



TOWN OF PALM BEACH

PLANNING, ZONING AND BUILDING DEPARTMENT

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION MEETING HELD ON WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 20, 2019.

Please be advised that in keeping with a directive from the Town Council, the minutes of all Town Boards and Commissions will be "abbreviated" in style. Persons interested in listening to the meeting, after the fact, may access the audio of that item via the Town's website at www.townofpalmbeach.com.

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Cooney called the meeting to order at 9:33 a.m.

II. ROLL CALL

Edward Austin Cooney, Chairman	PRESENT
Richard Rene Silvin, Vice Chairman	PRESENT
Jacqueline Albarran, Member	PRESENT
Page Lee Hufty, Member	PRESENT (left at 12:57 p.m.)
Patrick Segraves, Member	PRESENT
John T. Gannon, Member	PRESENT
Kim Coleman, Member	PRESENT
Jacqueline Weld Drake, Alternate Member	PRESENT (arrived at 9:35 a.m.)
Sue Patterson, Alternate Member	PRESENT
Anne Metzger, Alternate Member	PRESENT

Staff Members present were:

John Lindgren, Planning Administrator
Kelly Churney, Secretary to the Landmarks Preservation Commission
Emily Stillings, Preservation Consultant for the Town of Palm Beach
Janet Murphy, Preservation Consultant for the Town of Palm Beach

III. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chairman Cooney led the Pledge of Allegiance.

IV. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE JANUARY 16, 2019 MEETING

Motion made by Mr. Silvin and seconded by Ms. Albarran to approve the minutes of the January 16, 2019 meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

V. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Mr. Lindgren stated that the Town received deferral requests for the following projects on the agenda to the April 17, 2019 meeting: COA-041-2018, 241 Seaview Avenue, COA-049-2018, 280 Sunset Avenue and the designation hearing for 145 Seaspray

Avenue. He stated that the Town received a withdrawal request for the project COA-048-2018, 1100 S. Ocean Blvd. Mr. Lindgren also stated that the owner at 255 Seaspray Avenue requested a deferral to the February 2020 meeting.

Motion made by Ms. Albarran and seconded by Mr. Silvin to approve the agenda as amended. Motion carried unanimously.

VI. ADMINISTRATION OF THE OATH TO PERSONS WHO WISH TO TESTIFY

Ms. Churney administered the oath at this time and throughout the meeting as necessary.

VII. COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC AND COMMISSION MEMBERS: (Please limit comments to 3 minutes):

Mr. Lindgren stated that Mr. Segraves declared a conflict for the Certificate of Appropriateness for 3 Pelican Lane at the January 16, 2019 meeting and had correctly completed the 8B form in accordance with State Law.

Mr. Silvin urged his fellow Commissioners to listen to Josh Martin's presentation on the proposed Code change. Mr. Silvin thought the presentation was informative about why the Town's Code was outdated. Ms. Metzger added that she thought the presentation was salient.

Mr. Cooney requested to place The Reef at 2275 S. Ocean Blvd. under consideration for landmark designation. He stated that he attended The Reef's board meeting and they unanimously voted in favor for the opportunity to be placed under consideration.

Motion made by Mr. Cooney and seconded by Mr. Silvin to place 2275 S. Ocean Blvd. under consideration for landmark designation.

Ms. Hufty asked a procedural question about placing a property under consideration. Mr. Lindgren provided explanation on the ways a property could be placed under consideration.

Mr. Silvin stated he was thrilled for the rush at landmarking mid-century architecture.

Motion carried unanimously.

VIII. DISCUSSION ITEMS

1. Aesthetic Shielding of Comcast WIFI Equipment – Steven Stern/Patricia Strayer

Ms. Strayer discussed the WIFI equipment that currently existed on poles. She stated this equipment needed to be relocated to the ground during phase 4 of the undergrounding.

A long discussion ensued about the shielding of the Comcast WIFI equipment. Ms. Strayer answered all questions from the Commissioners regarding the shielding of the equipment.

After the long discussion, the following recommendations were made by the Commission: 1) If possible, remove unused newspaper stands and shielding; 2) Relocate as much of the equipment on top of buildings as possible; 3) Hide, shield and place equipment out of sight as much as possible (up against buildings); 4) they preferred screens in black or dark green; 5) they would like to see the location plan when finalized.

The Commission thanked Ms. Strayer for her presentation.

IX. PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #041-2018
LPC TO MAKE RECOMMENDATION RELATIVE TO SPECIAL EXCEPTION WITH SITE PLAN REVIEW AND VARIANCE(S) - Done 9/21/18

Address: 241 Seaview Avenue

Owner/Applicant: Palm Beach Day Academy, Inc.

Professional: Nievera Williams Design

Project Description: Addition of paved parking lot, landscape improvements and drainage improvements.

Project History:

October 2018 – Deferred to the November meeting at the request of the attorney.

November 2018 – Deferred to the December meeting at the request of the attorney.

December 2018 – Deferred to the January meeting at the request of the Staff.

January 2019 – Deferred to the February meeting at the request of the Staff.

Please note: This item was deferred at the approval of the agenda, Item V.

2. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #048-2018
LPC TO MAKE RECOMMENDATION RELATIVE TO SPECIAL EXCEPTION WITH SITE PLAN REVIEW - Done 12/19/18
Address: 1100 S. Ocean Blvd.
Owner/Applicant: Harvey E. Oyer, III on behalf of Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc.
Professional: Rich Gonzalez/REG Architects, Inc.
Project Description: The Applicant seeks approval to add an accessory dock. It is to be located at the northwest corner of the site and projects 40 feet from the existing seawall into the Lake Worth Lagoon. The proposed dock will not be visible from the historic home, does not violate the preservation easement granted to the National Trust for Historic Preservation, and is generally located where the property's prior historic dock was previously located.

Project History:

October 2018 – Deferred to the November meeting at the request of the attorney.

November 2018 – Deferred to the December meeting at the request of the attorney.

December 2018 – Motion carried that implementation of the special exception with site plan review will not cause negative architectural impact to the subject property.

January 2019 – Deferred to the February meeting at the request of the attorney.

Please note: This item was withdrawn at the approval of the agenda, Item V.

3. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #049-2018

LPC TO MAKE RECOMMENDATION RELATIVE TO SITE PLAN REVIEW WITH VARIANCE(S) - Done 10/17/18

Address: 280 Sunset Ave.

Owner/Applicant: Bradley Park Owner LLC

Professional: Keith Spina/Glidden Spina + Partners

Project Description: The Bradley Park Hotel, also known as Bradley House and originally built under the name The Algomac Hotel, was constructed in 1923 by Colonel F.T. Bradley. The architect is unknown. The Hotel was constructed immediately adjacent to F.T. Bradley's famous Beach Club gambling casino as a private hotel for his friends and guests. The hotel is now separated from Bradley Park where the casino stood. It is one of the earliest hotels designed in the Mediterranean motif in Palm Beach. The building is "U" shaped with the center being 4 stories and the wings three stories with a Belvedere on the northwest wing. Site improvements include: Reconfiguration of the central courtyard to accommodate a new pool and patio; redesign of the parking lot; relocated exterior approach to entrance. Parking lot improvements include new landscaping, paving materials and drainage. The proposed changes to the building interior include: Demolition of interior partitions, as required, to accommodate the reconfiguration of guest rooms, support space and lobby. Proposed exterior alterations include replacement of doors and windows; removal of wall mounted A/C units; reinstallation of rooftop equipment and removal of adjacent unsightly metal mesh screen; and alteration to elevator penthouse. Redesign of these roof elements results in a well-balanced architectural treatment to the top of the building and can be seen as one enters into Palm Beach. All mechanical equipment will be screened from view. ****Please note this is a tax abatement project****

Project History:

October 2018 – Motions carried that implementation of the proposed site plan review with variances will not cause negative architectural impact to the subject landmarked property, that the proposed development will not preclude the building's continued designation as a historic building, and the variance is the minimum necessary to preserve the historic character and design of the building.

November 2018 – Motion carried at the November meeting to approve the project as presented with the caveat that the details for the balcony railings, fencing and gates will return at the December 19, 2018 meeting.

December 2018 – Motion carried to approve the remaining items with the exception of the pedestrian entry gate, which was deferred for one month to the January 16, 2019 meeting.

January 2019 – Motion carried to defer the pedestrian entry gate to the February 20, 2019 meeting at the request of the attorney.

Please note: This item was deferred at the approval of the agenda, Item V.

4. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #056-2018

Address: 174 Via Del Lago

Owner/Applicant: Beatrice Tollman

Professional: Kristin Kellogg/Smith Kellogg Architecture, Inc.

Project Description: Door and window replacement, interior modifications and roof replacement.

A motion carried at the December meeting to approve the window and door replacements proposed with a deferral of the roof material to the January 16, 2019 meeting. A motion carried at the January meeting to defer the roof material to the February 20, 2019 meeting at the request of the professional.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: None.

Ms. Kellogg brought a sample of a flat, concrete, green roof material, similar to the roof material on the existing bathhouse, which she had proposed for the new roof on the existing residence. She showed the Commissioners photographs of the existing roof of the bathhouse.

Mr. Segraves stated he was in favor of the color and the roof sample.

Mr. Cooney was in favor of the flat tile proposed.

Ms. Metzger asked about the currently proposed tile compared to the previously proposed tile. Ms. Kellogg responded. Ms. Metzger stated she was in favor of the tile proposed.

Ms. Kellogg showed a photo of the proposed roof tile installed.

Motion made by Ms. Albarran and seconded by Mr. Silvin to approve the roof material as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

5. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #002-2019

LPC TO MAKE RECOMMENDATION RELATIVE TO VARIANCE(S) -

Done 1/16/19

Address: 640 S. Ocean Blvd.

Owner/Applicant: 640 Palm Beach, LLC

Professional: James C. Paine, Jr., PA

Project Description: At existing motor court entry on the south side of El Brillo Way, construct a decorative metal horizontal rolling gate between existing masonry piers, gate detailing to match existing fence. We request that the gate be aligned with the motor court side of the existing piers at a distance of approximately 7 feet from the pavement edge rather than the 18 feet required.

A motion carried at the January 16, 2019 meeting that implementation of the proposed variance will not cause negative architectural impact to the subject landmarked property and with a request that the gate panels slide completely behind the wall.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: None.

Mr. Paine presented the formal presentation for the proposed motor court gate at the existing residence. He showed the Commission a rendering of the proposed gate with the existing landscape in front of the masonry piers. Mr. Paine showed an alternate drawing of the aluminum posts.

Mr. Cooney inquired about the look of the gate when the main gate is open. Mr. Paine confirmed that the main gate would slide completely behind the walls when opened.

Motion made by Mr. Silvin and seconded by Mr. Segraves to approve the project as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

6. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #003-2019

LPC TO MAKE RECOMMENDATION RELATIVE TO VARIANCE(S) -

Done 1/16/19

Address: 200 Regents Park

Owner/Applicant: Joel and Carol Jankowsky

Professional: Kevin Asbacher/Asbacher Architecture

Project Description: A request for a variance to allow the existing 6' 4" enclosure wall to be increased by 2' 4" for a total height of 8' 8" in order to fully encompass and shield a new 46 KW generator, in lieu of the 7' wall height allowed.

A motion carried at the January 16, 2019 meeting that implementation of the proposed variance will not cause negative architectural impact to the subject landmarked property.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: None.

Please note: This project was deferred to the end of the Section IX. Public Hearings to allow time for the professional to arrive for the presentation.

7. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #004-2019

Address: 3 Pelican Lane

Owner/Applicant: Dana Landry

Professional: Patrick Segraves/SKA Architect + Planner

Project Description: Reconstruction of house due to major fire. House will be reconstructed exactly as original house.

A motion carried at the January 16, 2019 meeting to approve the project as presented. The professionals need to update the Commission on new information since the last presentation.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: None.

Please note: Patrick Segraves declared a conflict of interest for this project and left the chambers during the presentation.

Daniel Clavijo, SKA Architect + Planner, presented the architectural modifications made since the presentation made last month. He also updated the Commission on a situation, which could lead to the demolition of the garage due to the foundation system.

Mr. Silvin asked for clarification on the demolition of the garage. Mr. Clavijo explained the concerns of the garage structure and the reasons it may need to be demolished.

Ms. Coleman asked for clarification with the area that may need to be demolished. Mr. Clavijo responded.

Ms. Metzger asked for further clarification for the potential demolition of the garage wing. Mr. Clavijo responded and explained that the insurance company's concern for the garage portion of the home.

Ms. Drake complimented the professional for the steps that they have taken to rebuild the residence.

Motion made by Ms. Drake and seconded by Mr. Gannon to approve the project as presented with an approval for the garage wing to be demolished and rebuilt, if necessary. Motion carried unanimously.

8. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #006-2019

LPC TO MAKE RECOMMENDATION RELATIVE TO VARIANCE(S) -

Done 1/16/19

Address: 244 Nightingale Trail

Owner/Applicant: 244 Nightingale LLC

Professional: Jon Gregory Tankersley/McAlpine

Project Description: Additions & renovations to the property located at 244 Nightingale Trail. All additions to occur on the south side of the property,

away from the street. All new construction will match the detailing of existing structure. Hardscape and landscape changes on all sides of property. Paint entire structure (including stone) white. Interior demolition and renovation of both floors. All electrical, lighting, cabinetry, plumbing fixtures, appliances and HVAC equipment to be removed and replaced. Subject to variance for FEMA site elevation. *****Please note: This is a tax abatement project*****

Motions carried at the January 16, 2019 meeting that implementation of the proposed variance will not cause negative architectural impact to the subject landmarked property and that the proposed development will not preclude the building's continued designation as a historic building and the variance is the minimum necessary to preserve the historic character and design of the building.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: None

Mr. Pandula reviewed the approval that the project received at the Town Council meeting as well as informed the Commission that the home was also Landmarked by the Town Council. Mr. Pandula reviewed the changes proposed for the existing residence. Mr. Pandula showed the Commission a new requested change, which consisted of the raising of the roof over the master bedroom. He placed an alternate elevation of the master bedroom on the overhead projector.

Mr. Silvin complimented the plan, particularly the changes in the hardscape.

Ms. Albarran thought the changes were wonderful and added that she was in favor of the change in ceiling height in the master bedroom.

Ms. Patterson stated she was in favor of the changes.

Ms. Hufty thought the changes were fabulous. She inquired about the materials for the gravel. Sean Allen, Nievera Williams, stated they had proposed a white Chattahoochee stone.

Motion made by Mr. Gannon and seconded by Ms. Albarran to approve the project as presented, including the new roof height change over the master bedroom proposed. Motion carried unanimously.

9. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #008-2019
Address: 330 Island Rd.
Owner/Applicant: Claudia Visconti on behalf of Howard Cox
Professional: Gil Schafer/G.P. Schafer Architect
Project Description: Request approval for selective renovation to exterior landings, exterior openings, and architectural millwork along the North and South facades as well as application of opaque white paint to the existing exterior brick, and installation of new operable shutters to replace existing

fixed shutters. Further description of selective renovations at North and South facades is as follows: At the North façade, a total of (3) casement windows are proposed to be modified without alteration to the existing brick openings. The entry door is proposed to be replaced and include glazing with divided lites, and a new screened door is proposed. The primary entry landing is proposed to be reconstructed with handrail volutes as depicted in the 1939 Treanor and Fatio drawings. The entrance landing at the service area is proposed to be reduced in size. At the South façade, two window openings at the second floor porch of the main volume are proposed to be enlarged and replaced. New sliding, pocketing doors and double hung windows are proposed to replace the current openings at the first floor of the main volume, including incidental replacement of wood trim. A larger double hung window, and new small casement window are proposed at the 2nd floor porch above the East brick Loggia. Immediately to the South of the main volume, a new tented awing is proposed.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by several members.

Mr. Schafer presented the proposed architectural modifications to the existing residence.

Ms. Hufty asked about the color proposed for the brick. Mr. Schafer presented a paint sample to the Commissioners. Ms. Hufty asked about the timeline of the proposed construction. Mr. Schafer responded. Ms. Hufty requested that the construction be completed within the guidelines of the Town.

Mr. Segraves inquired about the lime finish with the paint treatment. Mr. Schafer responded.

Ms. Albarran thanked the professional for the changes to the windows and shutters.

Ms. Coleman stated she would like to give the professional two additional paint samples to consider. She questioned why the shutters were proposed to be the same color as the home. Mr. Schafer responded.

Mr. Silvin was in support of the white paint proposed.

Jorge Sánchez, SMI Landscape Architecture, presented the landscape and hardscape modifications proposed for the existing residence.

Ms. Hufty inquired about the plantings on the northwest corner. Mr. Sánchez responded. Ms. Hufty expressed concern for the butterfly plants that may receive too much wind in their proposed location. Mr. Sánchez responded.

Ms. Metzger asked about a gate and inquired if it could be seen from the street. Mr. Sánchez responded and pointed to the location of the gate. Ms. Hufty expressed concern for the design of the existing gate. Mr. Sánchez stated that gates would be redesigned. A short discussion ensued about the gates.

Ms. Hufty asked if the site walls would be painted white to match the residence. Mr. Sánchez stated he believed the walls would be painted white.

Ms. Hufty commended the owner for renovating the home.

Ms. Albarran pointed out that the by painting the pillars white, they would not match the fencing. Mr. Sánchez responded.

Ms. Murphy expressed concern for the proposal of painting of the brick in the practice of preservation because it tends to change the character of the building. She also expressed concern for painting the balconies white as they would blend in and be indistinguishable. Lastly, she did not believe the proposed front door was in keeping with preservation and thought the front door should remain a wood door.

Ms. Coleman stated she had a concern that the entire house was going to be all white.

A short discussion ensued about painting the brick white. Mr. Sánchez stated that the paint proposed was a lime-based paint, which could be removed in the future.

Mr. Schafer stated he would not be opposed to a white wash, which would allow the red brick to show through the paint.

Howard Cox, owner, stated that his objective in painting the home was to allow some of the red brick to show through the paint.

Motion made by Ms. Albarran and seconded by Ms. Hufty to approve the project as presented with the caveat that the home is white washed to allow some transparency. Motion carried unanimously.

Please note: A short break was taken at 11:29 a.m. The meeting resumed at 11:46 a.m. At this time, the following project was heard as the professional had arrived.

6. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #003-2019

LPC TO MAKE RECOMMENDATION RELATIVE TO VARIANCE(S) -

Done 1/16/19

Address: 200 Regents Park

Owner/Applicant: Joel and Carol Jankowsky

Professional: Kevin Asbacher/Asbacher Architecture

Project Description: A request for a variance to allow the existing 6' 4" enclosure wall to be increased by 2' 4" for a total height of 8' 8" in order to fully encompass and shield a new 46 KW generator, in lieu of the 7' wall height allowed.

A motion carried at the January 16, 2019 meeting that implementation of the proposed variance will not cause negative architectural impact to the subject landmarked property.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: None.

Mr. Asbacher presented the generator enclosure and discussed the increase in height for the enclosure.

Ms. Albarran reminded the Commission that a landmarked property did not need to raise a generator. However, she added that she definitely understood the request with this particular property.

Motion made by Mr. Segraves and seconded by Mr. Gannon to approve the project as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

X. OTHER BUSINESS

NONE

XI. DESIGNATION HEARINGS

Item 1: 145 Seaspray Ave.

Owner: Michael R. and Sue A. Strickland

Please note: This item was deferred at the approval of the agenda, Item V.

Item 2: 255 Seaspray Ave.

Owner: Julia S. Hansen

Please note: This item was deferred at the approval of the agenda, Item V.

Item 3: 911 N. Ocean Blvd., Playa Riente Wall and Seawall

Owner: Joan & Murray Goodman; Murray H. Goodman TR; Murray H. Goodman TR TITL HLDR

Mr. Cooney asked for confirmation on proof of publication. Ms. Churney provided confirmation.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by Mr. Silvin

Janet Murphy, MurphyStillings, LLC, testified to the architecture and history for the perimeter wall and seawall built in 1923-1924. Ms. Murphy pointed out the design

features of these walls. Ms. Murphy testified that the wall, seawall and stairs met the following criteria for designation as a landmark:

Sec. 54-161 (1) Exemplifies or reflects the broad cultural, political, economic or social history of the nation, state, county or town; and,

Sec. 54-161 (3) Embodies distinguishing characteristics of an architectural type or is a specimen inherently valuable of the study of a period, style, method of construction of use of indigenous materials or craftsmanship; and

Sec. 54-161 (4) Is representative of the notable work of a master builder, designer or architect whose individual ability has been recognized or who influenced his age.

Greg Kino, representing the owners Mr. and Mrs. Goodman, explained a condition of approval relating to a future lot split that he would be requesting from the Town Council as a part of the Landmark Designation. Mr. Kino stated that as long as the Town Council granted the approval of the request, Mr. and Mrs. Goodman supported the designation. Mr. Lindgren stated that staff supported Mr. and Mrs. Goodman's request.

Mr. Cooney added that he believed that Mr. Kino's proposal was probably the best solution, should a lot split be proposed for the property.

Mr. Silvin confirmed that the designation did not include the tunnel and the fountain. Mr. Kino confirmed it was only the perimeter wall and the seawall.

Motion made by Ms. Albarran and second by Mr. Silvin to make the designation report for 911 N. Ocean Blvd. Playa Riente Wall and Seawall part of the record. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion made by Mr. Silvin and second by Ms. Albarran to recommend 911 N. Ocean Blvd. Playa Riente Wall and Seawall to the Town Council for designation as a Landmark of the Town of Palm Beach based on criteria 1, 3 and 4 in Section 54-161, with the addition of the caveat that if the property is ever divided, the southernmost entrance could be used as a separate entrance to the property.

Mr. Cooney called for public comment on the designation.

Aimee Sunny, Director of Education of the Preservation Foundation of Palm Beach, offered her support for the designation on behalf of the Foundation.

Motion carried unanimously.

Item 4: 475 N. County Rd.

Owner: Christopher G. Kellogg

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by several members.

Mr. Cooney asked for confirmation on proof of publication. Ms. Churney provided confirmation.

Emily Stillings, MurphyStillings, LLC, testified to the architecture and history for this Colonial Revival style home. Ms. Stillings pointed out the design features of this building. Ms. Stillings testified that the building met the following criteria for designation as a landmark:

Sec. 54-161 (1) Exemplifies or reflects the broad cultural, political, economic or social history of the nation, state, county or town; and,

Sec. 54-161 (3) Embodies distinguishing characteristics of an architectural type or is a specimen inherently valuable of the study of a period, style, method of construction of use of indigenous materials or craftsmanship; and

Sec. 54-161 (4) Is representative of the notable work of a master builder, designer or architect whose individual ability has been recognized or who influenced his age.

Motion made by Ms. Albarran and second by Ms. Coleman to make the designation report for 475 N. County Rd. part of the record. Motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Cooney called for any public comment on the designation.

Jacqueline Miller, attorney on behalf of the owner, provided rebuttal for the three criteria provided by Ms. Stillings to landmark the home.

Jeff Smith, architect and owner of Smith Architectural Group, testified on behalf of the Mr. Kellogg and provided architectural reasons why the home should not be landmarked. Mr. Smith submitted his curriculum vitae to Ms. Churney for the record.

Christopher Kellogg, owner, spoke at length and expressed his objections to the Landmarking of his home.

Mr. Silvin asked about the owner's future intentions with the home. Mr. Kellogg responded.

Ms. Drake thought the home was not significant and should not be landmarked. Ms. Hufty agreed.

Ms. Metzger asked for some clarification if the home was built as a one bedroom home. Ms. Miller responded and stated the home was built as a three bedroom home.

Ms. Albarran stated that the house was charming but due to some of the architectural features, it is not worthy of landmarking.

Mr. Segraves agreed that the home should not be landmarked. Mr. Gannon agreed.

Mr. Cooney called for any public comment on the designation.

Aimee Sunny, Director of Education of the Preservation Foundation of Palm Beach, offered her support for the designation on behalf of the Foundation.

Ms. Hufty asked who supported the decision to recommend the home as a landmark at the Preservation Foundation. Ms. Sunny stated the Executive Committee provided the recommendation to advocate for the landmarking of the home.

Motion made by Mr. Silvin and second by Ms. Albarran that 475 N. County Rd. not be recommended to the Town Council for designation as a landmark of the Town of Palm Beach for lack of historic addition to the landmarked Gurnee Munn site. Motion carried 6-1, with Mr. Cooney opposed.

XII. COMMENTS OF THE LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION AND PLANNING, ZONING & BUILDING DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR.

1. Informal Review of LPC Projects – Josh Martin

Mr. Lindgren stated that Mr. Martin would be speaking to the Commission at the next meeting about informal reviews.

Mr. Silvin spoke about the future appointments to the Landmarks Commissions.

XIII. ADJOURNMENT

A motion made by Ms. Albarran and seconded by Ms. Coleman to adjourn the meeting at 1:00 p.m. Motion carried unanimously.

The next meeting of the Landmarks Preservation Commission will be held on Wednesday, March 13, 2019 at 9:30 a.m. in the Town Council Chambers, 2nd floor, Town Hall, 360 South County Rd. Palm Beach.

Respectfully submitted,



Edward Cooney, Chairman
LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION

kmc

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME Segraves, Patrick W.		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE Landmarks Preservation Commission	
MAILING ADDRESS 2500 S. Ocean Blvd., #2A1		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF: ☐ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☒ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY Town	
CITY Palm Beach	COUNTY Palm Beach	NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION: Town of Palm Beach	
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED February 20, 2019		MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which would inure to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also **MUST ABSTAIN** from knowingly voting on a measure which would inure to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent, subsidiary, or sibling organization of a principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies (CRAs) under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

Although you must abstain from voting in the situations described above, you are not prohibited by Section 112.3143 from otherwise participating in these matters. However, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes. (Continued on page 2)

APPOINTED OFFICERS (continued)

- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, Patrick W. Segraves, hereby disclose that on February 20, 20 19 :

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one or more)

- ☒ inured to my special private gain or loss;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____ ;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _____ ;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, by
whom I am retained; or
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, which
is the parent subsidiary, or sibling organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

Continuing Conflict for

Certificate of Appropriateness

#004-2019

3 Pelican Lane

If disclosure of specific information would violate confidentiality or privilege pursuant to law or rules governing attorneys, a public officer, who is also an attorney, may comply with the disclosure requirements of this section by disclosing the nature of the interest in such a way as to provide the public with notice of the conflict.

2-20-19
Date Filed

[Signature]
Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.