`

MINUTES OF THE ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 26, 1992, 12:30 P.M.
TOWN COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 360 SOUTH COUNTY ROAD, PALM BEACH

I. Call to Order

The August 26, 1992 Architectural Commission meeting was called to order at 12:40 p.m. by Chairman Jeffery W. Smith.

II. Roll Call

PRESENT: Jeffery W. Smith, Chairman
Lesly S. Smith, Vice-Chairman
Jorge Sanchez, Member
John Schuler, Member
Marie Hope, Member
Leighton Rosenthal, Member
Jesse Newman, Alternate Member
James C. Paine, Jr., Alternate Member
(arrived at 4:05 p.m.)

Pamela McNierney, representing the Town Attorney
Timothy M. Frank, Planner/Projects Coordinator

ABSENT: Joanna Frost-Golino, Member
Laurel Baker, Alternate Member

RECORDING SECRETARY: Mary A. Pollitt

III. Approval of Minutes of July 22, 1992

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO APPROVE THE MINUTES.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. NEWMAN.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

IV. Project Review

A. Deferrals and Continuations: Major and Minor Projects

B37-92 Satellite dish, sign and remodel

Applicant:	Testa's Hotels and Restaurants, Inc.
Address:	231 Royal Poinciana Way
Owner's Representative:	Francis X. J. Lynch, Esquire
Contractor (sign):	Signcraft
Architect:	Ginocchio and Spina
Project Description:	Screening (enclosure) for roof top satellite dish and sign

The remodeling of the front facade was approved at the July meeting.

Mr. Spina was not present at the beginning of the meeting.

MOTION MADE BY MR. NEWMAN TO DEFER THE PROJECT UNTIL LATER IN THE MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. ROSENTHAL.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

The project was heard at the end of the deferred projects.

Ken Spina summarized the proceedings from the July meeting.

Mr. Spina has designed an enclosure for the roof area. The materials will match the existing building and extend around four sides of the building.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SANCHEZ TO APPROVE THE SATELLITE DISH AND ENCLOSURE AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. Spina reported the signage for the building has not been decided.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. HOPE TO REMOVE THE SIGNAGE FROM THE AGENDA.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B4-90 Gates, Posts, Fountain/Planters

Applicant:	Leonard Sessa
Address:	#6 South Lake Trail
Architect:	Ginocchio and Spina
Project Description:	Gates, posts, fountain/planters for previously approved project

The project was deferred from the July meeting.

Mr. Spina was not present at the beginning of the meeting.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO DEFER THE PROJECT UNTIL LATER IN THE MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

The project was heard after project B37-92.

Ken Spina and Paul Thibadeau, Esquire, represented the applicant.

Mr. Spina presented plans for the gate, posts and fountain/planters. Mr. Spina noted the heart shape is intertwined with the design of the gate.

Mrs. Smith noted no changes have been made in the design since the last presentation.

Mr. Spina commented the heart did have a circle in the design.

Mr. Thibadeau pointed out the gate is at the end of a 300 foot private road and should not be a concern of the Commission.

Mr. Smith asked if any other lots were on the road. Mr. Thibadeau responded there is a lot, owned by Mr. Sessa, directly east of the property.

MOTION MADE BY MR. NEWMAN TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. ROSENTHAL.

MOTION FAILED 4/3 WITH A ROLL CALL VOTE AS FOLLOWS:

YEA:	MR. NEWMAN	NAY:	MRS. SMITH
	MR. ROSENTHAL		MR. SCHULER
	MRS. HOPE		MR. SANCHEZ
			MR. SMITH

Ms. McNierney, Esquire, told the Commission members they should

give reasons or direction to Mr. Spina and Mr. Thibadeau for the failure of the motion to pass.

Mr. Smith requested the Commission members to give their reasons for voting against the project.

The members responded using the criteria set forth in Article IX, ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW AND PROCEDURE, Section 5-387 (Criteria).

Mr. Sanchez cited the following reasons:

a. The plan for the proposed building or structure is not in conformity with good taste, good design, and in general, does not contribute to the image of Palm Beach as a place of beauty, spaciousness, balance, taste, fitness, charm and high quality.

b. The plan for the proposed building or structure does not indicate the manner in which the structures are reasonably protected against external and internal noise, vibrations, and other factors which may tend to make the environment more desirable.

g. The proposed building or structure is not appropriate in its relation to the established character of other structures in the immediate area or neighboring areas in respect to significant design features such as material or quality or architectural design as viewed from any public or private way (except alleys).

Mr. Sanchez cited (f) as a possible criterion also.

f. The proposed building or structure is visibly excessively dissimilar in relation to any other structures existing or for which a permit has been issued or to any other structures included in the same permit application, facing upon the same or intersecting public or private way (alleys not included) and within two hundred (200) feet of the proposed site in respect to one or more of the following features:

    (1) cubical contents,
    (2) Gross floor area,
    (3) Height of building or height of roof,
    (4) Other significant design features such as materials or quality of architectural design.

Mrs. Smith cited sections "f" and "g" as stated above.

Mr. Schuler agreed with the previous reasons and added the entry is "too massive" for the site.

Mr. Thibadeau requested the objections be clarified further.

The Commission members mentioned in addition to the design of the walls, gates, fountains and posts being "too busy", the project is too massive; the wall could be straightened; the design could be simplified and the overall effect resembles the entrance to a development or a country club.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO DEFER THE PROJECT FOR REDESIGN AND INDIVIDUAL CONFERENCES WITH MEMBERS.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SANCHEZ.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. Spina indicated he accepted the deferral.

B42-92 New Commercial Building

Applicant:	A. Paul Prosperi/John Whelton
Address:	275 Sunrise Avenue (Biltmore Galleria)
Architect:	Robert L. Bell
Project Description:	Construction of single story commercial building

The footprint of the building was approved at the June meeting.

The project was deferred from the July meeting.

Robert Bell presented new elevation drawings for the project. The floor plan has also changed. The gross leasable space is between 2600 and 2700 square feet.

The front elevation has been redesigned to include architectural elements of Island National Bank on the corner of Sunrise and Bradley Place; specifically, three round top windows have replaced the two "showroom" type windows previously shown. The barrel tiles on sections of the roof also match the bank building and the Biltmore Galleria.

The landscaping will be changed to complement the new elevation.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE CONDITION A LANDSCAPE PLAN IS PRESENTED AT A LATER DATE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. ROSENTHAL.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

residence with flat concrete tile roof

The project was approved at the June meeting with conditions. The project was deferred from the July meeting for re-study.

Jacquie Albarran presented an elevation design for the front entry. A balcony on the first floor and a balcony on the second floor are shown. The second floor balcony has shorter columns than the first floor columns.

Ms. Albarran submitted the landscape plan as prepared by Land Stewardship Company.

The air conditioning units are screened and set back fifteen feet from the property line. There are units on the north and south sides.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SANCHEZ TO APPROVE THE PROJECT INCLUDING THE LANDSCAPE PLAN.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. NEWMAN.

MOTION CARRIED 6/1 WITH MRS. SMITH VOTING NEGATIVE.

B47-92 Sign

Applicant:	One Royal Palm Way Condominium Inc.
Address:	100 Royal Palm Way
Landscape Design:	Seminole Bay Land Company
Project Description:	Front yard building identification sign and 9' masonry columns with a lattice screen wall and a decorative archway

The project was deferred from the July meeting.

David Kier from Seminole Bay Land Company presented drawings for the project.

The sign identifying the building is between the enter and exit drives. The arched sign reads "One Royal Palm Way".

There will be lighting behind the existing wall to illuminate the area.

The Commission requested photographs of the property to further identify the specific areas pertaining to the project.

Mr. Kier indicated he could return by the end of the meeting with the photographs.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO DEFER THE PROJECT UNTIL THE END OF THE

AGENDA.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. ROSENTHAL.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

At 5:35 p.m., Mr. Kier returned with the photographs of the area.

A privacy wall will be built between 100 Royal Palm Way and 300 South Ocean Boulevard.

Mr. Kier explained where the new planters are to be installed and the location of the lattice screen wall.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO APPROVE THE SIGN AND WALLS WITH THE CONDITION GREEN BUTTONWOOD BE USED FOR THE BACKDROP AND BLACK LETTERING BE USED ON THE SIGN.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SANCHEZ.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE STATED CONDITIONS.

An additional motion was made for the remaining details of the project.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SANCHEZ TO APPROVE THE PLANTERS WITH THE CONDITION THEY BE PAINTED GREY AND TO APPROVE THE SOLID ALUMINUM LATTICE WITH THE CONDITION IT BE PAINTED WHITE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE STATED CONDITIONS.

<u>B4-92 Revision</u>

Applicant:	Mr. and Mrs. Joseph Berkow
Address:	Sloan's Curve - Lot 1
Architect:	Stephan A. Yeckes
Project Description:	Revise addition to residence

The project was deferred from the July meeting.

Steve Hoffman, representing Stephan Yeckes, presented plans for an addition to the residence.

The project has been presented previously. Changes have been made to the proposed addition. The windows have been redesigned; primarily one window replaces two windows previously designed on the front elevation.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. ROSENTHAL.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B51-92 Demolition/New Residence
Applicant: J. Sirigots
Address: 256 Miraflores Drive
Contractor: Sunshine Services
Project Description: Demolition of existing residence; construction of new residence

The project was deferred from the July meeting until conceptual plans for a new residence are presented to the Commission.

Mr. Frank reported that the drawings for this project were submitted one day before the meeting. Dimensions and the angle of vision are missing.

The cement block stucco, one story residence has a barrel tile roof. The roof pitch is 6/12.

Mr. Schuler noted the entry way appears massive for the size of the structure.

The garage doors open directly onto the street and should be site screened if possible.

The Commission members offered suggestions for restudying of the project.

Both casement and awning style windows are used. One style or the other should be selected.

The roof pitch could be 4/12 instead of 6/12.

Mr. Smith commented the house reflects more than one architectural style. One style should be chosen. The window styles and the quoining could than be determined.

John Holt is the architect for the project, but he was not present for the meeting.

MOTION MADE BY MR. NEWMAN TO ALLOW DEMOLITION OF THE EXISTING RESIDENCE AND RESTUDY THE DESIGN OF A NEW RESIDENCE WITH THE CONDITION THE LOT BE SEEDED OR SODDED AND MAINTAINED IF CONSTRUCTION OF THE MAIN BUILDING HAS NOT BEGUN WITHIN 90 DAYS OF THE ISSUANCE OF THE DEMOLITION PERMIT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B55-92 Remodel

Applicant:	Allied Dunbar Property Inc.
Address:	237-243 Worth Avenue
Architect:	The Lawrence Group
Project Description:	New facade on Worth Avenue

The project was deferred from the July meeting.

Gene Lawrence presented elevation drawings for the proposed Worth Avenue project.

Mr. Lawrence explained the project would be handled in stages. He is seeking approval for the "Armani" space plus one shop only at this time.

Mr. Lawrence did present proposed elevations for the remainder of the shops at the described location.

The Armani section of the facade would be stucco finish painted yellow with matching yellow awnings. The windows would have black frames with clear glass.

The height of the Armani building is 19 feet 6 inches--6 inches higher than the Chanel front next door.

The Armani sign would be above the door and on the door as shown on the "Alternate Worth Avenue Streetscape" drawing, revised 8/26/92.

Mr. Lawrence explained the existing walkway will be eliminated. Greenspace will be in the back of the buildings, accessible through the shops only.

MOTION MADE BY MR. NEWMAN TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION PASSED 5/2 WITH MRS. HOPE AND MR. SANCHEZ VOTING NEGATIVE.

B58-92 New Residence

Applicant:	Rosenberg Development Company, Inc.
Address:	#2 La Costa Way
Architect:	Ames Bennett
Project Description:	Construction of new single family residence

The project was deferred from the July meeting.

Ames Bennett presented elevation drawings showing the addition of a mansard roof.

Additionally, the design over the windows have been changed.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. HOPE TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. NEWMAN.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Dr. Rosenberg thanked the members of the Commission for their input into this and other recent projects he has been involved with.

B59-92 New Residence

Applicant:	Bernard Green
Address:	583 North Lake Way
Architect:	J. H. G. Brenner & Jones
Project Description:	Construct single family residence

The project was deferred from the July meeting.

Mr. Brenner and Robert Mufson presented the project.

A front elevation rendering was presented to the Commission. Additionally, a sample of white barrel tile was presented. Mr. Mufson reported this is a specially manufactured tile.

In response to questions from Mrs. Hope, Mr. Mufson replied there were eleven different roofs on the structure, now there are seven roofs. The roof pitch has been changed to 4/12 from 5/12. The Commission discussed the north roof section. Mr. Mufson agreed to change this roof using the suggestions of the Commission.

The entrance has been reduced by 20%. Mr. Schuler commented the entrance is still massive. Mr. Mufson replied the house sets back 60 feet from the road.

The guest house is now attached to the house and is part of the floor plan.

Mr. Green, the owner, was present in the audience. He agreed to the addition of a column on the entry way.

The window changes include designing the previously square windows the same size as other windows in the residence.

The Commission members discussed the stucco banding on the first and second floors as being excessive. Mrs. Smith felt that the

banding on the first floor at the entry portion of the residence is an enhancement. All the members agreed on the removal of the rest of the banding.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE CONDITIONS THAT PORTIONS OF THE BANDING BE ELIMINATED AS DISCUSSED; THE ROOF ON THE NORTH SIDE BE CHANGED AS DISCUSSED; COLOR SAMPLES AND DRIVEWAY MATERIALS BE SUBMITTED AT A LATER DATE AND A LANDSCAPE PLAN BE SUBMITTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. NEWMAN.

MOTION CARRIED 5/2 WITH THE STATED CONDITIONS WITH MR. SANCHEZ AND MR. SCHULER VOTING NEGATIVE.

The Commission recessed from 2:00 p.m. until 2:20 p.m.

B. New Business - Major Projects

B65-92 New Roof

Applicant:	Dr. Juan Tavaras
Address:	256 Mockingbird Trail
Contractor:	R. C. Hartung
Project Description:	New roof

The contractor, Mr. Hartung, presented elevation drawings showing the addition of a mansard roof. Presently, the air conditioning units, located on top of the roof, are visible.

Mr. Smith suggested the possible use of a parapet on all four sides of the house. The elevation drawings proposed the mansard roof on only three sides, not the back.

MOTION MADE BY MR. NEWMAN TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE CONDITION EITHER A MANSARD OR PARAPET ROOF BE INSTALLED ON ALL FOUR SIDES.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE STATED CONDITION.

B66-92 Demolition\New Residence

Applicant:	Sandy Pam Beach Properties, Inc.
Address:	248 Bahama Lane
Architect:	Smith Architectural Group
Project Description:	Demolish and construct two story residence

Jeffery Smith has a conflict with this project. His company is the architect of record for the project. A conflict of interest form

is on file in the Town Clerk's office.

Mrs. Smith is acting chairman.

Don Kino presented the drawings and photographs for the project.

The two story house is a Bermuda-Bahama style with a flat white tile roof. The pitch is 9/12. The height of the house is twenty-eight feet.

Wood, double-hung windows are shown with a transom over the front door. Wood shutters are also shown on the house.

The garage door does face the street, but the front motor court has a center entrance and the garage doors are site screened from the street.

Mrs. Smith read a letter from James and Elizabeth Beasley, 273 Bahama Lane, requesting the style of the house be Bermuda or Bahama to be compatible with the other residences in the neighborhood.

Mrs. Beasley was in the audience. She said she has seen the plans and is happy with them.

Christina Orr-Cahill also addressed the Commission. She likes the plans, and commented they are "in style" with the neighborhood.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SANCHEZ TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE CONDITIONS THE GARAGE DOOR BE SITE SCREENED USING THE CENTER CUT DRIVEWAY, HEDGE AND THE FOUR FOOT WALL AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS. MATERIALS FOR THE DRIVEWAY, COLOR SAMPLES, AWNINGS AND A LANDSCAPE PLAN ARE TO BE SUBMITTED AT A LATER MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE STATED CONDITIONS.

<u>B67-92 Revise facade</u>

Applicant:	Arthur Levine
Address:	355 North Lake Way
Architect:	John Gosman
Project Description:	Revise entrance facade

John Gosman presented an elevation drawing and photographs for the project.

The front entrance is to be moved forward utilizing the existing front door. All materials to match the existing structure.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. NEWMAN.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B68-92 Remodel

Applicant:	Robert and Lynn Fessler
Address:	1015 South Ocean Boulevard
Architect:	Wayne Berenbaum
Project Description:	Relocate entrance, change roof tiles, add gates

Wayne Berenbaum presented drawings of the front elevation. Photographs of the residence were also presented.

The roof will be changed to barrel tiles. The entrance is close to the road (approximately five feet). The front entrance will be landscaped and will be the main entrance to the residence. Currently the entrance is through the garage area.

Mr. Smith mentioned a possible deed restriction that might affect the roof and/or trellis plans for the residence. He noted the roof pitches are different on the house and on the garage possibly due to this restriction.

Mrs. Smith commented since the house is so close to the road a large (red/orange) barrel tile roof will be quite noticeable. The existing white tiles become part of the skyline.

Mrs. Smith would like to see details of the front door and the side lights.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SANCHEZ TO DEFER THE PROJECT FOR RESTUDY CONSIDERING THE SUGGESTIONS OF THE COMMISSION.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B69-92 New Residence

Applicant:	Angela and Malcolm Healey
Address:	1341 South Ocean Boulevard (lot 7)
Architect:	Blue Sun Ltd.
Project Description:	Construct new two story, single family residence

James A. Mingus, architect, and Jeff Blakely, landscape architect,

presented a handout to each Commission member that included a site plan, a preliminary landscaping plan, an existing vegetation plan, a main floor plan, a second floor plan and the elevations.

A developed landscape plan is included in the larger set of drawings submitted with the application.

Mr. Blakely explained the existing entrance is used by the Hansen property to the north. The Hansens will have to plan a new entrance for their property. The existing driveway splits into a new circular drive area on the north side of the house and a driveway on the south side of the house. The garage is located on the south side and has four garage doors.

A six foot wall exists on the front of the property.

The elevation of the house (the height of the skylight) is 35 feet.

An historic banyan tree with an approximate circumference of 30 feet exists on the property.

The Commission suggested Mr. Mingus contact Peter May, the property owner directly to the south of this project. The south elevation with the four garage doors is approximately 220 feet long and is approximately 30 feet from the lot line.

Mr. Mingus stated the doors and windows are all mahogany. The front door has leaded glass with side lights.

Mr. Smith noted the front entrance is different (architecturally) from the rest of the house

The Commission requested Mr. Mingus supply additional drawings showing details and fenestration.

Mr. Mingus would like to receive approval to start construction as soon as possible.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SANCHEZ TO CONCEPTUALLY APPROVE THE RESIDENCE (APPROVAL OF THE FOOTPRINT) WITH THE CONDITIONS THE FRONT ENTRY BE REWORKED (DEFINE STYLE OF ARCHITECTURE); DETAILS AND FENESTRATION BE DELINEATED; MATERIALS AND ROOF COLORS TO BE SUBMITTED AT A LATER MEETING. THE LANDSCAPE PLAN IS APPROVED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE STATED CONDITIONS.

<u>B70-92 Addition</u>
Applicant: Temple Emanu-el
Address: 220 and 222 Seminole Avenue

Architect:	Theodore E. Davis
Project Description:	Construct 10,713 square foot addition of 8 classrooms and additional accessory/ support facilities to Appleman Fellowship Hall

Dennis Koehler, Esquire, introduced Ted Davis, the architect for the project, Maziar Keshavarz, the engineer for the project, and Bob Bentz, the landscape architect.

Mr. Davis presented the site plan for the project showing the 10,713 square foot addition. The Temple has purchased a piece of property approximately 100 feet by 116 feet for the addition. The Temple owns 278 feet on the Seminole side of the building.

The proposed addition matches the existing building including the stained glass windows. A covered walk connects the sanctuary with the fellowship hall. A covered driveway is also under the building.

The lighting on the driveway area directs the light towards the driveway.

Synthetic stucco panels will be used that match the existing stucco. The pavers on the drive will be a salmon color and burnt slate. The cement roof shingles will be a four color blend.

Mr. Smith noted the new windows should match the existing windows. The new windows are shown taller on the drawing.

The Commission suggested the stairs should run north-south at the west end of the addition; not east-west.

Mrs. Smith suggested reducing the size of the stair case and noted the different sizes of the windows.

Mr. Davis explained the heights of the addition and the existing building are different because the basement level is planned at approximately 5.5 feet (elevation). Mr. Keshavarz did not recommend the elevation of the basement level be lower than 5.5 feet.

Mr. Davis said the basement elevation will be approximately 3 feet (elevation). This will allow the windows (they are the same size) to line up. Additionally, the banding on the building will continue straight across.

Mr. Davis noted a salmon colored awning is planned for the covered walkway. The Commission preferred roof tile over the walkway.

Mr. Bentz presented the landscape plan.

Four royal palm trees will be planted along Seminole Avenue. Cabbage palms are planed in conjunction with the royal palm plantings. The Commission suggested using queen palms in place of the cabbage palms.

There is an existing 6 foot ficus hedge along the south side of the property.

Other shrubs (oleanders, hibiscus, pittosporum), ground covers and palms are identified on the landscape plan.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE CONDITION THE WINDOWS ARE CHANGED (LINED UP), THE STAIRS ARE SIMPLIFIED (AS DISCUSSED), CLAY TILES (INSTEAD OF CONCRETE) ARE USED ON THE CANOPY AND THE ELEVATION IS CARRIED STRAIGHT ACROSS.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. NEWMAN.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE STATED CONDITIONS.

B71-92 Statues

Applicant:	Arij Gasiunasen
Address:	118 Seaview Avenue
Attorney:	Doyle Rogers
Project Description:	Placement of three statues

Applicant requests postponement of this project until the September meeting.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO DEFER THIS PROJECT AND PROJECT B57-92.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. SMITH.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B57-92 Addition

Applicant:	Uta K. Ortis-Patino
Address:	598 South County Road
Architect:	Robert Bell
Project Description:	Construct second story addition

This project was deferred at the request of the architect.

See Project B71-92 for the deferral motion.

C. New Business - Minor Projects

A68-92 Remodel

Applicant:	Liliane LeTourner
Address:	237 Miraflores
Designer:	K. C. Hubbard
Project Description:	Change windows, new door location, add concrete slab for front porch

K. C. Hubbard presented photographs and an elevation drawing of the front of the residence.

The concrete slab creates a new entrance area for the front door.

The Commission members agreed the proposed changers were nice improvements to the property.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SANCHEZ.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A69-92 Entrance/Gates

Applicant:	Byron L. Ramsing
Address:	239 Coral Lane
Architect:	Sidney K. Neill
Project Description:	Move and revise existing street entrance gates; extend & pave driveway; new pedestrian gate; swimming pool fence and gate

Sidney Neill presented a site plan showing the proposed entrance change, the driveway changes, the gates and fences.

The property consists of five lots.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A70-92 Sign

Applicant:	Richard J. Boone, Inc. (Addison's Attic)
Address:	326 Peruvian Avenue
Contractor:	
Project Description:	Install sign, "Addison's Attic"

No one was present to represent the applicant.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO REMOVE THE ITEM FROM THE AGENDA.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. ROSENTHAL.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A71-92 Sign

Applicant:	Palm Beach Park Centre, Ltd.
Address:	440 Royal Palm Way
Contractor:	Baron Sign Company
Project Description:	Signage on east and west elevations to read: "Northern Trust Bank". Signage on north elevation to read: "Northern Trust Bank Plaza" (Building name)

Baron Sign Company presented north, east and west elevation drawings of the building at 440 Royal Palm Way showing spaces for the lettering.

The sign, "Northern Trust Bank Plaza", on the north elevation is above the entrance. The east elevation sign, "Northern Trust Bank", is above an entrance door. The west elevation sign, "Northern Trust Bank", is located on the northwest corner of the building on the second and third floors.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE SIGNAGE SUBJECT TO CODE RESTRICTIONS.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. ROSENTHAL.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A72-92 Driveway Posts, landscape revision

Applicant:	Mr. and Mrs. William Flaherty
Address:	315 Chapel Hill Road
Landscape Architect:	Morgan Wheelock, Inc.
Project Description:	Driveway alteration; new entrance posts; landscape revision

Mario Neuverra, Morgan Wheelock, presented a site plan showing the new driveway configuration and the landscaping changes.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A73-92 Gates

Applicant:	Mr. Pade
Address:	695 North Lake Way
Contractor:	Reich Metal Fabrication Inc.
Project Description:	Install white aluminum driveway gates

Reich Metals presented a drawing of the white aluminum driveway gates.

The curved gates have solid panels with rectangular trim in the bottom half of the gates. The top curved part is open lattice work with rosettes on both sides.

The Commission discussed the style of the gates suggesting the style is not compatible with the residence or the neighborhood. Suggestions include using a black, more traditional gate style. An example would be the Fishers' gates across the street from this residence.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. HOPE TO DEFER THE PROJECT FOR RESTUDY.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SANCHEZ.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A74-92 Sign

Applicant:	Art Lane's Time and Again Antiques
Address:	155 Worth Avenue
Contractor:	Phil Rowe Signs
Project Description:	Install 2' x 8' sign with a brown background and gold letters with red outline on the face of the building

Mr. Rowe requested this item be removed from the agenda.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO REMOVE THE ITEM FROM THE AGENDA.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A75-92 Sign

Applicant:	Linda A. Gary Real Estate, Inc.
Address:	420 South County Road
Contractor:	Phil Rowe Signs
Project Description:	Cut out letters installed on building;

white lettering on glass

Steve Rowe presented elevation drawings of the proposed signage.

The bronze colored letters, 9 inches and 12 inches high, would be installed on Worth Avenue and South County Road. There would be 3 inch lettering on the windows.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO APPROVE THE SIGNAGE SUBJECT TO TOWN CODES.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A76-92 Sign

Applicant:	Sylvia Posner (Paris in Town)
Address:	313-A Worth Avenue
Contractor:	Phil Rowe Signs
Project Description:	Install 9" black cut-out letters on building and white window lettering

Steve Rowe presented an elevation drawing showing the black letters, "Paris in Town", located above the awning. The white window lettering will be 4 inches high (same wording).

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO APPROVE THE SIGNAGE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SANCHEZ.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A77-92 Sign

Applicant:	Designers R Less
Address:	179 Bradley Place
Contractor:	Phil Rowe Signs
Project Description:	White window lettering in 6 windows

Steve Rowe presented the elevation drawing showing the 4 inch white window lettering on 6 windows.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SANCHEZ TO APPROVE THE SIGNAGE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A78-92 Sign

Applicant:	Devonshire
Address:	340 Worth Avenue
Contractor:	Phil Rowe Signs
Project Description:	Dark green lettering on existing plaster ovals; white door lettering

Steve Rowe presented the elevation drawing showing the "Devonshire" dark green letters located at the top of three archways at 340 Worth Avenue.

The same wording appears on the door in white.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SANCHEZ TO APPROVE THE SIGNAGE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A79-92 Sign

Applicant:	Siamese Trader
Address:	400 Hibiscus
Contractor:	Phil Rowe Signs
Project Description:	"Beach Shop" sign on Hibiscus; "Siamese Trader" (corporate office) above door on Peruvian Avenue; white window lettering

Steve Rowe presented elevation photographs of the Peruvian and Hibiscus sides of the building.

The "Beach Shop" sign is shown on 5 windows: 3 signs on Peruvian and 2 on Hibiscus. These are 4 inch white letters.

The black, 14 1/2 inch cut out letters, "Beach Shop" are on the Hibiscus side above the awning.

The "Siamese Trader" sign is above the door on Peruvian.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SANCHEZ TO APPROVE THE SIGNAGE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A80-92 Remodel

Applicant:	Chookiat Sroivattana
Address:	209 Bermuda Lane
Architect:	John B. Gosman
Project Description:	Change windows; enlarge existing garage

door; extend entry with cast stone columns

John Gosman presented a front elevation drawing showing the proposed changes.

The existing entry will brought forward utilizing the same materials. The garage door will be centered.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A81-92 Awnings

Applicant:	Sotheby's
Address:	337 Royal Poinciana Plaza
Contractor:	American Awning Company
Project Description:	Gray awning with white trim over entrance

The elevation drawing shows a gray awning over the entrance. Several other existing awning frames will be removed.

MOTION MADE BY MR. ROSENTHAL TO APPROVE THE AWNING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A82-92 Signs

Applicant:	Nancy Stamatakis (Alexandra's of Palm Beach, Inc.)
Address:	118 North County Road
Contractor:	(owner)
Project Description:	Seven gold lettered window signs; three door signs

The manager for the new consignment shop presented elevation drawings of the shop on North County Road. The building extends around the corner on Sunset Avenue. Signs are shown on a total of seven windows and two doors.

The windows and doors were identified with the letters A through I.

Gold lettering, "Alexandra's" would be on the windows.

The doors showed "Alexandra's of Palm Beach, Consignment Boutique, 659-3035" in gold lettering.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO APPROVE (ON COUNTY ROAD) A AND C; AND

(ON SUNSET) D, F AND H ON THE ELEVATION DRAWINGS. THE DOOR ON SUNSET MAY HAVE THE PHONE NUMBER AND THE WORDS "CONSIGNMENT BOUTIQUE".

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SANCHEZ.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A83-92 Awnings

Applicant:	Miller residence
Address:	1 Lake Trail Circle
Contractor:	Awnings by Jay
Project Description:	Install retractable awnings over patios

The site plan shows the installation of two retractable awnings.

One of the awnings is 19 feet long, the other awning is 35 feet long.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. SMITH.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Adjournment

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO ADJOURN THE MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

The August 26, 1992 Architectural Commission meeting was adjourned at 5:50 p.m. The next Architectural Commission meeting will be held September 23, 1992 p.m. in the Town Council chambers.

Respectfully submitted,

_____
Jeffery W. Smith, Chairman

JWS:mp

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME	NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE
Smith, Jeff	ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION
MAILING ADDRESS: 119 Seagate Road	THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF: CITY COUNTY OTHER LOCAL AGENCY
CITY: Palm Beach, COUNTY: Palm Beach	NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION:
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED: 8/26/92	MY POSITION IS: ELECTIVE X APPOINTIVE

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes. The requirements of this law are mandatory; although the use of this particular form is not required by law, you are encouraged to use it in making the disclosure required by law.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

ELECTED OFFICERS:

A person holding elective county, municipal, or other local public office MUST ABSTAIN from voting on a measure which inures to his special private gain. Each local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he is retained.

In either case, you should disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

A person holding appointive county, municipal, or other local public office MUST ABSTAIN from voting on a measure which inures to his special private gain. Each local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he is retained.

A person holding an appointive local office otherwise may participate in a matter in which he has a conflict of interest, but must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision by oral or written communication, whether made by the officer or at his direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You should complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.
- A copy of the form should be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form should be read publicly at the meeting prior to consideration of the matter in which you have a conflict of interest.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You should disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You should complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, Jeffrey W. Smith, hereby disclose that on 8.26, 19 92:

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

___ inured to my special private gain; or

✓ inured to the special gain of Sandy Pam Beach Properties, Inc, by whom I am retained.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my interest in the measure is as follows:

B66-92

Smith Architectural Group is the architect of record for the project.

8/26/92
Date Filed

Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317 (1985), A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED $5,000.