 4-3.

**MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE MAY MEETING TO
RESTDY THE WATERTABLE, THE PARAPET, SQUARE OFF THE FRONT
WINDOWS, AND RETHINK THE MASSING.**

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE.

ROLL CALL:

MS. DIVER YES
MR. STRAWBRIDGE YES
MR. ZUKOV NO
MR. FELDKAMP YES
MR. FELDKAMP YES
MR. YOUCHAK YES
MR. SMITH YES
MR. WHELOCK YES

MOTION CARRIED 6-1.

B26-07/V12-07 Renovation

Address: 141 Brazilian Avenue
Applicant: Minot and Victoria Amory
Architect: Brower Architectural Associates
Project Description: "Renovation/alteration to existing two-story garage apartment in existing footprint and addition in existing Georgian style."

There were no ex parte communications disclosed regarding this project.

Designer Raphael Saladrigas proposed demolition of the existing non-conforming two-story garage apartment. In order to rebuild the garage, it would require rear and side yard setback variances and it would exceed the cubic content ratio during construction. Also, a variance would be required to build a garage apartment in the RB zoning district for a lot less than 20,000 s.f.

Attorney Maura Ziska, representing Mr. and Mrs. Amory, was available to answer questions.

It was the consensus of the Commission was that the proposal was a very nice improvement.

**MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO RECOMMEND TO THE TOWN COUNCIL THE
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROPOSED VARIANCES WILL NOT CAUSE NEGATIVE
ARCHITECTURAL IMPACTS TO THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.**

MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. Boykin left the meeting at this time.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM CITIZENS (3 MINUTE LIMIT PLEASE)

C. DEFERRALS AND CONTINUATIONS - MAJOR PROJECTS

B97-06 New Residence

Address: 300 Indian Road
Applicant: David J. Jenkins
Owner listed as: Richard B. Skubal
Architect: Jorge L. Perez
Project Description: New two-story single family residence.

This project was deferred from the November meeting as no one was present to represent the project. It was deferred from the December, January and February meetings for restudy. It was deferred from the March meeting as no revised plans were received prior to the meeting.

Ms. Diver said she met with the general contractor representing this project. Mr. Wheelock said he visited the site.

Designer Pablo Solis pointed out that this project was approved by ARCOM more than a year ago. However, changes were made to the angles of some of the walls.

The following comments were made:

Lower the three windows in the stair tower.
The details were too heavy for the building.
The building color was too dark.
The double hip roof over the front door looks out of place.

MOTION BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE MAY MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SMITH.

ROLL CALL:

MR. STRAWBRIDGE YES

MR. SMITH	YES
MR. ZUKOV	NO
MR. FELDKAMP	NO
MS. DIVER	NO
MR. YOUCHAK	YES
MR. WHEELOCK	YES

MOTION CARRIED 4-3.

B24-07 Landscape

Address: 995 South Ocean Blvd.
Applicant: Mary S. Conrad
Architect: Bridges, Marsh & Associates
Project Description: Final landscape submittal for previously approved addition to residence.

This project was deferred from the March meeting.

Staff recommends removal from the agenda until the court order concerning the easement is resolved.

MOTION BY MR. SMITH TO REMOVE THE PROJECT FROM THE AGENDA.
MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

D. NEW BUSINESS - MAJOR PROJECTS

B27-07 Storefront

Address: 255 Worth Avenue
Applicant: Madden Family & Associates, LTD
Architect: Tricarico Architecture and Design
Project Description: "New tenant improvement in existing retail space, existing storefront facade with addition of non-illuminated signage. New fabric awnings over existing window openings, and new storefront framing and glazing in existing openings."

Mr. Wheelock said he visited the site.

Architect Brian Hare, representing Coach Stores, presented the project and proposed keeping most of the existing storefront. The awnings will be recovered with a cream color fabric with a beige border. Polished stainless steel material will be used for the sign and framing around the windows and doors.

The Commissioners agreed that the stainless steel was not appropriate for this area of Worth Avenue. Also, having "Est. 1941", on three signs was unnecessary.

Director of Retail Architecture for Coach Greg Peiro referred to the "Est. 1941" as a logo. He also remarked that all of the newer Coach Stores have been done in stainless steel, but the older designs were done in bronze.

Because the code allows only one logo per street elevation, it was suggested that the logo be placed on the sign in the middle of the building.

MOTION BY MR. SMITH TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE PROVISION TO ELIMINATE "EST. 1941" ON THE TWO STORE FRONT SIGNS AND CLAD THE BUILDING IN BRONZE, (WITH) NO POLISHED STAINLESS STEEL ON THE BUILDING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B28-07 Landscape

Address: 110 El Mirasol
Applicant: Harvey Poppel
Architect: Efflorescence Inc.
Project Description: "Landscape of entire property."

Mr. Wheelock said he visited the site.

Landscape Designer Alan Stopeck presented what he described as "tropical beach style landscaping."

MOTION BY MR. SMITH TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B29-07 Elevator Addition

Address: 250 - 224 Worth Avenue
Applicant: Manhattan Corp., Burton Handelsman
Architect: James C. Paine, Jr.
Project Description: "Elevator addition within existing structure providing access to adjacent second floor residential unit. CBS with stucco finish and barrel tile roof to match existing structures."

Mr. Wheelock said he visited the site.

Architect Jim Paine stated that the Town Council approved the elevator variance for this project. He explained that the elevator addition will match the elements that are on an existing tower.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE PROVISION TO REMOVE THE QUOINS ON THE ELEVATOR TOWER.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B30-07 Demolition & New Residence

Address: 248 Sandpiper Drive
Applicant: Double U.S., Inc.
Architect: Charles Toner Architect
Project Description: "Demolition of single family home and construction of a new replacement home. The new home shall be Bermuda/classical style with grey flat tile roof, stucco body and trim, light earth colors, Icynene whole house insulation and all exterior doors and windows shall be impact rated."

Mr. Wheelock said he visited the site.

Architect Charles Toner read the demolition report which stated that the ranch/Mediterranean style house was built in 1972.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO APPROVE THE DEMOLITION WITH THE PROVISION TO SOD OR SEED AND IRRIGATE THE LOT WITHIN 15 DAYS.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. ZUKOV.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Architect Toner proposed a one-story home with a pitched roof. The garage will be located at the rear of the property with a paver strip driveway.

Landscape Architect Steven Dauber indicated that some specimen ficus trees will be removed from this lot, but will be replanted elsewhere.

The architect was applauded for designing a one-story home in a one-story neighborhood. It was thought that the turnaround area at the garage was inadequate.

The following suggestions were made:

Lower the two windows on either side of the front entry to be the same head height as the other windows.

Break up the roof at the entry.

Remove some of the stone work and the watertable.

Stay with the Bermuda style of architecture.
Make the facade symmetrical.
Use a Dutch Gable roof element at the entry.

MOTION BY MR. ZUKOV TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE MAY MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B31-07 Addition

Address: 280 and 300 Bahama Lane
Applicant: Dixon and Arriana Bordman
Architect: Smith and Moore Architects
Project Description: "The properties of 280 and 300 Bahama Lane have been combined into one title and will be known as 280 Bahama Lane. Two-story addition and alteration of the existing one-story residence at 280 Bahama Lane. Demolition of existing two-story residence, previously 300 Bahama Lane and the construction of a new pool and two-story carriage house."

Mr. Wheelock declared a Conflict of Interest regarding this project. He passed the gavel to Vice-Chairman Feldkamp and left the dais during the discussion. Mr. Karakul and Mr. Zukov said they met with the architect. Mr. Feldkamp said he met with the architect and visited the site.

Architect Harold Smith introduced Architect Peter Popadopoulos and Landscape Architect Don Skowron. Mr. Smith explained that the lots have been combined with a Unity of Title and will use the address 280 Bahama Lane. He explained that they propose demolition of the house at 300 Bahama Lane which was designed by Architect Henry Harding and built in 1955.

Mr. Skowron noted that the parameter landscape will remain at the southern, western and the eastern sides. The addition will be screened with a combination of landscaping and fencing.

MOTION BY MR. ZUKOV TO APPROVE THE DEMOLITION WITH THE PROVISION TO SOD OR SEED AND IRRIGATE THE LOT WITHIN 15 DAYS.
MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Architect Smith stated that they propose a loggia addition to the main house. The new guest house will continue with the Bermuda theme of the existing residence.

Landscape Architect Skowron noted that the ficus hedge will continue around the property. The interior landscaping will consist of the existing banyan trees, cocoanut trees, birds of paradise and areca palms.

MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE PROVISION TO BRING THE LANDSCAPE PLAN BACK FOR REVIEW.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SMITH.

ROLL CALL:

MR. SMITH YES

MS. DIVER YES

MR. ZUKOV YES

MR. STRAWBRIDGE NO

MR. YOUCHAK YES

MR. KARAKUL YES

MR. FELDKAMP YES

MOTION CARRIED 6-1.

Vice-Chairman Feldkamp passed the gavel to Chairman Wheelock at this time.

B32-07 Demolition

Address: 101 El Bravo Way

Applicant: 101 El Bravo Way (Dan Swanson, Manager)

Architect: Phoenix Architecture

Project Description: "Demolition of existing single family residence, accessory structures, hardscape and interior landscaping."

Mr. Wheelock said he visited the site.

Architect Lynn McColl proposed demolition of a house that was designed by Smith Architectural Group 1991.

Chairman Wheelock read a letter from Margaretta Taylor, 120 El Brillo, requesting "denial of the destruction of this lovely, charming home in favor of a cookie cutter - Mc Mansion."

Mr. Wheelock said he walked around this house and could not imagine why someone would want to demolish it.

Attorney Maura Ziska stated that the new house will come to ARCOM for review next month.

MOTION BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE MAY MEETING TO REFER THE HOME TO THE LANDMARK'S COMMISSION. (FOR AN OPINION AS TO WHETHER THE PROPERTY CAN BE CONSIDERED FOR LANDMARK PROTECTION UNDER THE TOWN'S ORDINANCES).

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B33-07 Demolition & New Residence

Address: 1744 South Ocean Blvd.
Applicant: Dr. And Mrs. Traverse
Architect: Harrison Design and Associates
Project Description: "Construction of a new two-story single family Italian villa. Demolition of an existing two-story residence."

Mr. Karakul said he met with the architect and the lawyer. Mr. Wheelock said he visited the site.

Architect William Harrison indicated that the project was reviewed by ARCOM some time ago, but that approval had expired. He stated that the existing house is a 1955 John Volk design. An addition was construction in 1988. The house is in poor to fair condition.

MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO APPROVE THE DEMOLITION WITH THE PROVISION TO SOD OR SEED AND IRRIGATE THE LOT WITHIN 15 DAYS.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Landscape Architect Mario Nievara noted that some of the seagrape trees will be saved. It was questioned if the final landscape plan was approved with the original presentation. If it was not approved, then the landscaping should come back to the Commission for review.

Architect Harrison presented a model of the proposed residence.

MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED AND IF THE FINAL LANDSCAPING WAS NOT APPROVED, THEN THEY WILL NEED TO COME BACK WITH THE LANDSCAPE PLAN.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. ZUKOV.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B34-07 Landscape

Address: 380 South County Road
Applicant: Rhino Real Estate, Inc.
Landscape Architect: Urban Resource Group, Anthony Viebs
Project Description: Landscape

There were no ex parte communications disclosed regarding this project.

Landscape Architect Ray Sabrowski, representing the design firm for Commerce Bank, presented the project. The ficus hedge along the north and west property lines will be changed to a 10' tall

eugenia hedge. The present landscaping around the building consists of red and black lava rock with low growing hollies which will be removed and replaced with green island ficus. Two fox tail palms and two steps connecting the sidewalk will be added to the south side of the building. Red petite exaura plants will accentuate the driveway on both sides. The utilities will be relocated further to the rear (west) of the property and will be screened with a 5' eugenia hedge. Two FPL transformers are proposed for the future and they would like to install a 5' board-on-board cedar fence to screen the them from the parking lot.

Mr. Feldkamp noticed that there were no muntins in the windows which were part of the ARCOM approval. Now that the building is complete, the glass has a "Boca-ish" appearance.

Town Project Engineer Martin Gauthier stated his concern with having large plant material with a deep root structure planted in the utility easement where the sanitary sewer is located. He was not sure if the Public Works Department would agree to the planting of a hedge in this easement.

Attorney Bonnie Mithcol, representing Commerce Bank, said she spoke with Mr. Gauthier and he was not happy with ficus material in the easement. The root structure of eugenia plant is not as invasive as ficus. She said she was aware of the missing muntins in the windows, but they intend to install everything as it was approved by this board.

MOTION BY MR. ZUKOV TO APPROVE THE PROJECT SUBJECT TO THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT APPROVAL.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

E. DEFERRALS AND CONTINUATIONS MINOR PROJECTS

None.

F. NEW BUSINESS - MINOR PROJECTS

A3-07 Decorative elements

Address:	240 Park Avenue
Applicant:	240 Park Avenue LLC
Architect:	Principle Design & Development
Project Description:	Installation/application of decorative window surrounds, cornice and quoins. Replacement of two balconies and relocation of front entry.

Mr. Wheelock visited the site.

Kermit White, representing Principle Design & Development, introduced Designer Bill Hughes. He

explained that the building is deteriorating and the new owner would like to give the building new life. The additional elements added to the building include cast stone quoins, cast stone window surrounds, cast stone cornice and new balconies. The building will be painted a mustard yellow with white trim.

The Commissioners suggested dressing up the entire building not just the main facade. Also, the quoins were too small and the gutter should be removed from the front of the building.

Mr. Frank noted for the record, that this project has commenced and was stopped until they receive ARCOM approval.

MOTION BY MR. ZUKOV TO DEFER THIS PROJECT TO THE MAY MEETING FOR RESTUDY.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A4-07 Roof Material Change

Address: 200 Bradley Place
Applicant: L'Ermitage Condominium Association
Representative: Knopf & Associates
Project Description: Tile change from clay barrel to clay Spanish "S."

Unknown: Is this hurricane driven?

Chairman Wheelock: Have you been sworn in?

Building Manager Richard Britt: and Roofing Consultant Kyle Knopf: Yes. Yes we have.

Unknown: Is this hurricane driven?

Mr. Britt: Yes sir it is. During the hurricanes of '05 we experienced considerable roof damage. This is the original barrel tile that was installed back when the building was built in '84, '85. I spent about three months trying to get tile to replace and the Palm Beach Clay Tile Company had gone out of business in '91. The mold was no longer available so we did some temporary repairs. Upon inspection of the roof we determined that the tiles, we would have to replace the entire roof. We've engaged Knopf and Associates as our roof consultant, at which point I'm going to turn it over to Kyle.

Kyle Knopf: My name is Kyle Knopf, I'm with Knopf and Associates. We are local roofing consultants who do design work and quality control in the roofing industry. The overall damage that happened to L'Ermitage was from exposure from the tile being damaged. Unfortunately, it's not

just about repairing the tile. The underlayment in that roof system will have to be replaced also. What the Board has brought to my attention, they would like to change the existing barrel tile to a clay "S" tile there is a slight visual difference in the two tiles. We will be able to, with an "S" tile, attach directly with one piece to the roof deck, and not actually have two pieces of tile. That was what basically sustained the damage during our first hurricane. I've got the tile sample colors here. I've got everything to try to match the existing with what we have right now on the building. Unfortunately, the color over the years changes slightly. Overall, I feel, on this project, on the height and the surrounding properties, the "S" tile, with a single piece, attaches directly to the deck with foam adhesion, which would be the best scenario for the condominium themselves. On the adjacent property to the north, Villa Plati, they have just put the Spanish "S" on. We have photographs if you would like to see. Also, on the Biltmore they just installed this product.

Mr. Smith: Are these projects getting approval? I've been on ARCOM for the last three years and I have yet to see any of these come in front of us. Are they getting staff approval for "S" tile?

Mr. Frank: No. That's why they're here. The Biltmore had "S" tile before... that was approved... when Gene Lawrence refitted the building. The Landmark Commission basically had to approve the tile that was on the building before. This is what staff considers a downgrade. All downgrades come to the Commission.

Mr. Smith: Ok. I agree it's a downgrade.

Mr. Wheelock: Who would like to comment on the downgrade?

Mr. Strawbridge: I would like to ask a question. Between Mr. Knopf and Mr. Smith and whoever, given the hurricane problem, what are the alternatives? Are there any other alternatives out there in the synthetic, or whatever? What do we have besides an "S" tile? Do we have a clay that is a synthetic that we can use, that would do the job?

Mr. Smith: I would like to ask the Consultant. I do a lot of projects with clay tiles and have never had one tile come off the roofs that I've designed. Is it good installation and poor installation?

Mr. Knopf: In any type of installation of roofing if they take their time and install it properly, unless you have some type of impact, you should not have a loss. You will have your common areas throughout the (inaudible) during the installation. But overall either product will work fine up there. The Board has requested to try to change over to the "S" tile. We have specified ...

Mr. Smith: And they want to change to save money because labor is less. It's half the labor, right?

Mr. Knopf: In essence with the barrel tile, in order to put it on properly, we have to put nailers underneath the ridge caps and create a mechanical fastening. When we did the original survey on the building, we found that the upper main roof areas have a fluted metal deck underneath. Which

means , they have to go out for engineering to have a plywood dense deck type fabrication put on to upgrade the fire resistance or some type of straight fire rated plywood on top. In order to attach the new roof system to the existing system, would be minimal at best. They have an insulation over the metal deck. So we haven't had a cost with the difference between the "S" and the barrel itself. We would have to put the barrel... we would have to put the 2x4 nailers all over. In turn, filling the entire roof area membranes with holes to strap them down. Then that would let us mount the ridge tile, the cap tile, of that system, mechanically and with foam to the structure. With the "S" tile we would be able to go directly to the structure and eliminate the holes and nailing which would prolong the life of the roof.

Mr. Zukov: Are you talking about replacing all of the roof tiles? Taking everything off?

Mr. Knopf: Yes, taking everything off to the deck. That will also allow us to get the decay or anything that we find, out of there and fix it properly. All of the adjoining building that associate with L'Ermatage, the pool buildings, the tennis building, the atrium, the ball room...

Mr. Smith: I've been on this board for over 20 years and we never allowed it in the past and it's creeping up all over this town now. Maybe we are not asking the question all of the time, but Tim make sure when they fill that out, weather it's "S" tile or clay barrel. I just noticed the post office. Did you know that they put an "S" tile on that. That's a landmarked building. Did they go to the Landmark's to ask for "S" tile?

Mr. Frank: The post office basically exempt themselves.

Mr. Smith: They are not exempt. That post office has had hurricane shutters on it for the last two and one-half years. We need to put, in the code, that hurricane shutters need to be removed every year at a certain date. I can't believe that the United States Post Office, is a landmarked building in this town, has hurricane shutter on it.

Mr. Frank: The post office considers themselves exempt. However, when we've put the pressure on them, they have adhered. This particular job was done without a permit. They didn't come to the town.

(After further discussion, it was stated that this issue will be forwarded to the Landmark's Commission).

Mr. Britt: As General Manager of L'Ermatage for the past sixteen years, we've never considered the cost of something which would in turn devalue a property. With all of the hurricanes and the forecasts, etc., we felt the "S" tile would provide us a lower profile, it would provide us with a better roof system with the prospect of hurricanes. That's our whole idea about going from the barrel tile to the "S" tile.

Ms. Diver: I think he just said that wasn't true, it was all about proper installation. It sounded like it was about money. I would like to move that we deny the "S" tile roof.

Mr. Zukov: Second.

Mr. Wheelock: We need to use the proper form.

Ms. Diver: The plan for the proposed "S" tile roof is not in conformity with good taste and design and in general does not contribute to the image of the town as a place of beauty, spaciousness, balance, taste, fitness, charm and high quality.

Mr. Zukov: Second.

Mr. Wheelock: We have a motion and a second. Any discussion? Then let's call the motion. All in favor?

Commissioners: Aye

Mr. Wheelock: That is a unanimous decision.

VIII. COMMENTS OF THE ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION AND DIRECTOR OF PLANNING ZONING AND BUILDING DEPARTMENT

Mr. Wheelock, (referring to combination Town Council Projects), commented that a Council Member suggested to call the motion relative to architecture first and then call the motion regarding the variance request.

Mr. Smith requested having a list of the variance requests added to the agenda³. The Commission is not given enough information when the project is being reviewed in order to advise the town council properly.

IX. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION BY MR. ZUKOV TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 1:35 P.M.

MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

The next meeting of the Architectural Commission will be held on May 23, 2007 at 9:00 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Morgan Dix Wheelock, Chairman

CERTIFICATION OF ACTION BY THE ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMISSION

The Architectural Review Commission has denied the following request as it is not in accordance with the criteria set forth in Article III. ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW AND PROCEDURE, Section 18-205 Criteria.

A4-07 Roof Material Change

Address: 200 Bradley Place
Applicant: L'Ermitage Condominium Association
Representative: Knopf & Associates
Project Description: Tile change from clay barrel to clay Spanish "S".

The above request has been denied by the Architectural Review Commission for the following reasons:

- ☒ (1) The plan for the proposed building or structure is not in conformity with good taste and design and in general does not contribute to the image of the town as a place of beauty, spaciousness, balance, taste, fitness, charm and high quality.
- ☐ (2) The plan for the proposed building or structure does not indicate the manner in which the structures are reasonably protected against external and internal noise, vibrations, and other factors that may tend to make the environment more desirable.
- ☐ (3) The proposed building or structure is, in its exterior design and appearance, of inferior quality such as to cause the nature of the local environment to materially depreciate in appearance and value.
- ☐ (4) The proposed building or structure is not in harmony with the proposed developments on land in the general area, with the comprehensive plan for the town, and with any precise plans adopted pursuant to the Comprehensive Plan.

- ___ (5) The proposed building or structure is excessively similar to any other structure existing or for which a permit has been issued or to any other structure included in the same permit application within 200 feet of the proposed site in respect to one or more of the following features of exterior design and appearance:
- a. Apparently visibly identical front or side elevations;
 - b. Substantially identical size and arrangement of either doors, windows, porticos or other openings or breaks in the elevation facing the street, including reverse arrangement; or
 - c. Other significant identical features of design such as, but not limited to, material, roof line and height of other design elements.
- ___ (6) The proposed building or structure is excessively dissimilar in relation to any other structure existing or for which a permit has been issued or to any other structure included in the same permit application within 200 feet of the proposed site in respect to one or more of the following features:
- a. Height of building or height of roof.
 - b. Other significant design features including, but not limited to, materials or quality of architectural design.
 - c. Architectural compatibility.
 - d. Arrangement of the components of the structure.
 - e. Appearance of mass from the street or from any perspective visible to the public or adjoining property owners.
 - f. Diversity of design that is complimentary with size and massing of adjacent properties.
 - g. Design features that will avoid the appearance of mass through improper proportions.
 - h. Design elements that protect the privacy of neighboring property.

- ___ (7) The proposed building or structure is not appropriate in relation to the established character of other structures in the immediate area or neighboring areas in respect to significant design features such as material or quality or architectural design as viewed from any public or private way (except alleys).
- ___ (8) The proposed development is not in conformity with the standards of this Code and other applicable ordinances insofar as the location and appearance of the buildings and structures are involved.
- ___ (9) The project's location and design does not adequately protect unique site characteristics such as those related to scenic views, rock outcroppings, natural vistas, waterways, and similar features.

The applicant is advised that appeals must be filed with the Town Clerk within 10 days in accordance with Article III. Section 18-177 of the Town Code of Ordinances.

CERTIFICATION:

The above denial was issued by the Architectural Review Commission at their meeting on _____

TOWN OF PALM BEACH



Chairman, Architectural Commission

1525-07 MARK L. MAJOR
122 Occurrence

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

ST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME BENNETT MARK MAJOR		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE ARCHITECTURE REVIEW COMMITTEE	
MAILING ADDRESS 940 N. LAKE WAY		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF: ☐ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☒ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY	
CITY PALM BEACH FL	COUNTY 33480 PB	NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION: Town OF Palm Beach	
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED 4/25/07		MY POSITION IS: ☒ ELECTIVE ☐ APPOINTIVE	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

* * * * *

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; and

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

* * * * *

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

You must abstain from voting and disclose the conflict in the situations described above and in the manner described for elected officers. In order to participate in these matters, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.
- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating. ✓
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, Mark BENNETT, hereby disclose that on March 25, 2007, 19:

(a) A measure ^{and as it again comp} came or will come before my agency which (check one)

- ☐ inured to my special private gain or loss;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _____;
- ☒ inured to the special gain or loss of my clients: John Tatorles and Victor Moore, by whom I am retained; or
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, which is the parent organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

I, Mark Bennett, represent John Tatorles and Victor Moore as their real estate agent on another property located at 1233 N. Lake City which will not close until the end of June, 2007. I do not represent them, however, on subject property 122 Ocean View, Palm Beach FL.

4/25/07
Date Filed

[Signature]
Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME BENNETT MARK MAJOR		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE ARCHITECTURE REVIEW COMMITTEE	
MAILING ADDRESS 940 N. LAKE WAY		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF:	
CITY PALM BEACH FL 33480 PB	COUNTY	☐ CITY	☐ COUNTY ☒ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED 4/25/07		NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION: Town OF Palm Beach	
		MY POSITION IS: ☒ ELECTIVE ☐ APPOINTIVE	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

You must abstain from voting and disclose the conflict in the situations described above and in the manner described for elected officers. In order to participate in these matters, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.
- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating. ✓
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, Mark Bennett, hereby disclose that on March 25, 2007₁₉:

(a) A measure ^{and will again come} came or will come before my agency which (check one)

- ☐ inured to my special private gain or loss;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _____;
- ☒ inured to the special gain or loss of my clients: John Tatroles and Victor Moore, by whom I am retained; or
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, which is the parent organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

I, Mark Bennett, represent John Tatroles and Victor Moore as their real estate agent on another property located at 1233 N. Lake Way which will not close until the end of June, 2007. I do not represent them, however, on subject property 122 Ocean View, Palm Beach FL.

4/25/07
Date Filed

[Signature]
Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.

B31 07 280 B00 0002

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

ST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME WHELOCK MORGAN DIX		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE ARCOM	
MAILING ADDRESS 301 AUSTRALIAN AVE.		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF:	
CITY PALM BEACH	COUNTY PD	☒ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☐ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY	
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED April 2007		NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION: TOWN OF PALM BEACH MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

You must abstain from voting and disclose the conflict in the situations described above and in the manner described for elected officers. In order to participate in these matters, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.
- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, Morgan Whelock, hereby disclose that on April 2007, 19 ____:

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

- ☒ inured to my special private gain or loss;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _____;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, by whom I am retained; or
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, which is the parent organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

280 Bukania Lane

I am the landscape Architect for the project

Date Filed

Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.