MINUTES OF THE ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION MEETING
WEDNESDAY, MAY 28, 1997
TOWN COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 360 SOUTH COUNTY ROAD, PALM BEACH

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 9:15 a.m.

II. ROLL CALL

PRESENT: Laurel Baker, Chairman
John H. Schuler, Vice-Chairman
Ethel Kinsella, Member
Lowry M. Bell Jr., Member
Robert E. Deziel, Member
Joanna Frost-Golino, Member
Floyd Wideman, Alternate Member
Marvin Rosenberg, Alternate Member
Eric Adams, Alternate Member
Timothy M. Frank, Planner/Projects Coordinator
Robert L. Moore, Director of Planning, Zoning & Building
John C. Randolph, Town Attorney
Tracy Biagiotti, Town Attorney

ABSENT: Sally Gingras, Member

RECORDING SECRETARY: Gretchen Dayton

Note for the record: Dr. Rosenberg and Mr. Adams were voting members periodically throughout the meeting.

III. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FROM THE APRIL 23, 1997 MEETING.

Mrs. Baker requested the minutes to be corrected with the proper spelling of Mr. Kollins name. Mr. Bell had requested changes to the minutes at the special meeting.

MOTION BY MRS. KINSELLA TO APPROVE THE MINUTES WITH CORRECTIONS.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. WIDEMAN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

IV. PROJECT REVIEW

A. DEFERRALS AND CONTINUATIONS - MAJOR PROJECTS

B51-97 New Residence

Applicant:	Michael Burrows
Address:	1030 North Lake Way
Architect:	Charles Harrison Pawley
Project Description:	New two story single family residence

Mrs. Frost-Golino and Mr. Adams said they drove by the site.

Mr. Frank said the plans were submitted for staff review and they went through the first round and were returned for corrections. The revised drawings needed additional revisions, but due to circumstances the architect wasn't notified until the day before the meeting of the necessary changes. He said the architect agreed to flag the changes and Mr. Frank recommended review of these projects.

Architect Charles Harrison Pawley stated that he submitted the pictures and plans with the application and he did not have drawings mounted for the presentation.

MOTION BY TO MR. WIDEMAN TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO LATER IN THE MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. BELL.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

This project was called again after item #A33-97.

Architect Pawley presented the project and showed the Commission the changes that were made in response to the staff review. Mr. Pawley said what's inside a house is what is important and that the feeling of scale and the ceiling heights are special. He said both of the houses are one and two stories.

He said the second floor on the house on the south lot will have a true mansard roof in the true french style. He said the windows are very tall and the doors are 7' high with 3' transom windows above.

The Commission felt that both of the projects were maxed out to the side yard setbacks, to the beam, to the CCR and to the roof height. It was distinctly stated that these homes are too big for the lots.

The architect argued and accused the Commission of not playing by the rules. He was then reminded of the ARCOM ordinance and was told they are part of the rules and that projects are not to be too dissimilar in terms of size. The ARCOM ordinance is as much a rule as anything in the zoning code. The architect was asked to present a CCR study and a street scape as the houses look very similar and massive due to the roof lines. The architect was reminded not to start with the maximum and work his way down. The appropriateness of the mansard roof was questioned and it was felt that the transom lites diminish the elegance of a french house.

Property owner Michael Burrows addressed the commission.

MOTION BY DR. ROSENBERG TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE JUNE MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B53-97 New Residence

Applicant:	Michael Burrows
Address:	1020 North Lake Way
Architect:	Charles Harrison Pawley
Project Description:	New single family residence

Mrs. Frost-Golino and Mr. Adams said they drove by the site.

MOTION BY TO MR. WIDEMAN DEFER THE PROJECT TO LATER IN THE MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. BELL.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

See discussion under item #B51-97.

MOTION BY DR. ROSENBERG TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE JUNE MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B16-97 Demolition and New Residence

Applicant:	James Woolems
Address:	1080 North Ocean Blvd.
Architect:	Thomas Kirchhoff
Project Description:	Demolition of existing residence and construction of new two-story Mediterranean style residence.

This project was deferred from the March and April meetings.

No one was present to represent the project.

MOTION BY MR. WIDEMAN TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE JUNE MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. BELL.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B17-97 New Residence

Applicant:	Classic Homes and Remodelers, Inc.
Address:	215 Southland Road
Architect:	SGA Architects, Inc.
Project Description:	New two-story Bermuda style residence.

This project was deferred from the March and April meetings.

Mr. Frost-Golino, Mr. Adams, Mrs. Baker and Mr. Schuler declared ex-parte communications. Architect Spencer Goliger stated quoins were added and the shutters will be operable for hurricane protection.

MOTION BY MR. WIDEMAN TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE REDUCTION OF THE SIZE OF THE QUOINS, TO USE 5 QUOINS ON EACH SIDE, TO INCLUDE THE LANDSCAPE PLAN AND NOT TO ERECT A SITE WALL.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

It was agreed to come back with the hardscape and color samples.

B19-97 Remodel

Applicant:	Haisfield Investment Partnership
Address:	231 Royal Palm Way

Architect: Robert Bell
Project Description: Remove some windows, new smooth stucco, new barrel tile roof, cornice work at parapet, new balconies and brick accent panels.

Mrs. Kinsella stated she drove by the site. Mr. Schuler and Mrs. Frost-Golino stated they spoke with the architect. Dr. Rosenberg said he spoke with the owner.

This project was deferred from the April meeting.

Architect Robert Bell stated the roofed area over the center portion of the building was removed. The brick material around the windows was replaced with decorative stone. Mr. Bell presented alternate drawings for the wrought iron work at the entry and railings.

MOTION BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE ALTERNATE IRON WORK AS PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. KINSELLA.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B21-97 Revisions

Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Friedman
Address: 901 North Ocean Boulevard
Architect: Mark Simon
Project Description: New guest house, add new dining room, new garden shed and an open roof canopy over an existing roof deck.

Mr. Wideman and Mr. Deziel said they spoke with the architect. Mr. Schuler, Mrs. Kinsella, Mrs. Baker, Mr. Adams and Mrs. Frost-Golino stated the spoke with the architect and visited the site.

This project was deferred from the April meeting.

Architects Mark Simon, Michael Garner and Mike Johnson presented the project. Mr. Simon stated the existing vegetation will be supplemented to completely hide the guest house from the street. The dining room roof was changed to a flat roof to match the other roof forms. The materials will be dark green metal around the windows and grey lead coated copper on the roofs. The 5' high gate will swing in and out with a jasmine vine growing on it.

MOTION BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. WIDEMAN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B25-97 Demolition

Applicant:	Robert M. Fomon and Lewis A. Fomon
Address:	974 South Ocean Boulevard
Attorney:	Doyle Rogers
Project Description:	Demolition of main residence.

This project was deferred from the April meeting.

Mr. Schuler declared a Conflict of Interest and left the meeting during the presentation.

Attorney Dean Vegosen addressed the Commission and stated Mr. and Mrs. Koch are the contract purchasers and he represents the property owners Mr. and Mrs. Fomon. Mr. Vegosen stated this project went before the Town Council for a Special Exception because the lot was four times the area which is required in the zoning district and for a Development Agreement. He said the Development Agreement provides that Mr. and Mrs. Koch shall proceed with the design of a new residence for approval by ARCOM and obtain the building permits within one year subsequent to the date of demolition approval by ARCOM or the Town Council. The issuance of the building permit will be considered as the initiation of the demolition process. Within thirty days of the issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy of the new residence, demolition shall commence and be completed within sixteen days.

Mr. Moore said that ARCOM is to consider the demolition request by considering how the property will be used in the future, not as to whether the house is a landmark or not.

Mrs. Frost-Golino felt that the demolition letter from Attorney Doyle Rogers was incomplete and that a complete history of the property should have been done.

Property owner Mrs. Fomon gave a verbal history of all of the previous owners.

Architect Digby Bridges addressed the Commission and stated the replacement house will be approximately 12,000 to 15,000 square feet and presented a model of the site depicting the proposed house and the other houses that surround it. He said the height and the mass of the new house will be very similar to the other houses.

A letter was read from Ned Monell, Jr. in support of the project and requesting that the height of the hedge on the south property line be maintained. Letters from Robert M. Jenney and Mr. and Mrs.

F. Warrington Gillet, Jr. were read stating they are in support of the project.

Mrs. Jane Volk addressed the Commission and repeated the statements she made at the last Town Council meeting for the Architectural Commission Members. She said the ARCOM ordinance speaks of beauty and history, but there is nothing in the ordinance to prevent demolition. A review of the future building is the only requirement for demolition approval. She felt the loss will be great unless the ordinance is changed allowing worthy houses of less than fifty years old to be considered for landmarking.

Mrs. Laurie Volk addressed the Commission and stated the subject site is eighteen feet below grade and after filling in the land, the finished house will be much taller than Mr. Bridges stated which would cause flooding of neighboring properties.

Mr. Bridges said the fill would be absolutely minimal and there would be no flooding of neighboring properties. The overall height of the house would be comparable to the neighboring homes and the site is large enough to retain more than the required inch of water.

Mrs. Frost-Golino stated for the record the motion for approval because there is nothing in the ordinance that allows denial for demolition requests. Denial of an application can only be based on the date to construct, the date to demolish and the future use of new construction which the applicant has provided. She said her choices are; approving, approving with conditions or disapproving.

MOTION BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE DEMOLITION WITH THE PROVISION THAT WITHIN 30 DAYS THIS PROJECT WILL BE REFERRED TO THE LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION FOR CONSIDERATION OF WHETHER IT SHOULD BE PLACED UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR LANDMARKING, SUCH CONSIDERATION TO TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER THIS APPROVAL.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. KINSELLA.

Mr. Randolph said a similar case happened years ago and the court deemed it was not appropriate to recommend landmarking after a request for demolition has been made.

MRS. FROST-GOLINO WITHDREW HER MOTION.
MRS. KINSELLA WITHDREW HER SECOND.

MOTION BY MR. DEZIEL TO APPROVE DEMOLITION WITH THE PROVISION TO SOD OR SEED AND IRRIGATE THE VACANT AREA WITHIN 30 DAYS AND THAT THE REPLACEMENT HOME WILL BE 12,000 TO 15,000 SQUARE FEET AS IT WAS REPRESENTED AT THIS MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. WIDEMAN

MRS. FROST-GOLINO OPPOSED
MOTION CARRIED 6-1.

Mr. Deziel stated for the record he would like to request the Landmark Commission to consider an ordinance giving them authority and a policy to review homes of less than 50 years. He said that Laurie Volk made it clear in her presentation that site plans presented may not always be accurate and that the setbacks for this project are very sensitive areas.

Mr. Bell reminded Mr. Vegosen of the noise ordinance for the use of heavy equipment during the season as the agreement allows 16 days to complete the demolition.

B26-97 Revisions

Applicant:	Fred Keller
Address:	241 La Puerta Way
Engineer:	Sinclair Engineering
Project Description:	Deletion of some windows and increase square footage to garage.

Engineer Steve Sinclair stated the front entry will be revised by removing some side lites from the front door, 18 inches will be added to the depth of the garage and some of the windows on the side elevations will be removed.

MOTION BY MR. WIDEMAN TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B32-97 New Residence

Applicant:	Skip Gozzo
Address:	127 Ocean View Road
Architect:	Bridges, Marsh and Carmo
Project Description:	New Bermuda style residence

Mrs. Frost-Golino, Mrs. Baker, Mr. Schuler and Mrs. Kinsella said they spoke with the attorney and the owner. Mr. Adams said he spoke with the attorney. Mr. Bell said he spoke with the architect,

neighbor and the owner. Mr. Wideman said he spoke with the architect. Mr. Deziel declared a Conflict of Interest and left the dais at this time.

This project was deferred from the April meeting

Architect Craig Hallonquist showed a street scape drawing and reported what the square footage foe the surrounding homes.

The size of the house was discussed and the Commission felt it was too big. He was asked not to include lot #1 as it was beyond 200 feet of this project.

Property owner Gregory Gozzo addressed the Commission and said the neighbors support the project.

Attorney Peter Broberg said he represented Mr. Gozzo at the last Town Council meeting and said lot #1 was the benchmark for the Town Council presentation. He said the house on lot #1 is part of the neighborhood and on the same street.

Mr. Moore said that lot #1 was used as the benchmark property at the Town Council meeting for lot coverage and not for floor area ratio. He said the house presented today is about 500 s.f. larger than what the Town Council reviewed. He said Mr. Broberg is asking to stretch the boundary of the neighborhood a little bit to include lot #1.

Further discussion took place regarding square footage and lot coverages of the surrounding properties.

MOTION BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO DENY THE PROJECT AS IT IS TOO DISSIMILAR IN TERMS OF SQUARE FOOTAGE AND BUILDING HEIGHT. MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. KINSELLA.

Attorney Ron Kollins stated he is representing the Adler family and the Christenson family. He said his clients oppose to the size of this house and then he read a letter from Rosemary Christensen.

Attorney Peter Broberg said they have tired to appease the neighbor in planning this project but, the neighbor's concerns are not fact. He then asked the Commission to change the motion from denial to deferral.

Mr. Bell said that after reviewing the numbers he felt that the largest house that should be built at that location should be 6,000 s.f.

SUBSTITUTE MOTION BY MR. BELL TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE JUNE MEETING FOR RESTUDY WITH THE STIPULATION THAT THE HOUSE BE NO MORE THAN 6,000 S.F. IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GUIDELINES SET FORTH BY THE TOWN COUNCIL. MOTION SECONDED BY DR. ROSENBERG.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

MR. BELL	**YES**
DR. ROSENBERG	**YES**
MR. SCHULER	**YES**
MRS. KINSELLA	**YES**
MRS. FROST-GOLINO	**NO**
MR. WIDEMAN	**YES**
MRS. BAKER	**YES**

MOTION CARRIES 6-1.

MOTION BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO RESPECTFULLY ASK TOWN COUNCIL TO LOOK AT THE ISSUE OF STRENGTHENING ARCOM'S POWERS IN TERMS OF DEMOLITION REQUESTS AND PERHAPS LOOKING INTO THE IDEA OF BEING ABLE TO REFER PROJECTS THAT ARE WORTHY INTO THE LANDMARKING PROCESS.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. KINSELLA.

Dr. Rosenberg felt that staff should deal with demolition requests and the Landmarks Commission should continue to deal with landmarking properties. By giving ARCOM the ability to refer homes to Landmark's Commission adds to owners hardship and delay in the process of one's rights to demolish his property and to build whatever would be acceptable to ARCOM.

Mr. Moore stated that the Landmark's Commission has the jurisdiction, it is not a criteria of the ARCOM to consider lankmarking homes and the interest for the ARCOM would be what is going back on that property.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

MRS. FROST-GOLINO	**YES**
MRS. KINSELLA	**YES**
MR. BELL	**NO**
MR. WIDEMAN	**NO**
DR. ROSENBERG	**NO**

MR. ADAMS **NO**
MR. SCHULER **NO**

MOTION FAILS 2-5.

Let the record show Mr. Deziel was not in attendance at this time.

B35-97 New Residence

Applicant: Addison Development
Address: 211 Via Tortuga
Designer: Carlos Martin
Project Description: new residence.

This project was deferred from the April meeting and the May special meeting.

Mrs. Baker declared a previous Conflict of Interest, passed the gavel to Mr. Schuler and left the dais during the next three presentations.

Mrs. Frost-Golino and Mr. Schuler stated they spoke with Mr. Swanson.

Attorney Jim Brindell addressed the Commission and stated they have all of the revised elevation drawings for each of the projects.

Mr. Frank asked who the architect of record was. Mr. Martin stated that Phonix Architects and Planners is the architectural firm of record and presented a letter giving Mr. Martin permission to present the project. Mr. Martin said he is a registered architect in Texas and is working to be registered in Florida.

Mr. Martin stated the style was changed to a Spanish eclectic and the height of the roof was lowered with clay barrel tile. The front entry element was changed with an octagon copula. The architecture was simplified on the front facade. He said the CCR was lowered from 4.0 to 3.9 and the lot coverage is now at 6,964.

Some of the Commission members felt this project was a great improvement and the Commission concerns had been addressed.

It was suggested placing the service area with a garage in the rear of the property for a future project in the Phipps Estates.

Mr. Moore reminded the Commission that at the special meeting it was agreed to keep the existing

ficus trees on this lot.

MOTION BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE PROVISION THE CALCULATIONS ARE AS THEY WERE PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. ADAMS.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B36-97 New Residence

Applicant:	Addison Development
Address:	236 Via Las Brisas
Designer:	Carlos Martin
Project Description:	New two-story Mediterranean style residence.

This project was deferred from the April meeting and the May special meeting.

Designer Carlos Martin said the roof height was lowered and the fenestration was simplified. The french doors were simplified and more wall mass was added on the south elevation. The roof tower on the garage was eliminated. Mr. Martin said the cubic content has not changed from the last presentation which is 4.4.

After much discussion it was felt that the main issue of this project has always been the size of the house and it has not been addressed at all.
Mr. Swanson addressed the Commission at this time.

MOTION BY MR. WIDEMAN TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE JUNE MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. BELL.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B38-97 New Residence

Applicant:	Addison Development
Address:	234 Via Las Brisas
Architect:	Architectural Alliance (Designer Glen Santayana)
Project Description:	New two-story Mediterranean style residence.

This project was deferred from the April meeting and the May special meeting.

Mrs. Frost-Golino said she had ex-parte communications with Mr. Swanson and Mr. Brindell.

Designer Glen Santayana stated the window size was reduced on the front elevation and the sphere

balls at the front entry were replaced with finals. The transom window above the french doors was reduced and the tie beam was lowered to 14' which provided about 800 s.f. of reduction of the floor area ratio. The roof pitch was lowered making the total height of the house 25' with a clay barrel tile roof. He said the FAR is now at .40 and CCR is now at 4.32.

It was determined that actual square footage was not reduced, but the tie beam was lowered on the rear of the house. Which is not an apparent reduction from the front of the house. It was felt the project is still too similar to the other project. Mrs. Kinsella said she liked the project and felt it was a great improvement.

Mr. Brindell said the way the code works they had to put the numbers on the board. He said there was a change made by lowering the tie beam and he felt they should not be penalized because they are complying with the code. He said the last time they were penalized because they had to double count space.

Mr. Bell said square footage is only one condition of massiveness he felt it was the overall architectural design which determines whether it looks massive on a site not the actual square footage.

Mr. Santayana said the second floor on the front of the house is about 70' from the street.

Mr. Wideman said he felt there is too much sameness in these houses and he hoped for more of a variety.

Mrs. Frost-Golino said lots 4 & 5 are too similar and the amount of two-story elements that are built setback to setback needs to be restudied. She said this project has one of the highest CCR on the street. And the square footage that was removed was in the rear of the house and cannot be seen.

Rosenberg said that due to a lack of visibility form the street a larger cubic content can be accommodated for this type of home and it does blend in with the neighborhood. He felt that homes with a large cubic content should not automatically be turned down because of the perception of size, it has to be in relation to the set back and to the pedicular neighborhood.

Mr. Swanson spoke in favor of the proposed project and felt it was not too large.

Mr. Moore said one of the provisions in the Developers Agreement is that the zoning regulations in the RB district were frozen for five years. The lots are RA size lots in gross square footage and in depth but, not in width. He said it is an unusual combination to have RB building on RA size lots. Mr. Moore said he has continued to advise Mr. Swanson that there are no maximum guarantees under the zoning ordinance.

MOTION BY DR. ROSENBERG TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. KINSELLA.

ROLL CALL:

DR. ROSENBERG	**YES**
MRS. KINSELLA	**YES**
MR. BELL	**NO**
MRS. FROST-GOLINO	**NO**
MR. WIDEMAN	**NO**
MR. ADAMS	**NO**
MR. SCHULER	**NO**

MOTION FAILS 2-5.

Mr. Deziel was in attendance at this time but, he did not participate in the discussion of this project.

MOTION BY MR. BELL TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE JUNE MEETING AND HAVE AN ATTEMPT MADE TO REDUCE THE SIZE OF THE HOUSE TO NO MORE THAN 8,000 SQUARE FEET.
MOTION SECONDED BY WIDEMAN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

ROLL CALL:

MR. BELL	**YES**
MR. WIDEMAN	**YES**
MRS. KINSELLA	**YES**
MRS. FROST-GOLINO	**YES**
DR. ROSENBERG	**NO**
MR. ADAMS	**YES**
MR. SCHULER	**YES**

MOTION CARRIED 6-1.

Vice-Chairman Schuler passed the gavel to Chairman Baker as she returned to the meeting at this time.

B22-97 Facade Renovation

Applicant:	Cartier
Address:	214-218 Worth Avenue
Architect:	Lawrence Group
Project Description:	Remove existing pink marble facade and install new verde marble and limestone facade. Add new display windows and signage. Add new balustrade.

This project was deferred from the April meeting.

Architect Richard Leja presented the project and stated the facade was changed from dark green marble and a painted dark green sign board to a light green marble and a limestone sign board. The amount of signage was questioned and it was felt that the sign under the awning was unnecessary.

MOTION BY MR. WIDEMAN TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE REMOVAL OF THE SIGN UNDER THE AWNING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. BELL.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B31-97 New Residence and Cabana

Applicant:	Llwyd Ecclestone
Address:	1070 North Ocean Boulevard
Architect:	Lawrence Group
Project Description:	New two-story residence, pool and cabana.

The footprint for this project was approved at the April meeting.

Mr. Bell said he reviewed the plans and met with the owner. Mr. Schuler and Mrs. Frost-Golino stated they met with the owner and the architect.

Architect Eugene Lawrence stated the CCR of the project went from 4.5 to 3.2 and the front yard setback is 91'. He said the window in front of the garage was changed, the mass at the front was reduced, the arches were eliminated and round windows were changed to square ones.

MOTION BY MR. DEZIEL TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE PROVISION THAT ADDITIONAL LANDSCAPING WILL BE PLANTED TO SCREEN THE HOUSE FROM NORTH OCEAN BOULEVARD.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. WIDEMAN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B. NEW BUSINESS - MAJOR PROJECTS

B44-97 Pavilion

Applicant:	Betty Knight Scripps
Address:	955 North Ocean Blvd.
Architect:	The Lawrence Group
Project Description:	Covering an existing patio with: 1. A 1560 s.f. pavilion attached to the garage and covered walkway 2. Renovation of garage interior adding restrooms 3. Relocation of existing emergency generator to garage addition

Architect Eugene Lawrence stated the project is a small addition and presented photographs of the existing house. Mr. Lawrence stated the style of the house is very modern. A round pavilion with a built up flat roof and a covered walkway will be added.

MOTION BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. WIDEMAN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B40-97 Demolition and New Residence

Applicant:	Martin and Maria Mochorowski
Address:	1494 North Lake Way
Architect:	Ames Bennett
Project Description:	Demolish existing single family residence and pool and build a new 7,197 sq.f.t residence and swimming pool.

Architect Ames Bennett presented photographs of the existing home and read the demolition report. Mr. Bennett stated the house is no-conforming and it was built in 1950.

MOTION BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE DEMOLITION OF THE EXISTING HOUSE WITH THE USUAL CONDITIONS.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. WIDEMAN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. Bennett said the house will have a side loading four car garage. The entry will have a glass door with a wood door beyond. The west elevation will have glass sliding doors. The project is 7,100 total gross square feet.

The different type of windows on the front and rear elevations were discussed and it was felt that there should be a unity of the windows. It was suggested to use something other than glass sliding doors as they detract from the front entrance. The architect was asked to present drawings in 1/4 scale for future presentations.

MOTION BY MR. BELL TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE JUNE MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. KINSELLA.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B41-97 New Residence

Applicant:	Johnathan Deitcher
Address:	175 Via Palma
Architect:	Slattery & Root
Project Description:	New residence

Designer Joe Brennan and Architect Doug Root presented the project. Mr. Brennan stated the demolition was previously approved. He said this project is a small house with a big garden and then asked to have the landscaping plans be reviewed at this time. Mr. Brennan said the new house will have a detached two car garage with a drive into the rear of the pool house. He said the replacement house is very similar to the existing house.

Item #32-97 was also at this time.

A32-97 Landscape

Applicant:	Johnathan Deitcher

Address: 175 Via Palma
Architect: Mario Nievera
Project Description: Landscape

Mrs. Kinsella stated she has reviewed the plans previously and was concerned with the garden wall.

Architect Mario Nievera said the existing wall will have the railings removed and the wall will be filled. The entrance will be made larger by making 1/4 round circles for the parking area. An existing banyan tree will be relocated. The wall will have piers at the gates with openings and wrought iron detailing.
Mrs. Kinsella felt the architect has addressed her concerns regarding the wall.
Mr. Nievera was asked about the water retention on the site. He said there will be an open lawn area with a well.
Mrs. Baker read a letter from Gerard Garrett Lind requesting appropriate landscaping on the east side of the subject property.

MOTION BY MRS. KINSELLA TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AND THE LANDSCAPING AS PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. WIDEMAN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B42-97 New Residence and Cabanas

Applicant: Rocco Marcello
Address: 168 Clarendon
Architect: Slattery & Root
Project Description: New single family house with separate north and south cabanas.

Designer Joe Brennan stated the project will have a center court yard with two wings on either side. There will be a front portico with columns and iron work.

It was felt that the cabana buildings were lacking architectural details. It was also suggested to reverse the north and south elevations on the cabanas. Mr. Brennan said that trellis work will be added to the buildings

Ms. Linda LaDove addressed the Commission.

MOTION BY MRS. KINSELLA TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE AGREEMENT THAT THE LANDSCAPING AND HARDSCAPE MATERIALS WILL BE BROUGHT BACK FOR APPROVAL AND THAT THE CABANA BUILDINGS BE REVISED FOR MR.

BELL'S APPROVAL.
MOTION SECONDED BY
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B43-97 Revisions to Building

Applicant:	CPS Properties
Address:	324 Royal Palm Way
Architect:	Robert Bell
Project Description:	Removal of existing overhangs above windows, new horizontal sliding windows to replace existing awning type, wood trim over existing store front frame to match existing, new cast stone trim, panels, cornice, and partial balustrade at existing parapet.

Mr. Schuler and Mrs. Frost-Golino spoke with the architect.

Architect Robert Bell said the "eyebrow" will be taken off the building. The new windows with cast stone panels will tie in with the existing windows.

It was felt that the second story did not match the first floor. It was suggested to use four casement windows instead of the sliding windows and to use raised stucco on the building.

MOTION BY DR. ROSENBERG TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE PROVISION TO USE FOUR CASEMENT WINDOWS AND VERTICAL CAST STONE WORK.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. WIDEMAN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B45-97 New Duplex

Applicant:	Euro Homes
Address:	232 Everglade Avenue
Architect:	Randall Stofft
Project Description:	New luxury duplex

Mrs. Frost-Golino, Mrs. Baker and Mr. Schuler spoke with the architect.

Architect Randall Stofft presented photographs of the neighborhood. Mr. Stofft presented revised and original elevation drawings.

The Commission felt that many improvements were made but, there were still too many roof pitches and transom windows. French doors were preferred rather than the french style sliders.

The architect was asked to bring back the comparison calculations and a street scape drawing.

Attorney Dean Vegosen addressed the Commission.

MOTION BY MRS. KINSELLA TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE JUNE MEETING FOR RESTUDY.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. WIDEMAN.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B46-97 Entry Modification

Applicant:	Kevan Boyles
Address:	240 Miraflores
Architect:	Eugene Fagan
Project Description:	Add columns and moldings to entry

Architect Eugene Fagan stated the entry addition is approximately 3'X8' and the roof pitch will match the existing roof.
MOTION BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. KINSELLA.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B47-97 Railings

Applicant:	Ambassador South Development Corp.
Address:	2774 South Ocean Blvd.
Architect:	Eugene Lawrence
Project Description:	Replace existing balcony handrails with metal rails.

Architect Eugene Lawrence presented the project. He said the existing railings will be replaced with metal rails.

MOTION BY MRS. KINSELLA TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B48-97 Demolition and New Residence

Applicant:	Hamlin Beattie and Loti Woods
Address:	1263 North Lake Way
Architect:	Theodore Davis

Project Description: Demolition of existing structure and new residence.

Mr. Schuler said he spoke with the owner and the architect. Mr. Adams said he spoke with the owner.

Mr. Frank stated the advertising that went out was wrong with the address of the subject property as 1236 North Lake Way. He said if the project is to be approved at this meeting, he suggested to add a condition that re-advertising will be done within 10 days of the approval. If the project is deferred, re-advertising will be done with the correct address.

Architect Theodore Davis and property owner Hamlin Beattie presented the project. Mr. Davis said the existing house is a one story built in 1949 and is 2,108 square feet. He said the house has no significant value.

MOTION BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE DEMOLITION WITH THE USUAL CONDITIONS.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. ADAMS.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. Davis stated the project is a two-story Mediterranean Revival style with "S" tile roofing material. There will be an antique grill and a stone freeze. The windows on the front elevation will have carved stone elements above the windows. The entry will be an arched cast stone element with a decorative feature above the entrance. The house will be 6,710 s.f. and the lot is 15,869 s.f.

After much discussion it was felt this house is out of scale and too large for the lot. The architect was asked to come back with the CCR calculations and come back with a house in the "5's".

MOTION BY MR. DEZIEL TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE JUNE MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. KINSELLA.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B49-97 New Residence

Applicant:	Jeffrey Fisher
Address:	146 Seminole Avenue
Architect:	Clemens Bruns Schaub
Project Description:	New home to replace demolished residence, including pool and garden.

No one was present to present the project.

MOTION BY MR. ADAMS TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE JUNE MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS KINSELLA.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B50-97 Addition

Applicant: George Cohon
Address: 614 Tarpon Way
Architect: REG Architects
Project Description: 657 S.F. single story cbs addition to north side of existing residence.

This project was heard after item #A1-97.

Architect Rick Gonzalez presented the project and said staff quarters and an exercise room will be added. The additions will make the house 8,249 s.f.

The commission felt the original house was too large to start with and the addition would make the house much too big for the lot.

MOTION BY MR. DEZIEL TO DENY THE PROJECT ACCORDING TO THE CRITERIA SET FORTH IN ARTICLE IX, ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW AND PROCEDURE, SECTION 5-387, G. THE PROPOSED BUILDING OR STRUCTURE IS NOT APPROPRIATE IN ITS RELATION TO THE ESTABLISHED CHARACTER OF OTHER STRUCTURES IN THE IMMEDIATE AREA OR NEIGHBORING AREAS IN RESPECT TO SIGNIFICANT DESIGN FEATURES SUCH AS MATERIAL OR QUALITY OR ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN AS VIEWED FROM ANY PUBLIC OR PRIVATE WAY (EXCEPT ALLEYS). AND H. THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IS NOT IN CONFORMITY WITH THE STANDARDS OF THIS CODE AND OTHER APPLICABLE ORDINANCES INSOFAR AS TO THE LOCATION AND APPEARANCE OF THE BUILDING AND STRUCTURES WHICH ARE INVOLVED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. BELL.
MRS. BAKER OPPOSED
MOTION CARRIED 5-1.

B52-97 Addition

Applicant: Jonathan Gladstone
Address: 281 North County Road
Architect: Bridges, Marsh & Carmo
Project Description: Addition of second story to existing one story home.

Mr. Frank said the application was incomplete.

MOTION BY MRS. KINSELLA TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE JUNE MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. DEZIEL.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

C. DEFERRALS AND CONTINUATIONS - MINOR PROJECTS

A1-97 Walkway

Applicant:	Stephen Norris
Address:	9 Logomar Road
Architect:	George Winne
Project Description:	New front walk extension with an 8' CBS wall.

This project was removed from the agenda at the April meeting but, put back on the agenda by Tim Frank.

Contractor Ray Whal presented the project and said that part of the wall was constructed on the neighbors landmarked property. They have received all of the proper variance approvals for the project.

MOTION BY MRS. KINSELLA TO APPROVED THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A16-97 Roof Material Change

Applicant:	Phyllis and Michael Dennis
Address:	1231 North Lake Way
Contractor:	Turner Roofing
Project Description:	Replace wood shingles with fiberglass shingles to the existing garage and attached north bedroom.

This project was deferred from the March and April meetings.

No one was present to present the project.

MOTION BY MR. DEZIEL TO REMOVE THE PROJECT FROM THE AGENDA.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. KINSELLA.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

D. NEW BUSINESS - MINOR PROJECTS

A20-97 Landscape

Applicant:	Mr. and Mrs. Denis Coleman
Address:	662 Island Drive
Architect:	Wheelock, Sanchez & Maddux
Project Description:	Landscape

Mr. Deziel declared a previous Confilict of Interest and left the dais during the presentation.

Landscape Architect Phil Maddux presented the project.

MOTION BY MRS. KINSELLLA TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. BELL.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A21-97 Site Lighting

Applicant:	Carlye House Apartments
Address:	2773 South Ocean Blvd.
Contractor:	Lighting Electric
Project Description:	Add 7 - 70 watt metal halide fixtures on each side of parking lot. Add 2-28 watt sign lights at each sign location.

Architect Harold Smith presented the project and said that six fixtures will be added at the north side of the parking lot and three fixtures to the south side. The fixtures will be the same height as the existing lights.

MOTION BY MR. BELL TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. KINSELLA.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A22-97 Roof Material Change

Applicant:	Ralph and Amanda Ellison
Address:	218 Mediterranean Road
Contractor:	Powell Roofing
Project Description:	Change roof tile from white flat cement to Altusa "S" clay tile.

No one was present to present the project.

MOTION BY MRS. KINSELLA TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE JUNE MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. BELL.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A23-97 Railing

Applicant:	Palm Beach Whitehouse
Address:	2565 South Ocean Blvd.
Contractor:	Louis Daniello
Project Description:	Remove existing concrete and brick railing and replace with aluminum railing per attached photos.

Contractor Louis Daniello presented photographs showing the existing railing make of bricks and concrete.

MOTION BY MR. ADAMS TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. KINSELLA.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A24-97 Land Clearing

Applicant:	Emeraude Condominium
Address:	3390 South Ocean Blvd.
Architect:	Neal, Smith and Associates
Project Description:	Remove dense overgrowth, weeds, etc. on property west of A1A.

No one was present to present the project.
MOTION BY MR. BELL TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE JUNE MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. KINSELLA.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A25-97 Revisions to Previously Approved Project

Applicant:	Mr. and Mrs. Rakolta
Address:	537 Island Drive
Architect:	Bridges, Marsh & Carmo
Project Description:	Addition of windows as needed, reduction of stone work, addition of chimneys, garden wall, garden benches and quoins.

Architect Joseph Pubillones presented the project and stated that minor changes have been made to the previously approved project.

The commission felt the project was out of proportion.

MOTION BY MR. ADAMS TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE JUNE MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. KINSELLA.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A26-97 Landscape

Applicant:	Sir Anthony and Lady Evelyn Jacobs
Address:	100 Casa Bendita
Architect:	Mario Nievera
Project Description:	Landscape

Landscape Architect Mario Nievera presented the project. Mr. Nievera said the property will be screened on three sides.

MOTION BY MR. DEZIEL TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. ADAMS.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A27-97 Landscape

Applicant:	Mr. and Mrs. Hugh Davis
Address:	218 Kenlyn Road
Architect:	Mario Nievera
Project Description:	Landscape

Landscape Architect Mario Nievera presented the project.

MOTION BY MR. DEZIEL TO HAVE THE PROJECT TO BE CONSIDERED BY STAFF FOR APPROVAL.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. KINSELLA.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A28-97 Landscape

Applicant:	Mr. and Mrs. Peslar
Address:	100 Emerald Beach Way
Architect:	Mario Nievera
Project Description:	Landscape

Landscape Architect Mario Nievera presented the project.

Mr. Deziel claimed a previous conflict of interest and suggested having staff review the plans.

MOTION BY MR. DEZIELTO HAVE THE PROJECT TO BE CONSIDERED BY STAFF FOR APPROVAL.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. KINSELLA.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A29-97 Landscape

Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Cohon
Address: 614 Tarpon Way
Architect: Mario Nievera
Project Description: Landscape

It was later determined that this project did not require ARCOM approval.

A30-97 Landscape

Applicant: Robert Markey, Trustee
Address: 1415 South Ocean Blvd.
Architect: Mario Nievera
Project Description: Landscape

Landscape Architect Mario Nievera presented the project.

MOTION BY MR. DEZIELTO HAVE THE PROJECT TO BE CONSIDERED BY STAFF FOR APPROVAL.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. KINSELLA.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A31-97 Landscape

Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Ronald Lauder
Address: 115 Flagler Drive
Architect: Mario Nievera
Project Description: Landscape

Landscape Architect Mario Nievera presented the project.

Mr. Deziel claimed a previous conflict of interest and suggested having staff review the plans.

MOTION BY MR. DEZIELTO HAVE THE PROJECT TO BE CONSIDERED BY STAFF FOR APPROVAL.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. KINSELLA.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A32-97 see item # B41-97 on page 18.

A33-97 Roof Material Change

Applicant: Addison Development
Address: 229 Via Las Brisas
Project Description: Change roof material from clay barrel tile to concrete barrel tile.

This project was heard after item # B31-97.

MOTION BY MR. DEZIEL TO DENY THE PROJECT IN ACCORDINANCE WITH THE CRITERIA SET FORTH IN ARTICLE IX, ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW AND PROCEDURE A. THE PLAN FOR THE PROPOSED BUILDING OR STRUCTURE IS NOT IN CONFORMITY WITH GOOD TASTE, GOOD DESIGN, AND IN GENERAL, DOES NOT CONTRIBUTE TO THE IMAGE OF PALM BEACH AS A PLACE OF BEAUTY, SPACIOUSNESS, BALANCE, TASTE, FITNESS, CHARM AND HIGH QUALITY. THE PROPOSED BUILDING OR STRUCTURE IS VISIBLY EXCESSIVELY DISSIMILAR IN RELATION TO ANY OTHER STRUCTURES EXISTING OR INCLUDED IN THE SAME PERMIT APPLICATION, FACING UPON THE SAME OR INTERSECTING PUBLIC OR PRIVATE WAY (ALLEYS NOT INCLUDED) AND WITHIN TWO HUNDRED (200) FEET OF THE PROPOSED SITE IN RESPECT TO ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING FEATURES: (4) OTHER SIGNIFICANT DESIGN FEATURES SUCH AS MATERIALS OR QUALITY OF ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN. AND G. THE PROPOSED BUILDING OR STRUCTURE IS NOT APPROPRIATE IN ITS RELATION TO THE ESTABLISHED CHARACTER OF OTHER STRUCTURES IN THE IMMEDIATE AREA OR NEIGHBORING AREAS IN RESPECT TO SIGNIFICANT DESIGN FEATURES SUCH AS MATERIAL OR QUALITY OR ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN AS VIEWED FROM ANY PUBLIC OR PRIVATE WAY (EXPECT ALLEYS).
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. BELL.
ROLL CALL:

MR. DEZIEL	**YES**
MR. BELL	**YES**
MRS. KINSELLA	**YES**
MRS. FROST-GOLINO	**YES**
MR. WIDEMAN	**NO**
DR. ROSENBERG	**YES**
MR. SCHULER	**NO**

MOTION CARRIED 5-2.

A34-97 Modifications to Previously Approved Plans

Applicant:	Mrs. Sydell Miller
Address:	1415 South Ocean Blvd.
Architect:	Smith Architectural Group
Project Description:	Modifications to approved new residence.

Mr. Frank said the architect requested deferral to the June meeting.

MOTION BY MR. ADAMS TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE JUNE MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. KINSELLA.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

V. OTHER BUSINESS

There was no other business to be heard at this time.

VI. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION BY MR. ADAMS TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 7:37 P.M.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. KINSELLA.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

The next meeting of the Architectural Commission will be held June 25, 1997 at 9:30 a.m. with a workshop meeting to begin at 9:00 a.m. in the Town Hall, Town Council Chambers, 360 South County Road, Palm Beach.

Respectfully submitted,

Laurel Baker,
Chairman

B35-B36-B37 Baker

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME	NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE
BAKER, LAUREL T	ARCOM
MAILING ADDRESS 127 SEASPRAY	THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF: ☐ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☐ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY
CITY PALM BEACH COUNTY PB	NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION:
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED 5/28/97	MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office MUST ABSTAIN from voting on a measure which inures to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

You must abstain from voting and disclose the conflict in the situations described above and in the manner described for elected officers. In order to participate in these matters, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.
- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, Lauren J Bud, hereby disclose that on 28 May, 1997:

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

___ inured to my special private gain or loss;

___ inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____;

?. X inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, Bernard R Bud, Esq;

___ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, by whom I am retained; or

___ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, which is the parent organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

James Brindell, Esq. represents the applicant. Mr. Brindell is a partner with my husband

5/28/97
Date Filed

Lauren J Bud
Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED $10,000.

B31-97 Baker

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME	NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE
BAKER, LAUREL T	ARCOM
MAILING ADDRESS 127 SEASPRAY AVE	THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF: ☒ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☐ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY
CITY: PALM BEACH COUNTY: PB	NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION:
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED 5/28/97	MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office MUST ABSTAIN from voting on a measure which inures to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

You must abstain from voting and disclose the conflict in the situations described above and in the manner described for elected officers. In order to participate in these matters, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.
- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, Lauren J Baker., hereby disclose that on 4 & May, 19 97:

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

___ inured to my special private gain or loss;

___ inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____;

X inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, Bernard R Bunkett;

___ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, by whom I am retained; or

___ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, which is the parent organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

Mr Bunkett participates in certain matters on this project. He is a law partner of my husband.

5/28/97
Date Filed

Lauren J Baker
Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED $10,000.

B32-97

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME	NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE
DEZIEL ROBERT EDWARD	ARCOM
MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. 239 South County Road	THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF: ☐ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☒ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY — TOWN
CITY: Palm Beach / COUNTY: Palm Beach	NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION: Town of Palm Beach
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED: MAY 28, 1997	MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office MUST ABSTAIN from voting on a measure which inures to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

You must abstain from voting and disclose the conflict in the situations described above and in the manner described for elected officers. In order to participate in these matters, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.
- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, ROBERT E. DEZIER, hereby disclose that on MAY 28, 19 97:

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

___ inured to my special private gain or loss;

___ inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____;

___ inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _____;

X inured to the special gain or loss of FREDERICK R. ADLER, by whom I am retained; or

___ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, which is the parent organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

FREDERICK R. ADLER IS A LAW CLIENT OF MY LAW FIRM — ROBERT E. DEZIER, P.A.

5/28/97
Date Filed

Robert E. Dezier
Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED $10,000.

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME	NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE
SCHULER JOHN HAMILTON	ARCCHITECTURAL COMMISSION, TOWN OF PALM BEACH
MAILING ADDRESS 200 JUNGLE RD.	THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF: ☒ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☐ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY
CITY / COUNTY PALM BEACH, FL / PALM BEACH	NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION:
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED 5-28-97	MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office MUST ABSTAIN from voting on a measure which inures to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

You must abstain from voting and disclose the conflict in the situations described above and in the manner described for elected officers. In order to participate in these matters, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.
- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, JOHN H. SCHULER, hereby disclose that on 5-28-97, 19 97:

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

___ inured to my special private gain or loss;

___ inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____;

___ inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _____;

___ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, by whom I am retained; or

X inured to the special gain or loss of MY WIFE, ELIZABETH L. SCHULER, which is the parent organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

MY WIFE WAS THE REALTOR INVOLVED IN THE SALE OF THE PROPERTY

5-29-97
Date Filed

John H. Schuler
Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED $10,000.

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME	NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE
SCHLER JOHN HAMILTON	
MAILING ADDRESS	THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF:
200 JUNGLE RD.	☐ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☐ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY
CITY / COUNTY	NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION:
PALM BEACH / PALM BEACH	
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED	MY POSITION IS:
5-28-97	☐ ELECTIVE ☐ APPOINTIVE

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office MUST ABSTAIN from voting on a measure which inures to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

You must abstain from voting and disclose the conflict in the situations described above and in the manner described for elected officers. In order to participate in these matters, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.
- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I,_____, hereby disclose that on _____, 19____:

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

____ inured to my special private gain or loss;

____ inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____;

____ inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _____;

____ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, by whom I am retained; or

____ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, which is the parent organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

_____ _____

Date Filed Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED $10,000.