



TOWN OF PALM BEACH

Planning, Zoning & Building Department

MINUTES OF THE ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 27, 2008

TOWN COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 360 SOUTH COUNTY ROAD, PALM BEACH

Please be advised that in keeping with a recent directive from the Town Council, the minutes of all Town Boards and Commissions will be "abbreviated" in style. Persons interested in listening to the meeting, after the fact, may access the audio of that item via the Town's website at www.townofpalmbeach.com or may obtain an audio recording (tape or CD) of the meeting by contacting Gretchen Dayton, Secretary to the Architectural Commission at (561) 227-6409. As a point of reference, you will find the recording times listed on these minutes at the beginning of each item.

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Wheelock called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

II. ROLL CALL

PRESENT:

Morgan Dix Wheelock, Chairman
William P. Feldkamp, Vice-Chairman
William J. Strawbridge, Jr., Member
Leslie C. Diver, Member
Thomas M. Youchak, Member
Jeffery W. Smith, Member
Samuel M. Boykin, Member
Kenn Karakul, Alternate Member
Robert J. Vila, Alternate Member
Ann L. Vanneck, Alternate Member
Matthew T. Ramenda, Acting Town Attorney
John Page, Director Planning, Zoning & Building
Timothy M. Frank, Planning Administrator
Gretchen Dayton, Recording Secretary

ABSENT:

None

III. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Chairman Wheelock.

IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE JULY ARCOM MEETING.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

VI. PROJECT DEFERRALS/REMOVAL

None

VII. PROJECT REVIEW

**A. TOWN COUNCIL PROJECTS - VARIANCE REQUESTS
DEFERRALS**

Formal action may be taken relative to these projects pending Town Council approval.

B43-08/SPR5-08 New Residence :05

Address: 150 Kings Road
Applicant: Kings Estate, Inc.
Architect: SKA Architect + Planner
Project Description: New two-story classical style home to be approximately 6,900 square feet. (A site plan review is requested to construct a single family residence on a non-conforming lot which is 100 ft. wide in lieu of the 125 ft. minimum, 143 ft. in depth in lieu of the 150 ft. minimum required and 14,308 sf. in area in lieu of the 20,000 sf. minimum required. A variance is requested to allow a building height plane setback of 35 ft. in lieu of 62 ft. minimum required.)

This project was deferred from the June and July meetings for restudy.

There were no ex parte communications disclosed.

Architect Pat Segraves presented drawings of the revised rear balcony railing. He stated that cast stone material will be used for the banding and surrounds throughout the house.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**B. TOWN COUNCIL PROJECTS - VARIANCE REQUESTS
NEW BUSINESS**

Formal action may be taken relative to these projects pending Town Council approval

B59-08 / V11-08 Addition 5:36

Address: 410 Seabreeze Avenue
Applicant: William and Elaine Miller, Trustees
Architect: Noe Guerra
Project Description: A second story addition above the existing kitchen, at the rear of the house, totaling 422.98 square feet, and a first floor addition to slightly expand the existing family room at the rear of the home totaling 67.54 square feet. (A variance to permit an increase in the CCR non-conformity and to build in the existing setback non-conformities).

Ms. Diver and Mr. Youchak said they met with the lawyer and the architect and discussed the plans.

Architect Noe Guerra proposed a second floor addition at an existing balcony area and a small expansion to the first floor family room.

Attorney Ron Kolins explained that the existing house has side setback non conformities and the proposed additions do not expand any further into the setbacks. The existing east side yard setback is 11.4 feet in lieu of 12.5 feet that is required. The west side yard setback is 9.6 feet and 15 feet is required. The remaining variance is for the cubic content ratio because the lot is undersized. The existing CCR is 4.29 and with the addition the CCR would be 4.77 in lieu of the required 4.08.

Mr. Smith requested that the legal ad be attached to the ARCOM agendas when this Commission has to review projects asking for variances from the zoning code. Mr. Page assured Mr. Smith that the staff will find a way to make the Commission's job easier.

Some Commissioners felt that the second floor addition was fine. Whereas, others thought that the architecture did not match the existing style and the addition created yet another style of roof to a house that already has too many roof styles. Although they did agree, that because the lots on Seabreeze Avenue are small, that to ask for an additional bedroom was not a problem.

Mr. Wheelock read a letter from Ann Blades, who resides at 402 Seabreeze Avenue, who was concerned that her home will be sandwiched between two construction projects going on at the same time.

Mr. Kolins offered to defer the project from the Town Council's agenda until they get approval

ARCOM Agenda 8/27/08

from ARCOM.

MOTION BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE SEPTEMBER MEETING FOR RESTUDY.

Under discussion, it was suggested to restudy the bay window on the second floor.

MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MOTION BY MR. SMITH TO DEFER THE VOTE RELATIVE TO THE VARIANCE TO THE SEPTEMBER MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B60-08 / V8-08 Addition 30:44

Address: 257 Tradewind Drive
Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Robert Van Gieson
Architect: Michael J. Johnson
Project Description: Extend the existing southeast wing 13 feet, two inches to the south. Match existing details, materials, bay window and colors. (A variance is requested to increase the angle of vision).

Mr. Smith, Ms. Diver, Mr. Wheelock, Mr. Feldkamp, Mr. Strawbridge and Mr. Youchak received an e-mail from Attorney Maura Ziska regarding this project.

Architect Michael Johnson proposed a one-story addition to the east wing of the existing one-story home.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO RECOMMEND TO THE TOWN COUNCIL THAT THE ARCHITECTURE MERITS THE VARIANCE REQUESTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO APPROVED THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. YOUCHAK.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B61-08 / V10-08 Garden Wall 39:48

Address: 300 North Ocean Boulevard
Applicant: Dennis and Jean Bottoroff
Architect: Smith and Moore Architects
Project Description: An existing 4ft. high garden wall in lieu of demolition of said wall and construction of a new 6 ft. high garden wall to screen a pool in a street side yard setback. A 6ft. high hedge behind the existing 4ft. wall in lieu of a 6ft. high hedge in front of a 6ft. high wall. (A variance to allow the existing 4ft. wall to screen a swimming pool in the required street side setback in lieu of a 6ft. wall. And, a variance to allow the 6ft. high hedge to be on the inside of the 4ft. wall in lieu of the outside of the wall).

Mr. Smith, Ms. Diver, Mr. Wheelock, Mr. Feldkamp, Mr. Strawbridge and Mr. Youchak received an e-mail from Attorney Maura Ziska regarding this project.

Architect Harold Smith explained that the zoning requires a six-foot tall hedge on the street side and six-foot high wall setback three-feet from the street for swimming pools. The property has an existing four-foot high wall setback eighteen inches from the property line. If the wall were replaced to meet the zoning code, a tree would have to be removed and they would lose the continuity of the existing wall.

MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO RECOMMEND TO THE TOWN COUNCIL THAT THE VARIANCE REQUEST MERITS APPROVAL WITH THE INCLUSION OF A DEED RESTRICTION OF THE SIX-FOOT HEDGE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED WITH A DEED RESTRICTION ON THE HEDGE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B62-08 / V9-08 Addition 49:01

Address: 355 North Lake Way
Applicant: Arthur Levine
Architect: Thomas Kirchhoff
Project Description: Addition of a second story to an existing one story residence. (A variance to construct a second story addition with a north side yard setback of 10.1ft. in lieu of the 15ft. minimum required and a south side yard setback of 13.2ft. in lieu of the 15ft. minimum required).

Ms. Vanneck said she met with the architect at the site. Mr. Smith, Mr. Strawbridge and Mr. Youchak received an e-mail from Attorney Maura Ziska regarding this project. Ms. Diver said she received an e-mail from Attorney Maura Ziska regarding this project and met the architect at the site. Mr. Wheelock declared a Conflict of Interest in which he had declared previously this year for the same client. He then passed the gavel to Vice-Chairman Feldkamp and left the dias during the discussion. Mr. Feldkamp said he met with the architect, viewed the site and received an e-mail from Attorney Maura Ziska.

Architect Thomas Kirchhoff presented a second floor addition for an existing one-story home.

It was stated that this addition is a significant improvement to the house. The only design comment was that the balcony railing over the entry was very Baroque.

MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO RECOMMEND TO TOWN COUNCIL THAT THE ARCHITECTURE MERITS THE VARIANCE REQUEST.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. YOUCHAK.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE PROVISION TO COME BACK WITH A RESTUDY OF THE BALCONY OVER THE FRONT DOOR.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. YOUCHAK.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Vice-Chairman Feldkamp passed the gavel to Chairman Wheelock at this time.

A4-08 / SP7-08 Awnings 1:01:49

Address: 300 Australian Avenue
Applicant: CSC Brazilian LP
Architect: The Lawrence Group Architects
Project Description: Addition of three white awnings at the Brazilian Court Hotel, Ohio House parcel. (Site plan review for encroachment into the setback).

Mr. Wheelock said he spoke with the architect by telephone.

Architect Richard Leja proposed placing awnings on the Ohio House building that will project one-foot into the front yard and street side setbacks.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO RECOMMEND TO THE TOWN COUNCIL THAT THE ARCHITECTURE OF THIS PROPOSAL MERITS THE VARIANCE REQUESTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. YOUCHAK.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A5-08 / SP8-08 Sign 1:08:46

Address: 2842 South Ocean Blvd.
Applicant: City National Bank of Florida as Trustee
Architect: Beilison & Gomez
Project Description: Construction of an identification sign at the entrance of 2842 South Ocean Blvd. (A variance is requested to provide a yard sign with no front yard setback in lieu of 10 feet minimum required).

There were no ex parte communications disclosed regarding this project. Architect Jose Gomez presented photographs of the proposed identification sign as well as the exit and entry signs. He also noted that the six-foot high masonry site wall has some curved features which will be removed. Mr. Frank noted that the logo on the sign was too large and did not meet the zoning code of twelve-inches by twelve-inches.

Marilia Carlson, representing the applicant, stated that it was difficult to come up with a solution to have a sign that is visible from the street and is aesthetically pleasing.

MOTION BY MR. SMITH TO RECOMMEND TO THE TOWN COUNCIL TO GRANT THE VARIANCE REQUEST.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MOTION BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE SEPTEMBER MEETING TO ASK THE APPLICANT TO LOOK INTO A WAY TO IMPROVE THE VIEW OF THE SIGN, TO BRING THE LIGHTING PROJECT BACK FOR REVIEW AND TO ADJUST THE ENTRANCE AND EXIT SIGNS.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SMITH.

MR. WHEELock OPPOSED.

MOTION CARRIED 6-1.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM CITIZENS

Executive Director of Preservation Foundation John Ripley addressed the Commission regarding planting of palm trees along North County Road. He said the palm trees will continue along North Ocean Boulevard in front of the Palm Beach Country Club property.

C. DEFERRALS AND CONTINUATIONS - MAJOR PROJECTS

B32-08 Addition 1:28:30

Address: 110 Chateaux Drive
Applicant: Alexander L. Fanjul
Architect: Ames Bennett
Project Description: Single story 1,147 sq. ft. family room addition with recreation room appendages to northeast area of the existing two-story house.

This project was deferred from the May and June meetings for restudy. This project was deferred to the September meeting "or earlier."

There were no ex parte communications disclosed relative to this project.

Chairman Wheelock announced that this project is an automatic deferral as the owner is awaiting a response for the request of the waiver of the zoning code. The waiver is usually granted by the Town's Building, Zoning and Planning Director, but one of the neighbors has objected to the waiver. This matter is now waiting to be resolved.

B34-08 Hedge 1:30:07

Address: 515 North County Road
Applicant: Trump Properties LLC
Attorney: Raymond W. Royce
Project Description: Replace existing 6 ft. high ficus hedge with a silver buttonwood hedge along North County Road.

This project was approved with the agreement to present an elevation drawing from the street showing the 8ft. silver buttonwood plants augmented by 20ft. silver buttonwood trees. This project was deferred from the June meeting for the applicant to return with photographs of the progress of the planting. It was again deferred to the August meeting for an update of the project and to come back with photographs.

Ms. Vanneck, Mr. Wheelock and Mr. Strawbridge said they visited the site. Mr. Youchak said he visited the site and spoke with the contractor.

Architect Eugene Lawrence presented photographs of the hedging and trees that have been installed on the property.

MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B41-08 New Residence 1:34:15

Address: 144 Wells Road
Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Peter Cohen
Architect: Smith and Moore Architects
Project Description: Demolition of existing residence and construction of a new two-story British Colonial style residence.

The demolition portion of this project was referred to the Town Council for review at their July meeting. The owner appealed that action and the Town Council upheld the owner's appeal. Demolition was approved and the replacement house was denied at the July meeting. Per the Town Council's action this project is placed on the agenda with notification to the adjacent neighbors.

Ms. Vanneck, Mr. Youchak and Ms. Diver said they met with the architect and discussed the plans. Mr. Wheelock said he visited the site. At the Town Council's request, Mr. Feldkamp recused himself and left the dias during the discussion.

Architect Harold Smith presented the changes that have been made to the project. The first change was to remove the second floor covered porch creating a recess of 10 ft. which cut down on the total roof mass of the second story. Intersecting gable roofs were introduced at the first floor forming a ridge that runs from front to back on either side of the house. A bay window was added to the library. Sand floated stucco, in a blue color, will be applied to the house rather than the Hardiboard which was previously proposed. The roofing material will remain cedar wood shake as previously presented. He proposed alternative window styles to the north and west elevations.

The copper roof connection (cricket) between the gable end and the second story porch was thought to be aesthetically unpleasing. It was suggested to reconsider the shake roof with either cement or clay. As the size of window panes differed, it was proposed to repeat the same size of eight panes over eight or use six panes over six. Because there were a lot of windows on the north elevation, all looking the same, it was thought that the two windows in the garage could be made smaller or to use one window at that location. The architect was asked to reconsider the green building color.

**MOTION BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE
SEPTEMBER MEETING FOR RESTUDY.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. YOUCHAK.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

B46-08 New Residence 2:06:44

Address: 200 Merrain Road
Applicant: Mark Mason and Isabella Kron
Architect: Ralph Cantin
Project Description: Demolish existing one-story residence. Construct a new two-story

This project was deferred from the June meeting for restudy. Demolition was approved at the July meeting and the replacement house was deferred for restudy.

Mr. Feldkamp said he met with the architect.

Architect Ralph Cantin revised the plans by moving the house 6 inches to the east, cut back the patio and loggia and lowered the height of the house 8 inches which made the house 20'6" high. The only window that could be visible from the neighbor's yard will be obscured. He presented a drawing of sun studies which depicted how this house will affect the sunlight into the neighbor's property. He noted that at no time the neighbor's pool will shade by this house. There was also a request for a wind study at the last meeting. This study indicated how the new house will affect the air flow to the neighbors. Mr. Cantin stated that there would be no negative impact.

Mr. Wheelock was impressed by the shadow and wind studies that Mr. Cantin presented. He said they are exactly what was asked for and they prove the point that this house will not be impinging on the neighbor's shading or ventilation.

Mr. Youchak left the meeting at this time and returned after the lunch break. Therefore, Mr. Karakul was a voting member.

Landscape Architect Dustin Mizell presented the preliminary landscape plan.

Ms. Diver felt that the project was very vertical and tall. Mr. Karakul was concerned that there was very little landscape screening along the north property line.

Andrew and Catharine Stewart, 210 Merrain Road, addressed the Commission and spoke against the project.

Attorney Peter Broberg, representing Mr. and Mrs. Stewart, passed around an aerial photograph of the existing house and noted the location of it to the neighbors.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.

Chairman Wheelock passed the gavel to Ms. Diver.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. WHEELOCK.

ROLL CALL:

MR. FELDKAMP	YES
MR. WHEELOCK	YES
MR. STRAWBRIDGE	NO
MR. SMITH	NO
MR. BOYKIN	YES
MR. KARAKUL	NO
MS. DIVER	NO

MOTION FAILED 4-3.

Ms. Diver passed the gavel back to the Chairman.

MOTION BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE SEPTEMBER MEETING TO LOOK AT THE ISSUES RAISED BY THE COMMISSION, WHICH MAY ALSO BE THE NEIGHBORS CONCERNS AND TO RESTUDY THE HEIGHT OF THE BUILDING.

MOTION SECONDED MR. KARAKUL.

ROLL CALL:

MR. STRAWBRIDGE	YES
MR. KARAKUL	YES
MR. FELDKAMP	NO
MS. DIVER	NO
MR. SMITH	NO
MR. BOYKIN	NO
MR. WHEELOCK	NO

MOTION FAILED 5-2.

MOTION BY MR. SMITH TO DENY THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.

ROLL CALL:

MR. SMITH	YES
MS. DIVER	YES
MR. FELDKAMP	NO
MR. STRAWBRIDGE	NO
MR. BOYKIN	NO
MR. KARAKUL	YES
MR. WHEELOCK	NO

MOTION FAILED 4-3.

The Commissioners who voted against approval of the project made the following comments: Mr. Smith said that stylistically there is no style and having a toupe color roof makes the proposal even worse. It does not fit the neighborhood. The site planning and the exposure to the street do not work.

Mr. Villa was concerned that this proposal breaks the traditional east/west axis on the street.

Mr. Strawbridge felt that the neighbors could have a better solution. He said the building has no architectural substance.

Mr. Karakul pointed out that the active areas of the property are open to where a lot of people walk by to go to the beach.

**MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE SEPTEMBER MEETING FOR REVIEW OF A DIFFERENT PLAN.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

B55-08 Demolition, New Residence, Landscape, Hardscape & Site Lighting 2:53:00

Address: 1558 North Ocean Way
Applicant: Arabian Beach LLC (Lee Gordon)
Architect: Smith and Moore Architects
Project Description: Demolition of an existing residence and construction of a new two-story Caribbean/Georgian style residence with two-car garage and pool, including final landscape, hardscape and site lighting.

This project was approved at the July meeting with the agreement to come back for review of the railings.

There were no ex parte communications disclosed.

Architect Peter Papadopoulos presented a simplified railing detail.

**MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. KARAKUL.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

B56-08 New Residence 2:55:14

Address: 770 South County Road
Applicant: 770 South County L.P.
Architect: Ralph Cantin
Project Description: New two-story single family residence.

This project was approved subject to returning with the details.

Mr. Strawbridge declared a previous Conflict of Interest and left the dias during the presentation.

Architect Ralph Cantin introduced Developer Joe Brennan who then presented the stone details of the project.

**MOTION BY MR. SMITH TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE SEPTEMBER MEETING. (TO RESTUDY THE COLUMNS, ENTRY DETAILS AND THE COURSING).
MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

D. NEW BUSINESS - MAJOR PROJECTS

The meeting was reconvened at 1:45 p.m. after a lunch break. Mr. Youchak was in attendance at this time.

B63-08 Addition & Windows/Doors 3:12:24

Address: 359 Seaspray Avenue
Applicant: Scott C. Murray
Architect: Randall J. Dubois
Project Description: Construct a one-story entry porch addition, replace windows and roof, add a decorative stucco treatment on the south facade and relocate the existing driveway.

There were no ex parte communications disclosed regarding this project.

Architect Randall Dubois presented the details to the project. He indicated that all of the jalousie windows will be replaced and the roofing material will be changed from asphalt shingles to wood shingles.

MOTION BY MR. SMITH TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE CONDITION TO STRAIGHTEN THE PORCH ROOF, THE WINDOW PANES TO BE 6 OVER 1, THE DRIVEWAY AND WALKWAY TO BE THE SAME MATERIAL AND TO

REMOVE THE KEY STONE ABOVE THE ROUND ELEMENT ON THE FRONT FACADE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B64-08 Landscape & Hardscape 3:39:44

Address: 170 Canterbury Lane
Applicant: Walter & Marilyn Wolpin
Architect: Mario Nievera Design
Project Description: Proposed landscape and hardscape design.

Ms. Diver said she met with the architect at the site. Mr. Wheelock said he visited the site. Mr. Feldkamp said he met with the landscape architect at the site. Mr. Strawbridge said he reviewed the plan that was sent to him.

Landscape Architect Keith Williams presented the final landscape and hardscape plan which included travertine stone and old Chicago brick pavers. Fourteen-feet high calophyllum trees will be planted for screening.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE SQUARE BANDS IN THE FRONT PARKING AREA.

MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B65-08 Addition 3:50:16

Address: 112 Via Palma
Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Abe Haruvi
Architect: SKA Architect + Planner
Project Description: Renovation and addition of existing multi-level home. Renovation of existing kitchen, dining room, family room and bedrooms and bathroom at the east side of the home. Addition of a media room with bathroom and closet above a new family room.

Ms. Vanneck said that she drove by the site.

Architect Pat Segraves proposed a new entry feature and a second floor addition to the existing home.

Mr. Frank read a letter from Mrs. Nicholas L. Porreca, 1030 South Ocean Blvd., objecting to the size of the expansion of the second floor.

ARCOM Agenda 8/27/08

Ms. Segraves stated that the addition will be forty-feet away from the neighbor who wrote the letter.

Ms. Vanneck noted that Mrs. Vaughn wrote a letter informing that Algoma Road is a private street. She said that there is no driveway at this time to Algoma Road from the property. (Note: The drawings indicated a driveway entering the property from Algoma Road).

Mr. Segraves indicated that they propose to remove the gravel driveway from Via Palma which will be replaced with grass and place the driveway off of Algoma Road.

Mr. Frank stated that there is a "spite strip" so that the properties north of Algoma Road would not have access to the street. After discussion, Mr. Segraves agreed to keep the existing driveway the way it is and he added that they will make no changes to the driveway.

MOTION BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE TO APPROVE THE PROJECT SUBJECT TO STAFF APPROVAL FOR THE REDESIGN FOR THE FRONT DOORS AT THE DUTCH GABLE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B66-08 New Residence 4:09:39

Address: 400 Seabreeze Avenue
Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Martin Lowe
Architect: SKA Architect + Planner
Project Description: New two-story Mediterranean style home to be approximately 3,200 square feet. (Project extension which includes variances)

There were no ex parte communications relative to this project.

Architect Pat Segraves stated that ARCOM approved this project approximately one-year ago. The applicant would like an extension of that approval for another six-months. Responding to the question if the approval included demolition, Mr. Segraves said yes. They need extension of the demolition approval as well.

MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO EXTEND APPROVAL FOR SIX-MONTHS.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.

MR. SMITH OPPOSED.

MOTION CARRIED 6-1.

B67-08 Demolition 4:12:05

Address: 237 Oleander Avenue
Applicant: PBOC, Inc.
Architect: Michael J. Johnson
Project Description: Demolition request only. There are no immediate plans for the use of the property.

Chairman Wheelock stated that the next three projects on the agenda are contiguous properties which will be reviewed together.

Mr. Wheelock said he visited the site.

Architect Michael Johnson presented photographs of the three buildings proposed for demolition.

**MOTION BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE TO APPROVE DEMOLITION OF ITEM NUMBER B67-08, B68-08 AND B69-08 WITH THE PROVISION TO SOD OR SEED AND IRRIGATE THE LOTS WITHIN 15 DAYS.
MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.
MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

B68-08 Demolition

Address: 233 Oleander Avenue
Applicant: PBOC, Inc.
Architect: Michael J. Johnson
Project Description: Demolition request only. There are no immediate plans for the use of the property.

SEE MOTION UNDER ITEM NUMBER B67-08.

B69-08 Demolition

Address: 227 Oleander Avenue
Applicant: PBOC, Inc.
Architect: Michael J. Johnson
Project Description: Demolition request only. There are no immediate plans for the use of the property.

SEE MOTION UNDER ITEM NUMBER B67-08.

B70-08 Addition 4:16:54

Address: 200 Via Palma
Applicant: Jane Goldman
Architect: Lee Kvarnberg
Project Description: Second story addition to an existing cabana building.

Ms. Vanneck and Mr. Youchak said they met with the architect. Ms. Diver, Mr. Wheelock and Mr. Feldkamp said that they were contacted by the architect, but they were unable to meet.

Architect Lee Kvarnberg presented the second story addition project to the Commission. After his presentation, Mr. Kvarnberg responded to the comment that the addition was too high, by stating that due to existing conditions of the building being non conforming, too close to the setback, and one-foot below FIMA level, nothing shorter than this proposal could be built. It was also noted that accessory structures should be subservient to main buildings and not equal in size.

MOTION BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE SEPTEMBER MEETING.

MOTION FAILED FOR A LACK OF A SECOND.

MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO DENY THE PROJECT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CRITERIA SET FORTH IN ARTICLE III, ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW AND PROCEDURE, SECTION 18-205 CRITERIA, (1) THE PLAN FOR THE PROPOSED BUILDING OR STRUCTURE IS NOT IN CONFORMITY WITH GOOD TASTE AND DESIGN AND IN GENERAL DOES NOT CONTRIBUTE TO THE IMAGE OF THE TOWN AS A PLACE OF BEAUTY, SPACIOUSNESS, BALANCE, TASTE, FITNESS, CHARM AND HIGH QUALITY.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B71-08 New Residence 4:16:54

Address: 108 El Mirasol (lots 7 & 8)
Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Khubani
Architect: SGA Architecture
Project Description: A new 11,427 sf., two-story single family residence with eight bedrooms, ten bathrooms and two ½ bathrooms. Two, two-car garages and one, one-car garage. Breezway, porte cochere and two pools. Ocean view.

Mr. Smith, Ms. Diver, Mr. Wheelock, Mr. Feldkamp, Mr. Strawbridge and Mr. Youchak received an e-mail from Attorney Maura Ziska regarding this project. Mr. Wheelock declared a Conflict of Interest, passed the gavel to Vice-Chairman Feldkamp and left the dias during the

ARCOM Agenda 8/27/08

discussion.

Architect Roger Hansrote stated that the permitting process is being held up because the Department of Environmental Protection has requested further information. He asked for a six-month extension for the original ARCOM approval.

MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO APPROVE A SIX MONTH EXTENSION.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE.

MR. SMITH OPPOSED.

MOTION CARRIED 6-1.

B72-08 Demolition 4:33:46

Address: 167 Dunbar Road
Applicant: 167 Dunbar, LLC
Architect: Smith & Moore Architects
Project Description: Demolition of an existing two-story residence and pool.

Ms. Vanneck, Ms. Diver and Mr. Youchak met with the architect. Mr. Wheelock visited the site. Mr. Feldkamp discussed the project by telephone with the architect and visited the site.

Architect Harold Smith presented photographs of the existing home and stated that it was built in 1938 and designed by Architect John Volk. He said that this home lacks the details that are characteristic of John Volk's work.

Landscape Architect Keith Williams proposed keeping most of the landscaping at the front of the property.

MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO APPROVE DEMOLITION WITH THE PROVISION TO SOD OR SEED AND IRRIGATE THE LOT WITHIN 15 DAYS.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. FELDKAMP.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B73-08 Roof Style Change 4:40:31

Address: 329 Seabreeze
Applicant: Ernie Bourne
Architect: Art & Architecture
Project Description: Changing a flat roof to a sloped, barrel tile roof.

There were no ex parte communications disclosed regarding this project.

Designer John Vaughan proposed replacing a flat roof system, on a mission style residence, with a hip roof system. The roofing material will be clay barrel tiles.

MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. YOUCHAK.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

E. DEFERRALS AND CONTINUATIONS MINOR PROJECTS

A3-08 Parking Lot Resurface 4 :45:32

Address: 125 Worth Avenue
Applicant: CP 125 Worth, LLC
Property Owner: Walter C. Phillips III
Project Description: Remove an existing tile covering structural concrete deck and install an asphalt top coat on a concrete deck.

This project was deferred from the July meeting for restudy.

No one was in attendance to represent the project.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO DEFER THE PROJECT TO THE SEPTEMBER MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

F. NEW BUSINESS - MINOR PROJECT

A-06 Building Entry & Signage Revisions 4:46:27

Address: 340 Royal Palm Way
Applicant: SCM Royal Palm Invertors
Architect: Keith Spina, OGS&P Architects
Project Description: Wrap parapet edges at front, revise canopy entry, new building signage and paint exterior.

There were no ex parte communications disclosed.

Architect Keith Spina proposed extending the entry canopy and adding columns to it. He also proposed a lattice applied to the blank wall with green vines growing up the side of the building.

It was thought that the address numbers were too large on top of the canopy and the vines on the

wall took away from the modern architectural style.

MOTION BY MR. FELDKAMP TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE PROVISION TO ATTACH THE BUILDING NUMERALS TO THE VERTICAL SECTION OF THE BUILDING, MAKING THEM SLIGHTLY SMALLER AND ELIMINATE “GREEN” WALL CONCEPT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MS. DIVER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

VIII. COMMENTS OF THE ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION AND DIRECTOR OF PLANNING ZONING AND BUILDING DEPARTMENT

- Conflict of Interests as discussed by the Town Council at their August 12, 2008 meeting.

Mr. Page reported that, after a lengthy discussion relative to Conflicts of Interests, the Town Council did not take any action. During the review of this subject, some of the members of the public advocated for an ethics board. This would be a new commission to determine whether any commissioner, sitting on any town board/commission, might have a conflict. Further comments were made that as this is a small community with a limited “talent pool” and that to tighten up on the conflicts issue may be counter productive if it resulted in eliminating professionals and seasoned pros from serving on boards and commissions. The Town Attorney was directed to look at the various issues involving conflicts and to report back to the Council.

Mr. Wheelock asked that the Town Attorney also look into the requirement dealing with commissioner’s having to declare a conflict for a particular project every calendar year. He suggested allowing commissioners to declare only one conflict per project regardless if it continues into the next calendar year.

- Discussion relative to the Architectural Commission’s recommendations for development of the Royal Poinciana Area District.

Mr. Wheelock recused himself from this discussion as he is the landscape architect for the Royal Poinciana Plaza. He then passed the gavel to Vice-Chairman Feldkamp.

Mr. Page reported that the Town Council decided to have a master plan prepared for the Royal Poinciana District Area. The Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council was selected to prepare the master plan. They asked for citizen input about the plan. The Preservation Foundation asked University of Miami architectural students for their input addressing architecture. He asked the Commission for their comments.

Ms. Diver suggested not discussing the Plaza so that Mr. Wheelock could join the discussion.

MOTION BY MS. DIVER TO NOT DISCUSS THE ROYAL POINCIANA PLAZA TODAY AND TO WAIT TO MAKE COMMENTS UNTIL IT IS DECIDED TO LANDMARK THE PLAZA OR NOT AND TO INVITE MR. WHELOCK BACK TO THE DISCUSSION.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SMITH.

MR. STRAWBRIDGE OPPOSED.

MOTION CARRIED 6-1.

Mr. Wheelock returned to the dias.

Mr. Page referred to his memo dated July 10, 2008 in which highlighted nine points of the Royal Poinciana Citizen's Master Plan.

1. "Announcing Arrival." The north bridge is slated to be rebuilt by F.D.O.T. which includes a multi-use path beneath the bridge. Mr. Smith thought that "Announcing Arrival" means big walls and big signs, which screams "Developer." He thought that the existing pylons and the lanterns that are on the bridge are beautiful. Mr. Youchak did not think that having a bike path underneath the bridge was a good idea. He was concerned about what would happen at night. He also thought that it would be a mistake to design the bridge around a bike path. Ms. Vanneck thought that all three bridges should have their own identity and they should not look the same. Mr. Boykin was not in favor of having a bike trail under the bridge because of possible criminal activity. Mr. Smith suggested gates to close it off at night.
2. "Transportation Analysis." This proposal includes moving the curb out to match the painted street at the intersection of Royal Poinciana Way and Cocoanut Row. Also proposed is a north/south street access, mid-block, to connect Royal Poinciana Way and Sunrise Avenue. Ms. Vanneck acknowledged that traffic in this area becomes congested, but only about twice a year for about two-weeks at a time. Some of the Commissioners agreed that a professional traffic engineering study should be done before any change will be made to this area. Mr. Karakul believed that to provide greenspace in this area and have good traffic control was a good idea. Mr. Feldkamp supported the idea of the north/south street in the middle of the block. Mr. Smith commented that it would be nice if the street went somewhere because it won't be used unless it accesses the Publix parking garage.
3. "Royal Poinciana Plaza." No discussion took place.
4. "Royal Poinciana Way Commercial District." Mr. Wheelock thought the Vias are charming on Worth Avenue and they should be unique to Worth Avenue. He did not like the idea of having an open "Green Market" in this area. Mr. Strawbridge thought that the

design should be taken care of on a case-by-case basis. This should not be an area for a new master plan and the Town's Zoning Board will review the issue of providing more density. Ms. Diver noted that this area has Monterey, Art-Deco and all sorts of architecture. She was concerned that this would become all Mediterranean style of architecture as it was proposed at the joint commission meeting. Mr. Wheelock was concerned that in the master plan, the Testa's gas station was eliminated. He said that it would be a terrible mistake having only one gas station on the island. Mr. Feldkamp questions the necessity of having more commercial square footage. He agreed to the variation in the height of buildings and different architectural styles.

5. "Parking." Mr. Page said that the consultants offered several different options for parking for the district.
6. "Breaker's." Mr. Page explained that the Breaker's has vested rights to construct approximately 250 multi-family units in the future.
The Commissioners agreed to pass on the discussion of this issue.
7. "On Retail." Mr. Wheelock said that whatever is done, should be based on good demographic studies. Mr. Smith stated there are always empty stores in the Royal Poinciana Way Business District. He did not see a need for more retail space. Mr. Vila suggested that a separate parking area for handicap drivers be placed in the Publix parking garage.
8. "Zoning Analysis and Preliminary Recommendation." Mr. Page said that there will be Zoning Codes changes that will have to be made to accommodate this plan.
Mr. Feldkamp agreed that the Zoning Code will need to be changed to prevent "gradual erosion" as buildings are replaced.
9. "Implementation." Mr. Page noted that the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council recommends that the Town move forward with the recommended changes to the Zoning Code and the Comprehensive Plan. If the Town decides to go forward with the plan the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council would be available to assist with these changes under a Phase II Contract. Mr. Strawbridge felt that if the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council does their job by setting the conditions and describing the playing field, the Town's Boards can make these changes. Mr. Wheelock agreed and said that they should not make a plan and then go back to do the research to justify the plan. Mr. Smith mentioned the Worth Avenue Guidelines which do not agree with the Town's Zoning Ordinance. He thought that the Royal Poinciana Area should have something similar to these guidelines.

ARCOM Agenda 8/27/08

- Consideration of a Town Ordinance regarding storm shutters.

The Commission felt that the storm shutter regulations should be considered for the commercial areas in town only.

IX. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION BY MR. SMITH TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 4:47 P.M.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. DIVER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

The next meeting of the Architectural Commission will be held on Wednesday, September 24, 2008 at 9:00 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Morgan Dix Wheelock, Chairman

Wheelock 108 EL Mingo B71-08 & B73-07

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME WHELOCK MORGAN DIX		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE ATCOM	
MAILING ADDRESS 301 AUSTRALIAN AVE		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF:	
CITY PALM BEACH FL		☒ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☐ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY	
COUNTY PB		NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION: TOWN OF PALM BEACH	
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED 8/27/08		MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

* person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; and

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

You must abstain from voting and disclose the conflict in the situations described above and in the manner described for elected officers. In order to participate in these matters, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.
- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

RECEIVED

DEC 03 2008

TOWN CLERK

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, Morgan Dix Wheelock, hereby disclose that on August 27, 2008, 19 :

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

- ☒ inured to my special private gain or loss;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _____;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, by whom I am retained; or
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, which is the parent organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

The owner of this property is my Client. I act as the Landscape Architect for the project.

In '07 I declared a conflict of interest.

'08 I am declaring this again. The Client has returned to ask Arcom for an extension of their '07 Approval.

So this is the second conflict declaration on the same project.

November 24 2008
Date Filed

M Wheelock
Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.

Wheelock 108 El Mirasol B71-08 & B73-07

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

ST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME WHELOCK MORGAN DIX		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE ARCOM	
MAILING ADDRESS 301 AUSTRALIAN AVE		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF: ☒ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☐ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY	
CITY PALM BEACH FL	COUNTY PB	NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION: TOWN OF PALM BEACH	
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED 8/27/08		MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; and

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

You must abstain from voting and disclose the conflict in the situations described above and in the manner described for elected officers. In order to participate in these matters, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.

- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, Morgan Dix Wheelock, hereby disclose that on August 27, 2008, 19 :

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

- ☒ inured to my special private gain or loss;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _____;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, by whom I am retained; or
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, which is the parent organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

The owner of this property is my Client. I act as the Landscape Architect for the project.

In '07 I declared a conflict of interest.

'08 I am declaring this again. The Client has returned to ask Arcom for an extension of their '07 Approval.

So this is the second conflict declaration on the same project.

November 24 2008
Date Filed

Morgan Dix Wheelock
Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME FELDKAMP William P.		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE Palm Beach Town Architectural Commission	
MAILING ADDRESS 401 Peruvian Avenue Apt. 403		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF:	
CITY Palm Beach, FL	COUNTY 33480	☒ CITY	☐ COUNTY ☐ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED 27 August 2008		NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION: Palm Beach	
		MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

* * * * *

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict **SEP 26 2008**
PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; and **TOWN CLERK**
WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

* * * * *

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

You must abstain from voting and disclose the conflict in the situations described above and in the manner described for elected officers. In order to participate in these matters, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.

- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, William P. Feldkamp, hereby disclose that on 27 August, 192008:

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

- ☐ inured to my special private gain or loss;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _____;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, by whom I am retained; or
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, which is the parent organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

Town Council requested my recusal on the above-mentioned issue. (144 Wells Road)
I have not recused ~~to~~ myself on any other issues.

27 August 2008
Date Filed

Wm P. Feldkamp
Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.

144 Wells Rd Feldkamp

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME FELDKAMP William P.		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE Palm Beach Town Architectural Commis	
MAILING ADDRESS 401 Peruvian Avenue Apt. 403		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF:	
CITY Palm Beach, FL	COUNTY 33480	☒ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☐ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY	
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED 27 August 2008		NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION: Palm Beach	
		MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting in a measure which inures to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; and

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

You must abstain from voting and disclose the conflict in the situations described above and in the manner described for elected officers. In order to participate in these matters, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.

- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, William P. Feldkamp, hereby disclose that on 27 August, 192008:

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

- ☐ inured to my special private gain or loss;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _____;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, by whom I am retained; or
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, which is the parent organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

Town Council requested my recusal on the above-mentioned issue. (144 Wells Road)
I have not recused ~~to~~ myself on any other issues.

27 August 2008
Date Filed

Wm Feldkamp
Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.