

MINUTES OF THE ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION
WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 28, 1992, 12:30 P.M.
TOWN COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 360 SOUTH COUNTY ROAD, PALM BEACH

I. Call to Order

The October 28, 1992 Architectural Commission meeting was called to order at 12:35 p.m. by Chairman Jeffery W. Smith.

II. Roll Call

PRESENT: Jeffery W. Smith, Chairman
Lesly S. Smith, Vice-Chairman
John Schuler, Member
Marie Hope, Member
Jorge Sanchez, Member
Joanna Frost-Golino, Member
Laurel Baker, Alternate Member
Jesse Newman, Alternate Member

Pamela McNierney, representing the Town Attorney
David C. Zimmerman, Assistant Building Official
Timothy M. Frank, Planner/Projects Coordinator

ABSENT: Leighton Rosenthal, Member
James C. Paine, Jr., Alternate Member

RECORDING SECRETARY: Mary A. Pollitt

III. Approval of Minutes of September 23, 1992

MOTION MADE BY MR. NEWMAN TO APPROVE THE MINUTES.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. BAKER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(Mr. Sanchez arrived after this approval; therefore Mr. Newman was eligible to vote.)

IV. Project Review

A. Deferrals and Continuations: Major and Minor Projects

B31-92 New Residence and Accessory Structures

Applicant: Ocean Bay Development, Inc.
Address: 910 South Ocean Boulevard
Architect: Eaton Design Group
Project Description: Elevation details for the main residence;
site plan review for entire project

The main residence was conceptually approved at the June 23, 1992 meeting with the condition elevation details be submitted at a later meeting.

Mr. Doyle Rogers, Esquire, recapped the project citing the conceptual approval and the site approval for the dock. Mr. Rogers stated the group is seeking final approval for the main residence and the buildings on the 910 South Ocean site. He added the group is seeking conceptual approval for the entire site, including the Via Bellaria property. Detailed plans of this property are not available at this time because of zoning questions. These questions must be addressed before architectural plans are drawn.

Mr. Rogers introduced Laird Pitz, the owner's representative.

Mr. Pitz presented the site plan for the entire project which now includes 8.2 acres. The plan is to remodel the former Enid Haupt residence at on Via Bellaria into a guest house. Staff quarters will be located on the lot to the west of the guest house.

The three driveway curb cuts will be left on Via Bellaria. The circular drive in front of the guest house will be for drop off convenience for guests.

Mr. Pitz indicated he had consulted with the neighbors in the area and had addressed their concerns when the site plan was designed. The staff house will include design elements from the main residence.

Mr. Pitz noted the changes made since the group had last appeared before the Commission. The dock is now an "L" formation in place of a "T" shape to allow easier access for boats. Four cabanas have been added to the main residence site plan for the use of guests having access to the pool area.

A cabana by the lake has been added called "Lake Worth Pavilion" on the site plan.

Gardens and green space fill in between the main residence and the

lake in lieu of the staff quarters and guest house as originally planned. Seventy per cent of the existing vegetation on the site is planned to be retained.

Mr. Smith commented he could not vote for conceptual approval on the Via Bellaria portion of the site plan because there are no detailed drawings showing elevations of any of the proposed structures. He stated that he had no problem with anything on the site plan regarding location as presented but needed additional drawings for approval.

A discussion followed between the Commission members, Robert Deziel, Doyle Rogers and Laird Pitz concerning the conceptual approval of the site plan. Mr. Smith suggested the group continue with the presentation of the main residence.

Frank Eaton from Eaton Design Group presented the elevation drawings for the main residence starting with the east elevation.

Mr. Eaton noted on the east elevation details have been added as suggested by the Commission members and plaster appliques have been removed. The roof line has been changed in the porte cochere area, as previously discussed. This change shows in greater detail on the north elevation.

Mr. Eaton presented an enlarged detail of the porte cochere area. The roof is arched and made of molded plaster. A central light fixture will be a focal point with soft lighting emanating from the side columns. The Commission members suggested the side lighting be very subdued in keeping with a residential appearance. The floor will be a dark terra cotta semi-glazed tile. A sample of the tile will be submitted at a later meeting.

The west elevation included the development of the towers with the arches and columns as previously discussed. The elevator tower includes similar arched details. Small windows with arched detailing has been added to the formerly plain wall next to the elevator tower. A chimney has also been added to this elevation.

The south elevation has the seven individual garage doors. In response to a question from the Commission, Mr. Eaton stated the height of the wall east of the garage is five feet. There is a fountain on the inside of the wall directed to the dining room. The windows and coquina detailing on this elevation match details on the other elevations.

Plans and elevations were submitted for the maintenance building (648 sq. ft.); the limo garage (992 sq. ft.); boat storage/gate house (1,352 sq. ft.); mechanical building (676 sq. ft.); gate house on Clarendon (408 sq. ft.); pool pavilion (1,352 sq. ft.); tennis pavilion (886 sq. ft.); four gazebos (each 10 sq. ft.); Lake Worth pavilion (2,420 sq. ft.) and a cabana structure (1,670).

The elevations for the additional cabana structure have details similar to the main residence including the same roof tile and stucco and were also reviewed. The roof of the cabana is truncated across the center. Mr. Smith suggested the roof not be truncated but come to a hip on top. Mr. Eaton agreed.

Mr. Eaton stated the Lake Worth pavilion is an informal building designed for use by the family. The pavilion incorporates the design of the tower (lowered) from the main residence and features French doors.

The Commission suggested the overhang on the building be changed and an awning be added on the west elevation.

Mr. Eaton agreed to the overhang change, but reported the owner had requested shading on the west side of the pavilion be provided by a more natural means (vegetation, etc.).

Mr. Eaton also noted hurricane shutters are built in all the buildings.

After viewing the elevations for the limo garage, the Commission suggested the sixty foot long garage be designed to resemble the cabana building.

No other suggestions were made for the maintenance or mechanical buildings or the gate house.

In response to questions from the Commission, Mr. Eaton stated the style of the 151 Via Bellaria residence will not be changed.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SANCHEZ TO APPROVE THE BUILDINGS ON THE CLARENDON PROPERTY (910 SOUTH OCEAN BOULEVARD) WITH THE CONDITIONS THE LANDSCAPE AND HARDSCAPE PLANS BE PRESENTED AT A LATER MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE STATED CONDITIONS.

A discussion followed concerning the requested conceptual approval for the Via Bellaria site plan. The site plan shows the footprint of the buildings, the locations of the cabana, swimming pool and a wall.

Mrs. Frost-Golino stated she has a problem with conceptual site plan approval when there are still questions about driveway exits.

Mrs. Smith added there are no dimensions on the rendering and requested at least minimum elevations be presented.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO GRANT CONCEPTUAL APPROVAL FOR THE VIA BELLARIA SITE PLAN.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SANCHEZ.

MOTION CARRIED 4/3 WITH A ROLL CALL VOTE AS FOLLOWS:

YEA:	MR. SCHULER	NAY:	MRS. SMITH
	MR. SANCHEZ		MRS. FROST-GOLINO
	MRS. HOPE		MR. SMITH
	MRS. BAKER		

B51-92 Demolition/New Residence

Applicant: J. Sirigotis
Address: 256 Miraflores Drive
Contractor: Sunshine Services
Project Description: Demolition of existing residence;
construction of new residence

The project was approved at the September meeting with the condition the landscape plan, driveway materials and the house color be submitted at a later meeting.

The applicant requests deferral.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO DEFER THIS PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B68-92 Remodel

Applicant: Robert and Lynn Fessler
Address: 1015 South Ocean Boulevard
Architect: Stephen Yeckes
Project Description: Relocate entrance, change roof tiles, add gates

This project was deferred from the September meeting.

Steve Yeckes summarized the previous presentation to the Commission. The Commission had requested detailed drawings for the project and the comment was, "the house must stand on its own".

Mr. Yeckes also presented aerial photographs of the beach front residence.

Mr. Yeckes presented a site plan showing the relocation of the front entry. The present front entry would be closed off. The main entrance would be through the garage area. The roof tile would

be changed from flat white tile to beige "s" tile in varying shades. The house would be painted a warm white stucco.

Mr. Schuler and Mr. Sanchez questioned the use of oval glass in the front door. The door is "Victorian", the house is not.

The proposed garage doors will be stained a dark tint. The Commission suggested the doors should be lighter; not stained dark.

The Commission did suggested flat terra cotta tile with the warm white stucco finish. Mr. Yeckes indicated the owner preferred barrel tile.

Mrs. Fessler, the owner, discussed the suggestions with the Commission which included a solid wood front door or a French door with lights.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SANCHEZ TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WITH THE CONDITION TERRA COTTA TILE BE USED; THE HOUSE BE PAINTED A WARM WHITE AND THE GARAGE DOORS NOT BE DARK STAINED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. SMITH.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE STATED CONDITIONS.

B18-92 Landscape plan/materials

Applicant:	Agnes Rosenthal
Address:	745 North Lake Way
Architect:	Parker Design Group, Inc.
Project Description:	Landscape plan, driveway materials and colors for the residence

The landscape plan was partially approved at the September meeting. The North Lake Way side of the plan is to be resubmitted with the rocks and landscaping defined.

Steve Parker and Agnes Rosenthal presented the center island elevation showing the Florida cap rocks (these are large boulders).

Mrs. Smith asked Ms. Rosenthal if Mrs. Fleitas, the neighbor to the east, was notified of the landscaping plan as presented to the Commission previously?

Ms. Rosenthal replied the wall was constructed first between the two properties. However, during May and June the run off from rains was heavy and did run onto Mrs. Fleitas' property. The ficus hedge was planted after the wall was in.

Mrs. Fleitas addressed the Commission summarizing the problems she has experienced during the construction with the landscaping on her

property. She also questioned the number of vehicles parked on Ridgeview belonging to the construction workers.

Mr. Zimmerman and Mr. Frank responded it is a requirement that all vehicles be parked on the construction site itself unless right-of way permits are obtained.

The Commission reviewed the landscape plan. Berms shown to the side of the island are to be two to two and one-half feet high.

Mr. Sanchez suggested a tree (the owner's choice) with a canopy be planted in the rock pile (center island) to relieve the starkness of the island.

Mr. Sanchez added the hedge, as shown, should be at least six feet tall and the ficus nitada variety.

In response to a question from Mrs. Fleitas, Ms. Rosenthal indicated the air conditioning units are located on the south side of the residence.

Ms. Rosenthal submitted a sample of the "peach petal" color for the residence as approved at the September meeting.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO- TO APPROVE THE LANDSCAPE PLAN WITH THE CONDITION A TREE BE PLANTED IN THE CENTER ISLAND WITH AT LEAST A SIX FOOT CLEAR TRUNK AND A CANOPY; THE BERMS BE ELIMINATED FROM THE PLAN AND A SIX FOOT FICUS NITADA HEDGE BE PLANTED AS INDICATED ON THE PLAN.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. BAKER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE STATED CONDITIONS.

B73-92 New Residence

Applicant:	Sidney Kimmel
Address:	1020 North Lake Way
Architect:	Irwin J. Stein
Project Description:	New residence

The project was deferred for restudy at the September meeting.

The architect requests deferral of the project.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. BAKER TO DEFER THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B74-92 Awning

Applicant: Lee Haven's Fine Jewelry
Address: 235C Worth Avenue
Architect: Robert C. Nichols
Project Description: Awning

The awning was deferred for restudy of the size of a sloped awning at the September meeting.

Robert Nichols presented a drawing of the proposed awning and comparison drawings of the awnings on either side of the jewelry store.

The Kapsiki awning (in the same building) is approximately 8.5 feet high (clearance from the sidewalk). The Commission requested the Haven's sloped awning be lined up with that awning.

Mr. Nichols requested gold lettering be permitted on the glass. The previously approved sign is above the awning and not visible to pedestrian traffic.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO APPROVE THE GOLD LETTERING ON THE WINDOW AND THE WHITE SLOPED AWNING WITH THE CONDITION THE HEIGHT OF THE AWNING BE ALIGNED WITH THE OTHER AWNINGS IN THAT BUILDING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE STATED CONDITION.

B75-92 Remodel

Applicant: Mond
Address: 226A Worth Avenue
Architect: Robert Bell
Project Description: Remodel store front

The project was deferred from the September meeting for restudy.

The architect requests deferral of this project.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO DEFER THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B79-92 Renovations

Applicant: Paivi Alpersen
Address: 157 Everglade Avenue
Architect: Noe X. Guerra
Project Description: Selective demolition; addition and renovations

The project was deferred from the September meeting for restudy of the windows and doors.

Noe Guerra presented revised drawings showing the details of the windows and doors as requested by the Commission. He also presented photographs of the residence.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. HOPE TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

The Commission recessed from 2:45 p.m. until 3:00 p.m.

Mrs. Baker left the meeting at 3:00 p.m. Mr. Newman is now voting.

A93-92 Fountain

Applicant: Security Printing
Address: 1930 South Ocean Boulevard
Architect: Robert Henry
Project Description: Construct decorative cast stone fountain and pool to be placed in the center of existing motor court

This project was deferred from the September meeting.

Robert Henry presented a site plan for the project and two photographs of the courtyard.

Mr. Henry noted there would be grass planted around the base of the fountain, and no interior lights are planned for the fountain.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. NEWMAN.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B76-92 Remodel

Applicant: Love Realty (Montel)
Address: 319 Worth Avenue
Architect: Rene' Tercilla
Project Description: Tiled entry way in store entrance

This project was approved at the September meeting with the exception of the tile sample to be used in the entry way.

Mr. Tercilla presented two samples of tile to be used in the entry way. One is travertine and the other one is magellan stone.

All the blue tile has been removed on the outside of the store.

MOTION MADE BY MR. NEWMAN TO APPROVE EITHER SAMPLE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. SMITH.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A97-92 Revision

Applicant: Ms. Nicole Durr
Address: 1491 North Ocean Boulevard
Architect: Smith Architectural Group
Project Description: Gate/fence and loggia revisions to an approved project

Jeffery Smith has a conflict with this project. His architectural firm is the architect of record for the project. A conflict of interest form is on file with the Town Clerk's office.

Mrs. Smith is acting chairman.

Don Kino presented a revised drawing for the project.

The owner of the residence wishes to cover the patio on the east side of the residence. Four sets of cast stone columns support a flat roof deck. The gates on the patio are black wrought iron.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SANCHEZ TO APPROVE THE REVISED DRAWING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. NEWMAN.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B12-91 Landscape Plan

Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Eugene Applebaum
Address: 325 Via Linda
Landscape Architect: Innocenti and Webel Landscape Architects
Project Description: Landscape plan

Lynda Zylman presented a landscape plan listing the various types of vegetation.

The plan shows a center courtyard with entrance gates and a fountain in the center court. Gates are also shown on the Lake Trail bike path.

The Commission requested Ms. Zylman return with detailed plans for the fountain and gates.

MOTION MADE BY MR. NEWMAN TO APPROVE THE LANDSCAPE PLAN WITH THE CONDITION THE FOUNTAIN AND GATE PLANS MUST BE SUBMITTED AT A LATER MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B62-91 Landscape Plan

Applicant: Agi Rosos and Jacques Hava
Address: 101 El Bravo Way
Architect: Smith Architectural Group
Project Description: Landscape plan and driveway materials

Jeffery Smith has a conflict with this project. His firm, Smith Architectural Group, is the architect of record for the project. A conflict of interest form is on file in the Town Clerk's office.

Mrs. Smith is acting chairman.

Don Kino presented the landscape plan for the project.

Seagrapes are featured along the South Ocean side of the property. Cabbage palms were also shown on the plan.

The Commission commented on the lack of foundation planting around the residence and suggested a re-working of the landscape plan to include some foundation planting.

MOTION MADE BY MR. NEWMAN TO APPROVE THE PLANT MATERIAL THAT IS SHOWN ON THE LANDSCAPE PLAN FOR INSTALLATION BUT TO DEFER THE PART OF THE LANDSCAPE PLAN CLOSER TO THE RESIDENCE FOR COMPLETION.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B79-91 Gates

Applicant: Michael Burrows
Address: 1495 North Ocean Boulevard
Architect: Charles Harrison Pawley
Contractor: Worth Builders
Project Description: Gates

The architect requests this project be removed from the agenda.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. HOPE TO REMOVE THE ITEM FROM THE AGENDA.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. NEWMAN.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B. New Business - Major Projects

B84-92 Demolition\New Residence

Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. James Stern Zisson
Address: 1679 North Ocean Boulevard
Architect: John F. Colamarino
Project Description: Demolish existing residence; construct new two story, single family residence

John Colamarino, Architect, and James Zisson, owner, presented the project to the Commission.

The existing residence, located at the corner of Arabian and North Ocean Boulevard, will be demolished to allow for the construction of a planned 6,500 square foot new two story residence.

Mr. Colarmarino thanked the Commission members who met with him on an individual basis to discuss the project prior to this meeting. He has tried to incorporate some of the comments made at those meetings; specifically, by adding interest to the roof line and site screening the driveway.

Mr. Colamarino noted the house is oriented to North Ocean Boulevard. He presented line drawing elevations comparing the height of the proposed residence to houses to the east, north and across Arabian and North Ocean Boulevard. Mr. Colamarino stated the residence directly north of 1679 North Ocean contains approximately 10,000 square feet. Additionally, he presented photographs of residences in the area, noting there is a "conglomeration of styles and sizes".

Mr. Colamarino pointed out the house directly to the north has a 24.8% lot coverage; the 1679 property has a proposed lot coverage of 24.9% (25.0% is maximum allowed). The bulk of the house to the north and 1679 North Ocean are similar. Mr. Colamarino stated he mentioned these numbers because the Zissons have spent a great deal of time looking for a site where they could construct a residence like the one proposed. Sizes of the houses in the neighborhood were considered.

Mr. Sanchez requested Mr. Colamarino "take the Commission through the architecture of the house".

Mr. Colamarino described the set backs from both North Ocean Boulevard and Arabian Road. He stated the highest part of the house is set back 51 feet from North Ocean Boulevard. The lower portions of the house are within the 30 feet set backs.

The main entrance enters between the living and dining rooms with a library flanking the entrance. The garage on the north corner of the house opens onto North Ocean Boulevard. The south side consists of the living room and library. The living room has doors opening out onto a small patio.

Mr. Colamarino noted the grade site varies between 3.5 and 4 feet. The house is raised to 7.5 feet to meet the flood elevation requirements. The overall height of the house appears larger than other houses in the area because the allowed height of the structure (27 feet) is further increased + 4 feet by the flood elevation requirement (7.5 feet).

In response to Mr. Sanchez's question concerning the relationship of the ground floor 7.5 ft. level to the existing site, Mr. Colamarino described the south elevation. The 7.5 ft. line (ground floor level) is approximately mid-way up the wall along the Arabian Road elevation. A series of steps lead up to the entrances to the residence.

The staff section over the garage is not the full two stories because the garage is lower than the 7.5 ft. ground floor elevation.

The ceiling heights inside the house are ten feet on the first floor and eight to ten feet on the second floor.

Mr. Colamarino described the front elevation (North Ocean Boulevard) as a "dense" design because these are private areas (inside) not requiring windows. Most of the windows open from the sides of the residence (north and south).

Mr. Colamarino stated he has tried to create outside elevations that respond to "what is going on inside the house". He explained the windows and openings as shown on the east and south elevations.

Glass block runs along the center section on the second floor.

Mr. Colamarino did not have the north and west elevations (as required for presentations for new residences).

Mr. Colamarino said the swimming pool is existing, but a variance would be required to install the fountain as noted on the plans (it is in the set back area).

Mrs. Frost-Golino said her concern is the proposed residence is very dissimilar to anything in the neighborhood, both in terms of scale and style. She understands the reasons the Zissons selected this site, but this residence is more visible than other houses noted by Mr. Colamarino.

The Commission reviewed the photographs of the other residences within 200 feet of the site.

Mr. Sanchez stated the Commission has seen many "modern" (style) houses, and he has been a proponent of most of them. He added most of these houses had a great deal of detail and a lot of labor put into the design. This design should be a "minimalist architectural" statement. The proposed residence has very few details and sits in a very prominent spot and is dissimilar to others around it.

Mr. Zisson cited dissimilar houses on North Ocean Boulevard and Caribbean.

Mr. Sanchez replied some of the houses described by Mr. Zisson sit on massive lots (and may be different zoning)--not like this one.

Mr. Colamarino discussed his design background with Mr. Sanchez and cited some of the houses he has designed in the north end. Mr. Sanchez noted some of the designs of the houses were very open featuring glass and exposed areas.

Mrs. Frost-Golino said she did not think the Commission had a problem with the house in particular but the problem is with the house "as it is on this particular site", in that neighborhood, looking at everything within 200 feet. By building to the maximum allowable (zoning codes), the massiveness of the residence is evident.

Mr. Colamarino, Mr. Zisson and Mrs. Frost-Golino discussed the guidelines that are allowed in Palm Beach.

Mr. Smith stated, "just because the maximum is allowed doesn't mean the house should be designed to that maximum in every single case".

Mr. Frank added, "There's nothing in the Code that says the Town has to accept the maximum allowed by the Code. That's why there is

an Architectural Commission."

Mrs. Frost-Golino cited the Architectural Commission ordinance which includes (a residence) "cannot be too dissimilar in terms of cubical content, gross floor area....other significant design features". Mrs. Frost-Golino stated there are multiple problems with this design.

Mr. Zisson noted the house he lives in is different from the house next door to his.

Mr. Colamarino said this is a neighborhood in transition.

Mr. Newman added the eclectic houses are in the north end of Palm Beach.

Mrs. Smith stated the Commission is addressing many concerns at this meeting. This is a major project, highly visible, in a highly visible area, that created a great deal of attention.

Mrs. Smith said the Commission is trying to deal with the appearance of the mass of the house in relation to the entire area in addition to the architecture and the various concerns the Commission has as reflected in the individual meetings. Mrs. Smith suggested moving some of the walls out and including ficus hedges to decrease the size of the mass.

Mrs. Smith said the goal of the Commission "is to incorporate the house that you want into the site that you have and how this works in with the neighborhood and not appear too massive". Another concern is the garage area (a two story element) that is closest to the street.

Mr. Smith reported that several neighbors have contacted him concerning the size of the house.

Mr. Smith walked the area and noted some of the largest houses are site screened. The screening improves the perception of mass.

Mr. Smith suggested modifications to the six foot wall around the house. The height, mass and bulk of the house are all problems to deal with and need to be studied.

Mr. Schuler added the scale of the house is "the biggest problem". The house is totally different from any architecture in the area.

Mayor Yvelyne de Marcellus Marix, the property owner to the south of the proposed residence, addressed the Commission.

Mayor Marix requested photographs of the properties to the north and south and to the east and west be presented with the proposed residence inserted (to scale) to allow the neighbors to see the

impact of the proposed residence.

Mr. Colamarino replied the task is difficult for a new residence, and he submitted the line drawing sections in lieu of the photographs.

Mr. Zisson added he had attempted to contact the Marixes but was unable to do so because of conflicting travel schedules.

Mr. Nigel Marix read a prepared statement to the Commission:

Mr. Chairman and Commissioners:

I'm Nigel Marix, property owner of 1534 North Ocean Boulevard, Palm Beach.

We built in 1960. I have been a resident of Palm Beach since 1950.

Our house, immediately south of 1679, on the other side of Arabian Road, was designed by the late Mr. John Volk, one of the most respected architects of Palm Beach. If you look at the two houses opposite of 1679, one diagonally across from 1679, and our house at 1570 North Ocean Boulevard, you will see a pattern of pleasant and unobtrusive houses whether one or two stories and a variety of various architectural styles. This is the nature of our neighborhood.

It would fit that combination of the mass and style of the proposed house at 1679 North Ocean Boulevard will be out of place in this vicinity.

(The neighbors across the street) had to leave for family reasons.

....We were unable to see photographs because they were not available making it difficult to meet for our conference.

Please give us to understand that this was either an administrative or legal requirement so all interested parties (could view the project). I would also like to point out that this one house which seems to be an outstanding house immediately to the north, was built about 1986, at that time Mrs. Bateman came to see me. I was president of the North End Property Owners Association to complain about the excessive house she thought was wrong. She wanted to get an independent survey. I said, yes, I would be agreeable to that.

She actually reneged on it or backed off because it was just after her husband died and she simply had limitations. I do feel though that because we had one exceptionally large house in this area that we should not give an excuse to the one

adjacent may be made in the same way. Otherwise, we get a cascading effect, and this would happen in other parts of the island.

Lastly, we received a polite letter from the owner, Mr. Zisson, from 1679, in which he said they had selected this area, above all others, in which to live. So, I hope, that he will appreciate that my comments are of a positive nature in attempt to keep this vicinity the better looking, low-key area that it is.

Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for your attention and consideration.

Mr. Zisson responded to Mr. Marix's comments. He also noted the time factor and the wish to demolish before the season begins.

Mayor Marix and Mr. Zisson discussed the character, style and design of the houses in the neighborhood.

Mr. Smith asked if any one else from the audience would like to be heard at this time.

Dr. Sten Lilja, 1330 North Ocean Boulevard, addressed the Commission. Dr. Lilja said the design limits for the new residence were contrary to the intent of the Town's ordinance. The design and size of the proposed residence need to be addressed.

Mr. Frank responded to Mr. Colamarino's earlier statement concerning the submission of elevations on new construction. Mr. Frank stated it is an administrative requirement to submit these elevations before the meeting. The requirement to show comparison photographs is also listed on the application form. Sometimes the photographs work and sometimes the line drawings are more appropriate.

Mr. Frank suggested the average floor area ratio for the immediate neighborhood may be studied. He stated that 95% of the F.A.R.'s in the R-B district are below .38.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO APPROVE THE DEMOLITION OF THE EXISTING RESIDENCE WITH THE CONDITION THE SITE BE LANDSCAPED (SODDED OR SEEDED) AND IRRIGATED IF CONSTRUCTION HAS NOT BEGUN WITHIN 90 DAYS OF DEMOLITION. THE MOTION IS MADE TODEFER FOR RESTUDY THE PROJECT FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW RESIDENCE WITH THE CONDITIONS ALL ELEVATION DRAWINGS BE PRESENTED, THE MASS OF THE HOUSE BE STUDIED AND THE WALL IN FRONT AND TO THE SIDE OF THE HOUSE BE STUDIED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE STATED CONDITIONS.

(Mr. Newman left the meeting at 4:25 p.m.)

B85-92 Addition

Applicant: Jeffery W. Smith
Address: 241 Sanford Avenue
Architect: Smith Architectural Group, Inc.
Project Description: Addition to second floor

Jeffery Smith has a conflict with this project. He is the property owner and his architectural firm has designed plans for the project. A conflict of interest form is on file in the Town Clerk's office.

Mrs. Smith is acting chairman.

Don Kino presented photographs of the existing residence.

The second floor additions are on the rear of the residence. However, the roof lines are visible from the front of the residence.

The roofing material and stucco will match the existing. Additionally, all windows will match existing windows.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SANCHEZ.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B86-92 Demolition

Applicant: Kirk Friedland, Trustee
Address: 200 Esplanade Way
Architect: The Pandula Architects, Inc.
Project Description: Demolition and removal of an existing one story structure and associated driveways, walkways, patios, porches and site walls

Gene Pandula presented a site plan for the proposed demolition of an existing residence.

The owner lives next door to this property and plans to landscape the lot to provide additional green space.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SANCHEZ TO APPROVE THE DEMOLITION.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B87-92 Demolition

Applicant:	Brazilian Development Company, Inc.
Address:	240 Australian Avenue
Architect:	Patrick W. Segraves, SKA
Project Description:	Demolish existing two story house; future construction of new two family structure

Pat Segraves requests permission for demolition of the existing residence. The owner plans to build a new two family structure on the lot, but Mr. Segraves does not have a complete set of plans available at this time. He did present renderings of the proposed structure.

The Commission requested photographs of the existing residence.

Mr. Segraves responded he did not have them but could provide them by the end of the meeting.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO DEFER THE PROJECT UNTIL THE END OF THE MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mr. Segraves returned at the end of the meeting with two photographs of the existing residence.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE THE DEMOLITION OF THE EXISTING RESIDENCE WITH THE CONDITION THE LOT BE SODDED OR SEEDED AND IRRIGATED IF CONSTRUCTION DOES NOT BEGIN WITHIN 90 DAYS OF DEMOLITION.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE STATED CONDITION.

B88-92 Addition

Applicant:	Mr. and Mrs. Ronald Ferrar
Address:	218 List Road
Architect:	Patrick W. Segraves, SKA
Project Description:	Addition

Pat Segraves presented photographs and elevations showing the existing residence and the proposed second floor addition.

The roof line of the new addition will match an existing second floor roof line. All materials and windows will match the existing residence.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B89-92 Wall/Columns

Applicant:	E. F. Hanson
Address:	1300 South Ocean Boulevard
Designer:	Krent Wieland Design, Inc.
Project Description:	Construct a block wall 6'8" high at the driveway entrance and sloping up to 10' height leading to the residence and two 14' columns

Mr. Salour presented a plan for a new entrance at 1300 South Ocean Boulevard. The present entrance is located on lot 7, and this lot has recently been sold. It is necessary to relocate the front gates and driveway to lot 6.

Mr. Salour mentioned the existing gates (they are very old) may be moved to lot 6 depending on the decision of the new owners of lot 7.

If the 14 ft. gate is not used on lot 6, the Hansons would have to receive Town Council permission to install a gate of that height. Mr. Salour indicated the Hansons may wish to have only 8 ft. posts.

The Architectural Commission indicated they can only approve posts and gates as allowed by the Code.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. HOPE TO APPROVE THE 8 FT. GATE POSTS AS PRESENTED OR THE HIGHER ONES IF THE GATE IS MOVED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B90-92 Additions

Applicant:	H. Z. Rosner
Address:	505 South County Road

Architect: Smith & Paine Architects
Project Description: Construction of a replacement of a two story portion of a non-conforming residence and the construction of a one story addition

Harold Smith presented photographs of the existing residence.

The new elevation drawings show a removal of a portion of the residence and reconstruction of a second story and relocation of the garage.

The garage will be located in the back of the house, and the garage doors will no longer be visible from the street.

The Commission discussed the front door and would like to see additional detail for it.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PLANS WITH THE CONDITION MR. SMITH RETURN WITH A DETAILED DRAWING FOR THE FRONT DOOR.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B91-92 Entry

Applicant: Joe Champ
Address: 318 Via Linda
Architect: Smith Architectural Group
Project Description: Construct covered entry

Jeffery Smith has a conflict with this project. His architectural firm is the architect of record for the project. A conflict of interest form is on file with the Town Clerk's office.

Mrs. Smith is acting chairman.

Don Kino presented photographs of the existing entrance.

The elevation plan shows the entry door moved to the center of the residence. A hipped roof entry portico supported by two columns has been added to the front.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

B92-92 Addition

Applicant: Luis and Lillian Fernandez
Address: 246 Eden Road
Architect: Smith Architectural Group
Project Description: Construct second story addition

Jeffery Smith has a conflict with this project. His architectural company is the architect of record for the project. A conflict of interest form is on file in the Town Clerk's office.

Mrs. Smith is acting chairman.

Don Kino presented photographs and elevation drawings for the proposed second story addition over the garage that faces Adam Road.

The addition features two dormers over the garage door. Mrs. Smith and Mrs. Frost-Golino agreed the dormers are not consistent (in style) with the rest of the residence.

The addition appears "too high". In response to questions from the Commission, Mr. Kino stated the roof pitch is 8/12.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO DEFER THE PROJECT FOR RESTUDY OF THE ADDITION WITH THE SUGGESTION THE DESIGN BE CONSISTENT WITH THE REST OF THE RESIDENCE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH THE STATED SUGGESTION.

C. New Business - Minor Projects

A98-92 Sign

Applicant: Gala Art Gallery
Address: 108 North County Road
Contractor: none
Project Description: Off white "Gala Art Gallery" sign on door

No one was present to represent the applicant.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO REMOVE THE ITEM FROM THE AGENDA.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A99-92 Awnings

Applicant: The Everglades Club
Address: 405 Cocoanut Row
Contractor: American Awning Company
Project Description: Install two window awnings

Joe Mattei presented photographs of the location and a site plan showing the location of the proposed awnings. The two beige awnings have light blue trim and match the awnings on the rest of the building that is landmarked.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A100-92 Roof material change

Applicant: Charles W. and Anne C. Pepper
Address: 333 Seaspray Avenue
Contractor: Charlie Branch
Project Description: Remove existing slate roof; replace with fiberglass shingles

Charles Pepper presented photographs of his residence and other residences in the neighborhood.

Mr. Pepper wishes to replace a slate roof with fiberglass shingles.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A101-92 Sign

Applicant: Roseann Sacco
Address: 281 Royal Poinciana Way
Contractors: Baron Sign/Ferrin Sign
Project Description: Gold letters, "For Men Only", on glass door

Roseann Sacco presented a drawing of the proposed sign.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE THE SIGN.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A102-92 Site wall/gate posts

Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Mark Goegelman
Address: 239 Barton Avenue
Architect: Robert L. Bell
Project Description: New 4' site wall and gate posts at existing drive; 6' privacy wall from east side of house to property line with 6'8" gate

Robert Bell presented a site plan for the project.

The 6'8" gate shown in the privacy wall is for pedestrian use. The posts at the driveway do not have a gate between them.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE THE PROJECT AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A103-92 Sign

Applicant: Tracy Dara Kamenstein
Address: 225A Worth Avenue
Contractor: Phil Rowe Signs
Project Description: Sign - "Tracy Dara Kamenstein" - gold leaf wood carved letters on stucco wall; gold leaf lettering on window

Steve Rowe from Phil Rowe Signs presented a photograph of the store showing the proposed signage imposed on the photo.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE SIGNAGE AS PRESENTED.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A104-92 Shutters

Applicant: Alphonso Fanjul
Address: 1161 North Lake Way
Contractor: Solaroll Shade and Shutter Corporation
Project Description: Install two electric roll shutters

Leo Flannery presented a site plan showing the proposed location for the two shutters. They will be installed on the lake side of the property.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. HOPE TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A105-92 Shutters

Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Swanson
Address: 211 Eden Road
Contractor: Solaroll Shade and Shutter Corporation
Project Description: Install 7 crank operated roll shutters

Leo Flannery presented photographs of the windows for the proposed shutter installation.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A113-92 Shutters

Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Joseph Harch
Address: 1260 North Lake Way
Contractor: Solaroll Shade and Shutter Corporation
Project Description: Install 10 electric roll shutters

Leo Flannery presented a site plan showing the location of the proposed shutters.

The housing for the proposed electric shutters would be installed above the "round top" windows. The boxes and the tracks would not be concealed and quite visible.

The Commission suggested an alternative solution be studied.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO DEFER THE PROJECT FOR FURTHER STUDY.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A106-92 Awnings

Applicant: H. Mendelson
Address: 120 Via Vizcaya
Contractor: Awnings by Jay
Project Description: Install awning over French door

Leslie Coats presented a drawing showing the installation of an awning over the door.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A107-92 Awnings

Applicant:	Northern Trust Bank
Address:	440 Royal Palm Way, Unit 102
Contractor:	Awnings by Jay
Project Description:	Install awning on west side of building at entrance to new bank

Leslie Coats presented a drawing of the proposed awning at the entrance. The awning will be white with brown trim.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A108-92 Sign

Applicant:	H. T. Stuart Jewelers
Address:	209 Worth Avenue
Contractor:	Phil Rowe Signs
Project Description:	10" matte black letters, "H.T. Stuart Jewelers", on store front; gold lettering on windows

Steve Rowe presented a photo copy of the front of the store showing the proposed signage to scale as described above.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE THE SIGNAGE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A109-92 Sign

Applicant: Fashion Force International (Punch)
Address: 237 Worth Avenue
Contractor: Phil Rowe Signs
Project Description: 12" black letters on building, "Punch";
white window lettering

Steve Rowe presented a photo copy showing the proposed signage for "Punch".

The letters on the building will be matte black wood and white window lettering.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE THE SIGNAGE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A110-92 Sign

Applicant: PEMS Partnership, Ltd. (Provence Life)
Address: 305 South County Road
Contractor: Phil Rowe Signs
Project Description: 12" matte black letters, "Provence Life",
on building; 4" white letters on windows

Steve Rowe presented a photo copy showing the "Provence Life" signs centered above the front door and one on each window as described above.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE SIGNAGE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A111-92 Sign

Applicant: Island Shoe Repair
Address: 240 Sunrise Avenue
Contractor: Phil Rowe Signs
Project Description: Wood sign, "Island Shoe Repair", mounted
on building

Steve Rowe presented a photo copy showing the wood, "Island Shoe Repair" sign with navy blue lettering mounted above the arched front entrance.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO APPROVE THE SIGNAGE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A112-92 Windows/Doors

Applicant:	Mrs. Edith Barr
Address:	121 Australian Avenue
Contractor:	Clearview Window and Door
Project Description:	Installation of seven vent awning windows with half circles above and solid wood entry door

Randy Groce from Clearview Window and Door and Mrs. Barr, the owner, presented photographs of the windows they propose to install at Mrs. Barr's residence.

Mrs. Barr indicated mesh would be installed behind the windows.

The Commission members and Mrs. Barr discussed the possibility of using other types of windows. Mr. Groce indicated the windows have already been purchased for the specific window openings.

The Commission suggested using a decorative grill rather than the proposed mesh.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE THE WINDOWS AND THE DOOR AS DESCRIBED, NO MESH BEHIND THE WINDOWS, AND RETURN TO THE COMMISSION WITH A DECORATIVE GRILL SAMPLE.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A114-92 Awnings

Applicant:	First Federal Savings
Address:	165 Bradley Place
Contractor:	American Awning Company
Project Description:	Recover seven existing awnings; change color

Joe Mattei presented a sample of the proposed color for the bull nose awning--dark green with white trim.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE THE DARK GREEN WITH WHITE TRIM AWNING RECOVERS.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A115-92 Alterations

Applicant: Jack Ligget
Address: 240 Ocean Terrace
Designer: K. C. Hubbard
Project Description: Window and door relocation; column replacement

Mr. Hubbard requests this item be removed from the agenda.

MOTION MADE BY MR. SCHULER TO REMOVE THE ITEM FROM THE AGENDA.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A116-92 Alterations

Applicant: Fred Adler
Address: 1520 South Ocean Boulevard
Architect: Smith Architectural Group
Project Description: Alterations and additions

Jeffery Smith has a conflict with this project. His firm, Smith Architectural Group, is the architect of record for the project. A conflict of interest form is on file in the Town Clerk's office.

Don Kino presented a site plan and elevations for the latest revision to this project.

One balcony, as previously designed, has been changed to two individual balconies.

French doors replaced windows.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE THE PROJECT.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A117-92 Gazebos

Applicant: Mr. and Mrs. Alan Miller
Address: 177 Lake Way Circle
Architect: Ames Bennett
Project Description: Gazebos

Ames Bennett presented a site plan showing the location of the two proposed gazebos.

The gazebos are actually columns with awnings.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE THE GAZEBOS.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A118-92 Awnings

Applicant:	Saks Fifth Avenue
Address:	172 Worth Avenue (Esplanade)
Contractor:	Awnings by Jay
Project Description:	Install retractable awning

Leslie Coats presented an elevation drawing and photographs of the portion of the Esplanade Saks would like to convert to a show window for a French jewelry store.

The Commission discussed the retractable awning. The awning is unlike any other detail on the Worth Avenue side of the Esplanade.

The members suggested one white, straight edged awning on each side of the door area in lieu of the retractable awning.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. SMITH TO APPROVE ONE WHITE, STRAIGHT EDGED AWNING ON EACH SIDE OF THE DOOR.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

A119-92 Sign

Applicant:	Quick and Reilly
Address:	230 South County Road
Contractor:	Phil Rowe Signs
Project Description:	Wood sign, "Quick and Reilly, Members New York Stock Exchange"

Steve Rowe presented an elevation photograph showing the proposed signage as noted above.

The 2' x 8' wood sign has a painted cream background with dark green cut out letters.

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO APPROVE THE SIGN.

MOTION SECONDED BY MRS. HOPE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Adjournment

MOTION MADE BY MRS. FROST-GOLINO TO ADJOURN THE MEETING.

MOTION SECONDED BY MR. SCHULER.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

The October 28, 1992 Architectural Commission meeting was adjourned at 6:20 p.m. The next Architectural Commission meeting will be held November 18, 1992 at 12:30 p.m. in the Town Council chambers.

The December Architectural Commission meeting will be held December 16, 1992.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffery W. Smith, Chairman

JWS:mp

391-92 FORN 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT OF INTEREST ON

COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME <i>Smith, Jeffery W</i>		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE <i>Architectural Commission</i>	
MAILING ADDRESS <i>119 Seagate Rd</i>		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF:	
CITY <i>Palm Beach</i>	COUNTY	☐ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☐ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY	
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED <i>10/28/92</i>		NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION:	
		MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes. The requirements of this law are mandatory; although the use of this particular form is not required by law, you are encouraged to use it in making the disclosure required by law.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

ELECTED OFFICERS:

A person holding elective county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his special private gain. Each local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he is retained.

In either case, you should disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

A person holding appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his special private gain. Each local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he is retained.

A person holding an appointive local office otherwise may participate in a matter in which he has a conflict of interest, but must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision by oral or written communication, whether made by the officer or at his direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You should complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.
- A copy of the form should be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form should be read publicly at the meeting prior to consideration of the matter in which you have a conflict of interest.

YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION OR VOTE AT THE MEETING:
u should disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
u should complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes -
the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

DISCLOSURE OF STATE OFFICER'S INTEREST

Jeffrey K. Smith, hereby disclose that on 10/28, 19 92:

A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

☐ inured to my special private gain; or

☐ inured to the special gain of _____, by whom I am retained.

The measure before my agency and the nature of my interest in the measure is as follows:

B 91-92

Joe Champ

318 Via Linda

Smith Architectural Group is the architect of
record for the project.

10/28/92

Date Filed

Signature

J K Smith

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317 (1985), A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED
DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING:
IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN
SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$5,000.

62-94 FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME <i>Smith, Jeffery W.</i>		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE <i>Architectural Commission</i>	
MAILING ADDRESS <i>119 Seagate Rd</i>		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF:	
CITY <i>Palm Beach</i> COUNTY		☐ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☐ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY	
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED <i>10/28/92</i>		NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION:	
		MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes. The requirements of this law are mandatory; although the use of this particular form is not required by law, you are encouraged to use it in making the disclosure required by law.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

ELECTED OFFICERS:

A person holding elective county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his special private gain. Each local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he is retained.

In either case, you should disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

A person holding appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his special private gain. Each local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he is retained.

A person holding an appointive local office otherwise may participate in a matter in which he has a conflict of interest, but must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision by oral or written communication, whether made by the officer or at his direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You should complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.
- A copy of the form should be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form should be read publicly at the meeting prior to consideration of the matter in which you have a conflict of interest.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION OR VOTE AT THE MEETING:

- You should disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You should complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

DISCLOSURE OF STATE OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, Jeffery W. Smith, hereby disclose that on 10/28, 1992:

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

☒ inured to my special private gain; or

☐ inured to the special gain of _____, by whom I am retained.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my interest in the measure is as follows:

B62-91
101 El Bravo Way
Agi Rosas & Jacques Hava

Smith Architectural Group is the architect
of record for the project.

10/28/92

Signature

J. W. Smith

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317 (1985), A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$5,000.

388-70 FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT OF INTEREST COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME <i>Smith, Jeffery W</i>		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE <i>Architectural Commission</i>	
MAILING ADDRESS <i>119 Seagate Rd</i>		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF:	
CITY <i>Palm Beach</i>		☐ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☐ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY	
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED <i>10/28/92</i>		NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION:	
		MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes. The requirements of this law are mandatory; although the use of this particular form is not required by law, you are encouraged to use it in making the disclosure required by law.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

ELECTED OFFICERS:

A person holding elective county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his special private gain. Each local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he is retained.

In either case, you should disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

A person holding appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his special private gain. Each local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he is retained.

A person holding an appointive local office otherwise may participate in a matter in which he has a conflict of interest, but must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision by oral or written communication, whether made by the officer or at his direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION, PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You should complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.
- A copy of the form should be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form should be read publicly at the meeting prior to consideration of the matter in which you have a conflict of interest.

YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION OR VOTE AT THE MEETING:
You should disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
You should complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes -
the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

DISCLOSURE OF STATE OFFICER'S INTEREST

Jeffrey H. Smith, hereby disclose that on 10/28, 1992:

A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

☒ inured to my special private gain; or

☐ inured to the special gain of _____, by whom I am retained.

The measure before my agency and the nature of my interest in the measure is as follows:

B85-92
residence: 241 Sanford Ave

Smith Architectural Group is the
architect of record for the job

10/28/92

Signature

J. H. Smith

Date Filed

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317 (1985), A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$5,000.

7116-95 FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME <i>Smith, Jeffery W.</i>		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE <i>Architectural Commission</i>	
MAILING ADDRESS <i>119 Seagate Rd</i>		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF:	
CITY <i>Palm Beach</i>	COUNTY	☐ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☐ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY	
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED <i>10/28/92</i>		NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION:	
		MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes. The requirements of this law are mandatory; although the use of this particular form is not required by law, you are encouraged to use it in making the disclosure required by law.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

ELECTED OFFICERS:

A person holding elective county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his special private gain. Each local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he is retained.

In either case, you should disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

A person holding appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his special private gain. Each local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he is retained.

A person holding an appointive local office otherwise may participate in a matter in which he has a conflict of interest, but must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision by oral or written communication, whether made by the officer or at his direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION, PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You should complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.
- A copy of the form should be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form should be read publicly at the meeting prior to consideration of the matter in which you have a conflict of interest.

YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION OR VOTE AT THE MEETING:
You should disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
You should complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes
of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

DISCLOSURE OF STATE OFFICER'S INTEREST

Jeffrey H. Smith, hereby disclose that on October 28, 1992:

A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

☐ inured to my special private gain; or

☐ inured to the special gain of _____, by whom I am retained.

) The measure before my agency and the nature of my interest in the measure is as follows:

A 116-92

Fred Adler

1520 S. Ocean Blvd

Smith Architectural Group is the architect of record
for the project

10/28/92

Date Filed

Signature

Jeffrey H. Smith

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317 (1985), A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$5,000.

42-70 **FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS**

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME <i>Smith, Jeffery W. ---</i>		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE <i>Architectural Commission</i>	
MAILING ADDRESS <i>119 Seagate Rd</i>		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF:	
CITY <i>Palm Beach</i> COUNTY		☐ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☐ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY	
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED <i>10/28/92</i>		NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION:	
		MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes. The requirements of this law are mandatory; although the use of this particular form is not required by law, you are encouraged to use it in making the disclosure required by law.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

ELECTED OFFICERS:

A person holding elective county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his special private gain. Each local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he is retained.

In either case, you should disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

A person holding appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his special private gain. Each local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he is retained.

A person holding an appointive local office otherwise may participate in a matter in which he has a conflict of interest, but must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision by oral or written communication, whether made by the officer or at his direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You should complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.
- A copy of the form should be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form should be read publicly at the meeting prior to consideration of the matter in which you have a conflict of interest.

YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION OR VOTE AT THE MEETING:
You should disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
You should complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes -
the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

DISCLOSURE OF STATE OFFICER'S INTEREST

Jeffery W. Smith, hereby disclose that on 10/28, 19 92:
A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

☒ inured to my special private gain; or

☐ inured to the special gain of _____, by whom I am retained.

The measure before my agency and the nature of my interest in the measure is as follows:

B92-92

Luis & Lillian Fernandez
246 Eden Rd.

Smith Architectural Group is the architect
of record for the project.

10/28/92

Signature

Date Filed

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317 (1985), A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$5,000.