



MINUTES
ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION
IN THE TOWN COUNCIL CHAMBERS
2ND FLOOR, TOWN HALL
360 SOUTH COUNTY ROAD, PALM BEACH

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 23, 2010, 9:00 a.m.

Please be advised that in keeping with a recent directive from the Town Council, the minutes of all Town Boards and Commissions will be “abbreviated” in style. Persons interested in listening to the meeting, after the fact, may access the audio of that item via the Town’s website at www.townofpalmbeach.com or may obtain an audio recording of the meeting by contacting Cindy Delp, Secretary to the Architectural Commission at (561) 227-6408. As a point of reference, you will find the recording times listed on these minutes at the beginning of each item.

I. CALL TO ORDER:(9:02:03 a.m.)

Chairman Smith called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

II. ROLL CALL:

The following members were present: Jeffery W. Smith, Chairman, William J. Strawbridge, Jr. Vice Chairman, Leslie C. Diver, Kenn Karakul, Nikita Zukov, Robert J. Vila, and Alternate Members: Ann L. Vanneck, Martin D. Gruss (arrived at 9:07 a.m.) and Peter I. C. Knowles. Member Samuel M. Boykin was absent (excused). Staff members present were John C. Randolph, Town Attorney, Veronica Close, Assistant Director of Planning, Zoning & Building, John Lindgren, Planning Administrator, and Cynthia Delp, Secretary to the Architectural Commission. John Page, Director of Planning, Zoning & Building was not in attendance.

III. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE(9:02:38 a.m.)

IV. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FROM THE MAY 26, 2010 MEETING(9:03:01 a.m.)

MOTION BY MS. DIVER FOR APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. ZUKOV.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

V. **APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA :**

(Let the record show that there was no motion for approval of the agenda.)

VI. **ADMINISTRATION OF THE OATH TO PERSONS WHO WISH TO TESTIFY:**

VII. **OTHER BUSINESS:**

None

VIII. **PROJECT REVIEW:(9:03:42 a.m.)**

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT THE ATTORNEY FOR THE PUBLIX PROJECT (B25/10) HAS SUBMITTED A REQUEST FOR DEFERRAL TO THE JULY 28, 2010 MEETING TO ALLOW THE ARCHITECT TIME TO RE-DESIGN THE PROJECT.

A. **MAJOR PROJECTS - OLD BUSINESS**

B20/10 A/C Sight Screening

Address: 333 Sunset Avenue

Applicant: Royal Poinciana South

Architect: Steven Z. Epstein, Architect; Engineering Express

Project Description: Furnish & install A/C sight screening along the entire roof perimeter that will extend six (6) feet in height above the roof tie-beam/parapet

Please note that at the April meeting, this project was deferred to the May meeting for lack of proper plans. At the May meeting, there was a motion for deferral to the June meeting; to take the lattice design....show it completely dark, and then the vertical pieces below the roof are to be completely blended in with the building itself.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Several persons made ex parte declarations.

Attorney Maura Ziska returned with photos of green, beige, and white screening in both louver and lattice styles at a height of six feet. She stated that after speaking with the neighbors it was clear they preferred either beige louvers or lattice.

Mr. Lindgren read a letter into the record from Neil L. Aronstam objecting to the project and requesting that the lattice be as low as possible. A second letter had been received from A. Carter Pottash. In lieu of reading the letter, Mr. Pottash, who was present in the audience, stated that he is the neighbor who is most affected. He stated his preference for louvers and approved of the six foot height.

Ms. Ziska noted that the louver option is more opaque, but both are structurally sound for hurricanes. Mr. Smith commented that the screening is required by code, but does not enhance the building. He felt that beige louvers are the most appropriate solution.

MOTION BY MS. DIVER APPROVING BEIGE LOUVER SCREENING AT A HEIGHT OF SIX FEET, WITH WHITE PAINTED BELOW THE LOUVERS WHERE THEY ATTACH TO THE BUILDING.

**MOTION SECONDED BY MR. KARAKUL.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

[B22/10 New Residence](#)

Address: Lot 7 & 8, El Mirasol

Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Khubani

Architect: Roger Hansrote Architect

Project Description: New two-story single family residence with 8 bedrooms, 10 bathrooms, 2 1/2 bathrooms, (2) two-car garages, and (1) one-car garage, breezeway, porte cochere, and (2) pools. 11,427 sq. ft. A/C

Please note that this project was deferred from the April meeting (without review) at the request of the attorney for the applicant. At the May meeting, the project was not heard, but was deferred to the June meeting. Please note that the attorney for the applicant has submitted a letter requesting that the project be withdrawn.

**MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO WITHDRAW THE PROJECT.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. VANNECK.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

[B24/10 New Loggia \(In association with Variance #4-2010\)](#)

ARCOM TO MAKE RECOMMENDATION REGARDING VARIANCE

Address: 151 Via Bellaria

Applicant: Robert J. Tomsich

Architect: Smith and Moore Architects, Inc., Jonathan Moore

Project Description: Construction of a new two-story open loggia to replace smaller existing two-story open loggia. Variance to permit the construction of a new larger two-story open loggia to replace the existing two-story loggia, which will result in a lot coverage of 25.8% in lieu of 24.9% existing and 25.0% maximum allowed.

Please note that at the April meeting, after review, the project was deferred to the May meeting for re-study. At the May meeting, the project was not heard and was deferred to the June meeting at the request of the architect. Please note that the architect has requested another deferral to the July meeting.

**MOTION BY MS. DIVER FOR DEFERRAL TO THE JULY MEETING,
TOGETHER WITH THE CAUTION THAT IF THE APPLICANT DOES
NOT MAKE A PRESENTATION NEXT MONTH, THE PROJECT WILL
BE REMOVED FROM THE AGENDA.**

**MOTION SECONDED BY MR. KARAKUL.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

[B26/10 New Residence](#)

Address: 120 Wells Road

Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Peter Lacaillade

Architect: Smith and Moore Architects, Inc.

Project Description: New two-story residence with pool. Final landscape and hardscape. Roof: white concrete tile; Building and trim color: white; shutter color: black

Please note that the project was heard at the May meeting, but was deferred to the June meeting for re-study.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Several members made ex parte declarations.

Architect Peter Papadopoulos with Smith & Moore Architects explained the revisions which have been made to the plans since the last meeting including, but not limited to, relocation of the generator to the east side of the property, thus improving access to the garage; changes to the mass of the entry and the one-story gabled wings; adjustments to window proportions; reductions to the bay windows and copper roof detail; and, overall refinement of the details.

**MOTION BY MR. ZUKOV FOR APPROVAL OF THE ARCHITECTURE.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. KARAKUL.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

Keith Williams of Mario Nievera Design Inc. noted that the service court and generator location have been revised since last month. The generator will be screened with the same plant material used on the East property line, 14-16 ft. Ficus hedges. The tire tracks with grass strips as shown last month will be retained.

The commission advised Mr. Williams that the plans presented were not large enough or sharp enough for the commission to correctly read or view.

**MOTION BY MR. KARAKUL FOR APPROVAL OF THE LANDSCAPE
AS PRESENTED.**

**MOTION SECONDED BY MR. ZUKOV.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

B. [MAJOR PROJECTS - NEW BUSINESS](#)

[B28/10 Time Extension](#)

Address: 215 Brazilian Avenue

Applicant: 215 Brazilian Holding, LLC

Architect: Robert A. M. Stern Architects, LLP

Project Description: The applicant is seeking approval from the Architectural Commission to modify a previous ARCOM approval for a 3 story, 50 room "Mediterranean Revival" hotel. That approval utilized underground parking and a landscaped pool, pool deck and pool deck pavilion above the garage. The

proposed modification maintains the same building footprint, height and setbacks, reduces the number of rooms from 50 to 24, extends the 3rd floor approximately 6 ft. to the east (to align with the 1st and 2nd floor below), modifies the deck, adds two "open air" pergolas, revises the landscape design and is designed in a Classical vocabulary.

Please note the attorney for the applicant submitted a letter requesting that this project be withdrawn based on the fact that the project approval was previously extended pursuant to Senate Bill 360 and now under Senate Bill 1752.

**MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO WITHDRAW THE PROJECT.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. VANNECK.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

[B29/10 Demolition and New Residence](#)

Address: 208 Barton Avenue

Applicant: Barry and Louise Snyder

Architect: Smith and Moore Architects, Inc.

Project Description: Demolition of existing one-story residence; new two-story Mediterranean design with a 2 car garage and pool. Roof: Terra cotta Mission Barrel tile; Building Color: Light yellow; Trim: Beige

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Several members made ex parte declarations.

Architects Jon Moore and Peter Papadopoulos presented this project. Mr. Moore read the demolition report which indicated that the existing home had no historical or architectural significance.

**MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO APPROVE DEMOLITION WITH THE
CONDITION THAT THE PROPERTY IS SODDED AND IRRIGATED IF
CONSTRUCTION DOES NOT COMMENCE WITHIN 30 DAYS.**

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. VANNECK.

Prior to the vote on the motion, Mr. Moore discussed the landscape demolition plan: The existing screening on the East and West sides, 20 ft. high Ficus hedge, will be retained. The 7-8 ft. Ficus hedge on the North and South sides will be retained, except at locations of driveway openings. A very nice Strangler Fig will remain on the East side. Cabbage palms and Adonidia palms will be removed.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Nancy Chang, the neighbor at 218 Barton Avenue, was sworn and asked about the fate of the very tall Royal Palms along the West side of the property, ownership undetermined at this point. Mr. Moore responded that they will remain or be relocated due to the wall which will be constructed. Ms. Chang asked if they would be moved to her property since they have Royals elsewhere on their property. Mr. Moore stated he would need to consult with his client. Mr. Smith commented that he was concerned that the landscape demolition plan did not show

any Royal Palms, and as such, was not up to date. Since ownership of the palms was in question, Mr. Smith felt it would not be prudent to authorize the removal of any plant material within a certain distance from the property line until ownership of the trees is determined.

MOTION AMENDED BY MS. DIVER ADDING THE PROVISION THAT THERE SHALL BE NO LANDSCAPE REMOVAL WITHIN THE WESTERNMOST 10 FT OF THE PROPERTY UNTIL THE SITUATION WITH THE ROYAL PALM TREES IS WORKED OUT WITH THE NEIGHBOR.

AMENDED MOTION SECONDED BY MR. KARAKUL.

Sir Geoffrey Leigh, 219 Clarke Avenue, neighbor to the rear, expressed his concerns about the means and methods of the demolition and its impact to the neighbors. Mr. Smith responded that Mr. Leigh's concerns should be addressed by the Building Department.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. Moore continued with the presentation focusing on the architecture for the proposed new one and two-story residence designed in the Mediterranean style. He described it as having a simple layout; with a courtyard for privacy off Barton Avenue; having a decorative front door - metal and glass door with cast stone surround; having a generator in the Southeast corner of the property surrounded by a 6 ft. high wall; having a motorcourt off Barton Avenue; having the driveway relocated off the alley, more to the East or center of the lot; having a site wall on the West side surrounding the pool; having a total floor area of 7,744 sq. ft.; having a Mission variegated clay barrel tile roof, cypress wood outlookers, cast stone sills, columns, and surrounds, stucco finished walls, clad wood impact doors and casement windows; and having wall color - Benjamin Moore light yellow with windows and doors in beige/taupe and black railings.

Mr. Moore presented a preliminary landscape plan, and was advised by Mr. Lindgren that the landscape plan will have to return to the commission as a new major project in the future. Elements of the preliminary plan include: 3 Cocoonut Palms at each corner, Canary Island Date Palms in the courtyard, Foxtails around the generator enclosure and exercise room, and Adonidia Palms framing the pool, and accent plantings.

Commission comments: The design is too large; design is mediocre; color palette is Mexican and does not fit the Town; too massive on the street; the house is beautiful with good proportions; I like the colors; the house fits the large property; love the restraint of the house; not too big for the lot; successful design; not over scale for the block; no problem with the architecture, but the landscape will be very important here; the Royal Palms need to be located on the plans so that wall footings do not harm existing trees; could not vote to approve without seeing the landscape plan in order to be fair to the neighbors; and, the building, itself, has nothing to do with the final landscaping, but locate the palm trees on the plans.

Mr. Lindgren read three letters into the record: 1) an e-mail from David Ulrich, 209 Clarke Avenue, in favor of the project; 2) a letter from Sir Geoffrey and Lady Leigh, 219 Clarke Avenue, expressing several concerns about the project - size of the home, position of windows, lot coverage, concerns about the generator, walls, drainage and landscaping, and general construction site concerns, etc.; and 3) a follow-up letter addressing additional concerns from Sir Geoffrey and Lady Leigh.

Neighbor Nancy Chang stressed that this home will be very overpowering; that there is no need for the proposed West wall - do landscaping instead; that the proposed outdoor shower is too close to the Chang's patio; that it will be very difficult for them to get in and out of their garage; that there are two large mango trees in the rear which will be impacted by the proposed wall; that the Chang property is sinking because of construction on both sides; that there are concerns that the runoff from neighboring properties will sink their property and flood the alley; that the house is beautiful, but too similar to others on Clarke Avenue; that this special area of town requires special attention; that there are general concerns about construction activities and parking; and, requesting that the construction be done from the front of the house, not from the alley.

Jon Moore, architect, assured the commission that the garage/exercise area does not overlook the property to the rear; that the two-story element is actually 50 feet back; that the generator is sited in the best location possible; that the drainage plan meets Town codes; that there is plenty of room for garage access; that the house fits nicely into the neighborhood; and, he is happy to deal with the landscape issue on the West property line.

Commission comments continued: the house is simple, not remarkable; the wall to the west to hide the shower should be removed; the gates and front door are too French-make it simpler and more Mediterranean; question the design of the terrace and arched doors below...looks too tight structurally; very similar to every other Smith & Moore designed house, but competent design; refreshing to have one-story element in rear; concern about filtration in rear; and, the architect should show the adjacent homes and the landscape on those properties when the applicant returns for final landscape approval.

Mrs. Chang reiterated her concerns about the outdoor shower and the height of the structures which will surround her property. Chairman Smith commented that the Changs will experience a big change, but this property owner has the right to build the proposed house. He attempted to reassure her about the drainage stating that the Town requires that the first 2 inches of rainfall be retained on the property.

MOTION BY MR. KARAKUL TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AND TO MAKE AN ADJUSTMENT ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE FACADE TO BRING THAT WALL DOWN, REMOVE THE MISSION DETAIL, AND THAT IT MUST COME BACK FOR FINAL LANDSCAPE APPROVAL, AND DRAINAGE, AND FINAL DETAILING OF WROUGHT IRON, GATES, ETC.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. ZUKOV.

**MOTION CARRIED 4-3, WITH MR. STRAWBRIDGE, MRS. VANNECK,
AND MR. SMITH VOTING AGAINST THE MOTION.**

[B30/10 Addition](#)

Address: 346 Brazilian Avenue

Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. George E. Marucci, Jr.

Architect: Smith and Moore Architects, Inc.

Project Description: A 201 square foot second floor addition to an existing two-story single family residence

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Ms. Diver made an ex parte declaration.

Architect Harold Smith presented this project for a second floor addition above the Northeast one-story wing. The existing square footage is 4713, and this project would add an additional 201 square feet. There is no change to the lot coverage or landscape plan as a result of the project. In fact, the proposed addition would be screened.

It should be noted that this home is in the R-C Zoning District, not the R-B Zoning District, as noted on the plans.

Commission Comments: It looks better with the addition; it's now just one big mass...I do not like it...there is no rhythm to the proportions on the second floor; concerned about the window...do something to give it interest...it looks like a lot of wall; the massing is good, but the window is bad with that blank wall; don't add "glue-ons"...keep it simple.

MOTION BY MR. ZUKOV FOR APPROVAL AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. VILA.

**MOTION CARRIED 5-2, WITH MR. KARAKUL AND MRS. VANNECK
OPPOSING.**

Let the record show that there was a short recess from 10:48 to 10:58 a.m.

[B31/10 Gates](#)

Address: 225 Dunbar Road

Applicant: Michael and Fiona Scharf

Architect: Thomas M. Kirchhoff, AIA, P.A.

Project Description: New aluminum motorized sliding gate with new piers to match existing. New cypress pedestrian gate to replace existing aluminum pedestrian gate.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: There were several ex parte declarations.

Architect Tom Kirchhoff presented this request. He explained that the property owner is requesting a more opaque gate to block views into the house via the glass front door. After a short presentation, the following commission comments were given: the driveway gate looks too French and the pedestrian gate looks

Mediterranean, all of this confusing with the style of the home; too fancy; the cypress gate is too different; simplify it; another solution is to etch or sandblast the glass of the front door; get rid of one set of piers...it's redundant and too grand; add other vertical landscape; and too ornamental for the architecture.

Mr. Lindgren read a letter into the record from Martin Goodstein who was concerned about the noise of the driveway gate.

MOTION BY MRS. VANNECK FOR DEFERRAL TO THE JULY MEETING TO SIMPLIFY THE DESIGN OF THE GATE AND USE LANDSCAPING INSTEAD OF CYPRESS, AND REMOVE THE EXTRA SET OF PIERS.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

C. [MINOR PROJECTS - OLD BUSINESS](#)

A5/10 Facade changes

Address: 286 Jamaica Lane

Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. John Raese

Architect: Roger Janssen, Dailey Janssen Architects

Project Description: Removal of balcony, shutters and stucco surrounds. Addition of cast stone entry surround, window surrounds, belt course and cornice.

Please note that this item was reviewed at the April meeting, and was deferred to the May meeting for re-study. At the May meeting, the project was not heard, but was deferred to the June meeting. Please note that the architect for the applicant has submitted a letter requesting that the project be withdrawn.

MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO WITHDRAW THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. VANNECK.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

D. [MINOR PROJECTS - NEW BUSINESS](#)

E. [MAJOR PROJECTS - OLD BUSINESS \(CONTINUED\) PUBLIX PROJECT](#)

B25/10 Demolition and New Construction of Publix Supermarket (In association with Site Plan Review #4-2010 with Special Exception and Variances)

ARCOM TO MAKE RECOMMENDATION REGARDING VARIANCES*

Address: 265 Sunset Avenue

Applicant: Publix Supermarkets, Inc. & Sunset Avenue Corp.

Architect: Fisher and Associates, LLC

Project Description: Demolition of an existing 33,059 sq. ft. Publix Supermarket and construction of a new 50,870 sq. ft. one-story Publix Supermarket. Final Landscape and Hardscape. Roof material: clay barrel tile; Roof color: Terra cotta; Building color: alabaster; trim color: ivoire. The following variances are being requested to construct the proposed one story grocery store: a) To allow a building length of 245 ft. in lieu of the 150 ft. maximum allowed; b) To allow a front yard setback at the northwest corner of the proposed building of 10 ft. in lieu of the 14 ft. minimum required based on building height; c) To allow a side yard

setback for the proposed towers to be 10 ft. in lieu of the 19 ft. minimum required; d) To allow two loading spaces in lieu of the three spaces required by code; e) To allow the proposed building to be a total square footage of 50,870 sq. ft. in lieu of the 15,000 sq. ft. maximum allowed; f) To allow 8 towers in lieu of the two maximum allowed; g) To allow the area of the 8 towers to be 12.2% of the gross floor area of the building in lieu of the 2% maximum allowed; h) To allow the parking lot light poles to be 23 ft. in height in lieu of the 15 ft. maximum allowed; i) To allow the height of the proposed one story grocery store to be 22.66 ft. in lieu of the 15 ft. maximum allowed; j) To allow the overall height of the proposed one story grocery store to be 37 ft. in lieu of the 20 ft. maximum allowed; k) To allow a monument sign at the northwest entrance where no sign is allowed; and, l) To allow a monument sign at the southwest entrance where no sign is allowed.

Please note that this project was reviewed and deferred from the April meeting, and the following motion was made at that time: MOTION BY MRS. VANNECK FOR DEFERRAL FOR ONE MONTH. MOTION SECONDED BY MR. ZUKOV. MRS. VANNECK CLARIFIED SOME REASONS FOR DEFERRAL: TOO MANY UNANSWERED QUESTIONS; THE SITING OF THE STORE IS A MAJOR PROBLEM; AND MORE PIERCINGS IN THE SHELL WOULD MAKE THE STORE MORE USER FRIENDLY. MR. ZUKOV CALLED FOR RE-DESIGN OF THE BUILDING, AND A DIFFERENT ROOF TREATMENT. HE NOTED THAT THE VARIANCES WOULD PROBABLY ALL BE ACCEPTABLE IF THE COMMISSION LIKED THE BUILDING ARCHITECTURE AND THE SITE PLAN. MR. SMITH CALLED FOR THE RE-STUDY OF THE SITE PLAN, ENCOURAGED THE ACQUISITION OF THE WACHOVIA PROPERTY; RECOMMENDED MORE THAN ONE ENTRANCE INTO THE STORE; RECOMMENDED THE PURSUIT OF THE "SENSE OF COMMUNITY" WITHIN THE STORE...A PLACE TO HAVE COFFEE WITH FRIENDS; STRESSED THE IMPORTANCE OF LANDSCAPING; AND NOTED THAT IT IS TOO EARLY TO EVEN CONSIDER THE VARIANCES. MRS. VANNECK RE-CLARIFIED THAT IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE DESIGN INCLUDE MORE ENTRANCES (BOUTIQUE-LIKE ENTRANCES) INTO SPECIFIC AREAS OF THE STORE TO MAKE IT MORE STREET FRIENDLY; IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THERE BE WINDOWS ON THE FIRST FLOOR; IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THERE BE MORE SHADE TREES; AND, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE SITE PLAN BE RE-STUDIED. MR. ZUKOV CALLED FOR MORE WORK ON THE ARCHITECTURE AND THE ROOF; MR. SMITH CALLED FOR RE-STUDY OF THE SITE PLAN, PARKING CIRCULATION AND TRUCK UNLOADING AREA. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. At the May meeting, after hearing the project, there was a motion for deferral to the June meeting and a motion to hold a workshop devoted to the Publix project on June 8th. Please be advised that the attorney for Publix has requested a deferral to the July 28, 2010 meeting.

Mr. Smith allowed a discussion of the workshop meeting relative to Publix. In summary, the workshop moved the issue away from a discussion of size since Publix maintained that the store, in the size as presented, was the smallest size possible to accomplish the goals for a new store. In an acceptance of that statement, the commission instructed Publix representatives that if size is not negotiable, they must return with world-class architecture for a store which is

beautiful, both inside and outside. It was noted that they had also improved traffic circulation on the property, making it more akin to the existing conditions.

Chairman Smith requested that Publix be the first item on the July agenda.

**MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO DEFER PUBLIX TO THE JULY MEETING.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. KARAKUL.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

- IX. COMMUNICATIONS FROM CITIZENS (3 MINUTE LIMIT PLEASE)(11:10:10 a.m.) None
- X. COMMENTS OF THE ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION AND DIRECTOR OF PLANNING, ZONING AND BUILDING DEPARTMENT:(11:10:12 a.m.) None
- XI. ADJOURNMENT:(11:10:14 a.m.)

**MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 11:20 A.M.
MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. VANNECK.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

The next meeting of the Architectural Commission will be held on Wednesday, July 28, 2010 at 9:00 a.m. in the Town Council Chambers, 2nd floor, 360 South County Road, Palm Beach.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffery W. Smith, Chairman
ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION

cmd