TOWN OF PALM BEACH
PLANNING, ZONING AND BUILDING DEPARTMENT

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMISSION MEETING HELD ON WEDNESDAY, JUNE 24, 2020

Please be advised that in keeping with a recent directive from the Town Council, the minutes of all Town Boards and Commissions will be "abbreviated" in style. Persons interested in listening to the meeting, after the fact, may access the audio of that item via the Town's website at www.townofpalmbeach.com.

I. **CALL TO ORDER**
Mr. Small called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. All members participated via GoToWebinar due to the COVID-19 situation.

II. **ROLL CALL**

Michael B. Small, Chairman	PRESENT
Robert N. Garrison, Vice Chairman	PRESENT
Alexander C. Ives, Member	PRESENT
Maisie Grace, Member	PRESENT
John David Corey, Member	PRESENT
Betsy Shiverick, Member	PRESENT
Richard Sammons, Member	PRESENT
Katherine Catlin, Alternate Member	PRESENT
Dan Floersheimer, Alternate Member	PRESENT
Edward A. Cooney, Alternate Member	PRESENT

Staff Members present were:
Wayne Bergman, Acting Director of Planning, Zoning and Building
Paul Castro, Zoning Manager
Kelly Churney, Secretary to the Architectural Review Commission

III. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**
Chairman Small led the Pledge of Allegiance.

IV. **RULES OF ORDER AND PROCEDURE**
Mr. Bergman announced that the meeting was being held electronically due to the CDC guidelines, and in accordance with State Executive Order 20-69. Mr. Bergman reviewed the procedures for Commissioners participating in the meeting.

Mr. Small thanked staff for working to make the online meetings possible.

V. **APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FROM THE MAY 27, 2020 MEETING**

Motion made by Mr. Garrison and seconded by Mr. Corey to approve the minutes from the May 27, 2020 meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

VI. **APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA**

Mr. Small requested the following amendments to the agenda:

Withdrawal of A-011-2020, 420 Brazilian Ave.
Deferral of B-074-2019, 125 Worth Ave. to the July 29, 2020 meeting
Deferral of A-012-2020, 1178 N. Ocean Blvd. to the July 29, 2020 meeting
Deferral of B-020-2020, 171 Via Bellaria to the July 29, 2020 meeting
Deferral of B-027-2020, 335 Seabreeze Ave. to the July 29, 2020 meeting
Deferral of B-032-2020, 220 El Vedado Rd. to the July 29, 2020 meeting
Deferral of B-034-2020, 2730 S. Ocean Blvd. to the July 29, 2020 meeting
Move B-028-2020, 110 Clarendon Ave. to be the first project heard under Major Projects – Old Business

Mr. Corey inquired about the applicant deferral requests for 125 Worth Avenue. Mr. Castro responded. Mr. Corey requested that the professionals for the project show existing and proposed renderings on one sheet when they present to the Commission.

Mr. Ives inquired about the minor projects appearing first on the agenda as opposed to last. Mr. Small stated this item could be addressed later in the meeting.

Motion made by Mr. Garrison and seconded by Mr. Corey to approve the agenda as amended. Motion carried unanimously.

VII. **ADMINSTRATION OF THE OATH TO PERSONS WHO WISH TO TESTIFY**

Mr. Small announced that Ms. Churney would be administering the oath at the beginning of each project.

VIII. **COMMUNICATIONS FROM CITIZENS REGARDING NON-AGENDA ITEMS (3 MINUTE LIMIT PLEASE)**

There were no comments heard at this time.

IX. **COMMENTS FROM THE ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION MEMBERS**

Mr. Corey expressed concern for the landscapers that are severely cutting trees to prepare for hurricane season. He recommended that homeowners use an arborist when significantly pruning the trees.

X. **PROJECT REVIEW**

DEMOLITIONS AND TIME EXTENSIONS

B-031-2020 Demolition
Address: 257 Sanford Ave.

Applicant: Mary Bryant McCourt
Professional: Patrick O'Connell/Patrick Ryan O'Connell Architect
Project Description: Demolition of existing one-story residence, hardscape, pool and partial landscape. Existing perimeter walls shall remain.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by several members.

Mr. O'Connell presented the proposed plans for demolition of the existing residence.

Adam Mills, Environment Design Group, presented the proposed landscape and hardscape plans for the proposed demolition. Mr. Mills addressed the concerns from neighbor, Jeffrey Smith.

Mr. Small asked if the owner did voluntarily agree to dedicate a utility easement supporting the undergrounding project subject to the satisfaction of the Town. Mr. Mills agreed.

Mr. Small asked for public comments. Mr. Falco stated no one requested to speak on the project.

Mr. Garrison thought that Mr. Smith's concern was valid and wanted to make sure his request was addressed.

Mr. Ives expressed concern for the loss of the home and felt the home had value for preservation.

Ms. Catlin asked for confirmation that many of the trees would be saved and reused if possible. Mr. Mills agreed.

Motion made by Mr. Garrison and seconded by Ms. Grace to approve the demolition as presented with the following conditions: to keep the screening in place until the new screening is installed, to sod and irrigate the property within 30 days and all elements on the property are to be maintained prior to demolition, with the items remaining after demolition to be maintained until new construction commences. Motion carried unanimously. This motion was approved with the condition that the owner did voluntarily agree to dedicate a utility easement supporting the undergrounding project subject to the satisfaction of the Town.

MINOR PROJECTS – OLD BUSINESS
None

MINOR PROJECTS – NEW BUSINESS
A-007-2020 Modifications
Address: 22 Middle Rd.

Applicant: Myrna and Spencer Partrich
Professional: Jacqueline Albarran/SKA Architect + Planner
Project Description: Remove non original arched stucco transoms above three windows. Remove existing shutters and replace with stucco band to match band at front door. Non original pairs of columns at entry to be replaced by single columns. Metal roof at entry to be replaced to match existing.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by several members.

Ms. Albarran presented the architectural modifications proposed for the existing residence.

Mr. Small asked if the owner did voluntarily agree to dedicate a utility easement supporting the undergrounding project subject to the satisfaction of the Town. Ms. Albarran agreed to the easement.

Mr. Small asked for public comments. Mr. Falco stated no one requested to speak on the project.

Mr. Garrison was in favor of the project.

Ms. Grace thought the changes cleaned up the project.

Ms. Shiverick was in favor of the changes. She asked about the color of the new stucco bands. Ms. Albarran confirmed that they would be white.

Mr. Floersheimer thought the changes left the home too plain.

Most of the Commissioners thought the changes were acceptable.

Motion made by Ms. Shiverick and seconded by Ms. Grace to approve the project as presented. Motion carried unanimously. This motion was approved with the condition that the owner did voluntarily agree to dedicate a utility easement supporting the undergrounding project subject to the satisfaction of the Town.

Clerk's note: Mr. Sammons was experiencing technical difficulties and therefore Ms. Catlin voted in his absence for this project.

<u>A-008-2020 Modifications</u>
Address: 230 N. Ocean Blvd.
Applicant: 230 North Ocean LLC, c/o Sussman & Assoc.
Professional: Jose Luis Gonzalez-Perotti/Portuondo-Perotti Architects, Inc.
Project Description: Minor revision to exterior façade to include railing design revision, elimination of small decorative tile areas, and elimination of upper level ironwork over windows.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by several members.

Mr. Portuondo presented the proposed architectural modifications to the existing residence.

Mr. Small asked for public comments. Mr. Falco stated no one requested to speak on the project.

Mr. Small asked if the owner did voluntarily agree to dedicate a utility easement supporting the undergrounding project subject to the satisfaction of the Town. Mr. Portuondo agreed to the easement.

Ms. Grace thought the changes were positive.

Ms. Shiverick thought the changes were acceptable with the exception of the removal of the iron grills. She thought they were a nice touch and thought it added character to the home. Messrs. Small and Cooney agreed.

Mr. Floersheimer expressed concern that all of the changes had already been made. He stated two electrical outlets were installed that protruded six inches from the building and thought they should be addressed. Mr. Portuondo stated he would look into the issue.

Mr. Small asked staff if the electrical outlets were approved. Mr. Castro responded.

Motion made by Ms. Grace and seconded by Mr. Garrison to approve the project as presented. Motion carried 5-2, with Ms. Shiverick and Mr. Small opposed. This motion was approved with the condition that the owner did voluntarily agree to dedicate a utility easement supporting the undergrounding project subject to the satisfaction of the Town.

Clerk's note: Mr. Sammons was experiencing technical difficulties and therefore Ms. Catlin voted in his absence for this project.

<u>A-009-2020 Addition</u>
Address: 114 Clarke Ave.
Applicant: Roger and Melanie Lawson
Professional: Harold J. Smith/Smith and Moore Architects
Project Description: A 376 square foot, one story garage addition to an existing two story single family residence. Minor changes to hardscape and landscape.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by several members.

Mr. Small noted that this project had already secured a utility easement.

Mr. Smith presented the architectural modifications proposed for the existing residence. Mr. Smith stated that the neighbor to the south wrote a letter of support for the project.

Mr. Small asked for public comments. Mr. Falco stated no one requested to speak on the project.

Mr. Corey was in favor of the project but suggested using a nicer garage door. Mr. Smith responded.

Ms. Shiverick thought the changes were positive for the space.

Motion made by Ms. Shiverick and second by Mr. Garrison to approve the project as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

<u>A-010-2020 Landscape Modifications</u>
Address: 1338 N. Lake Way
Applicant: Sailfish Club of Florida, Inc.
Professional: Steve West/Parker Yannette Design Group
Project Description: Remove existing Ficus hedges along north and east property line and replace with new vegetation including mixed palm trees and Florida native privacy hedges.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by several members.

Mr. West presented the landscape and hardscape modifications proposed for the existing commercial site.

Mr. Small asked for public comments. Mr. Falco stated no one requested to speak on the project.

Mr. Small asked if the owner did voluntarily agree to dedicate a utility easement supporting the undergrounding project subject to the satisfaction of the Town. Mr. West agreed to the easement.

Mr. Garrison thought the changes were a great improvement to the site and added he was in favor of the project.

Mr. Corey thought the plan was well thought out. Mr. Corey suggested that the Sailfish Club plant some Mahogany trees on the east side of the property to match the other Mahogany trees.

Many of the Commissioners thought the changes were positive.

Mr. Floersheimer expressed concern for the narrow planting area and asked Mr. West if he would use a portion of the parking spaces. Mr. West responded. Mr. Floersheimer asked if there were any plans to add curbing. Mr. West responded.

Motion made by Mr. Corey and seconded by Ms. Grace to approve the project as presented. Motion carried unanimously. This motion was approved with the condition that the owner did voluntarily agree to dedicate a utility easement supporting the undergrounding project subject to the satisfaction of the Town.

A-011-2020 Modifications
Address: 420 Brazilian Ave.
Applicant: Ronald and Eleanor Gross
Professional: M. Mark Marsh/Bridges, Marsh & Associates
Project Description: Modifications to an approved renovation of the existing two story house. Request exterior shutter change from louver style, to solid panel style. Add second story window on West elevation in bedroom #4. Install full lite sliding glass doors in lieu of muntin lite pattern.

Clerk's note: This project was withdrawn from the agenda at the Approval of the Agenda, Item VI.

A-012-2020 Modifications
Address: 1178 N. Ocean Blvd.
Applicant: 1178 Ocean LLC (Robert J. Buford, Manager)
Professional: Nicholas Fobes/Hoerr Schaudt Landscape Architects
Project Description: Changes to pool, spa, landscape and hardscape at the beach cabana of a previously approved project.

Clerk's note: This project was deferred to the July 29, 2020 meeting at the Approval of the Agenda, Item VI.

MAJOR PROJECTS – OLD BUSINESS

Clerk's note: The following project was moved to this location at the Approval of the Agenda, Item VI.

B-028-2020 Additions/Modifications
Address: 110 Clarendon Ave.
Applicant: North Fifth LLC
Professional: MP Design and Architecture Inc.
Project Description: Removal of existing pool house and breezeway. No change to the North elevation (front). New pool house, garage and driveway on south of the property. Replace existing windows and doors with new impact rated. Addition of new loggia and terrace. New landscape, hardscape and relocation of pool to be submitted on a later meeting.

A motion carried at the April meeting to defer the project to the May 27, 2020 meeting. A motion carried at the May meeting to approve the project as presented, with the exception of the guest house, which would return to the Commission in one month, at the June 24, 2020 meeting.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by several members.

Michael Perry, MP Design and Architecture, presented the architectural modifications proposed for the guest house.

Matt Jackman, Nievera Williams Design, presented the landscape and hardscape modifications proposed for the guest house.

Mr. Small asked for public comments. Mr. Falco stated no one requested to speak on the project.

Mr. Garrison thought the changes added character to the new guest house. He stated he was in favor with the project. Ms. Grace agreed with Mr. Garrison.

Mr. Corey thought the guest house needed more reduction and should appear subservient to the main home. He thought the guest house should be scaled down and brought back to the Commission for approval.

Ms. Shiverick thought the Commissioners comments were addressed and added that she was in favor of the guest house reduction.

Mr. Sammons did not think the changes were positive and stated he could not support the project.

Ms. Catlin thought the living wall was improved and added she would leave the architectural details to the architects.

Ms. Grace asked the professional to address the comments made by Mr. Sammons. Mr. Perry responded.

Motion made by Mr. Garrison and seconded by Ms. Shiverick to approve the project as presented. Motion carried 5-2, with Messrs. Corey and Sammons opposed.

B-074-2019 Additions & Modifications
ARCOM TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS RELATIVE TO SPECIAL EXCEPTION WITH SITE PLAN REVIEW AND VARIANCE(S)
Address: 125 Worth Avenue
Applicant: 125 Worth Partners LLC
Professional: Jose Luis Gonzalez Perotti/Portuondo Perotti Architects

Project Description: The project consists of the façade renovation and addition to an existing four-story 1970's building. The fourth-floor structure will be removed and replaced with four new luxury residential apartments, and trellis gardens. The façade will be renovated with new architectural screens, white brick veneer and exposed concrete accents that will enhance the aesthetic of the building for its users and pedestrians alike. The addition component consists of a new one-story commercial structure with a roof top trellised courtyard and a two-story elevator tower.

ZONING INFORMATION: A request for Site Plan Review modification approval for revitalization, renovation and expansion of the 45-year-old nonconforming commercial building located at 125 Worth Avenue in the C-WA zoning district. The building will be completely renovated architecturally using design themes found in the Worth Avenue Design Guidelines. In addition, a two-story addition is being proposed on the east end of the property. To make this project financially feasible, the owners are requesting to demolish and rebuild the existing fourth story and expand its footprint to add four residential units. In addition to the Site Plan Review proposed modifications, the applicant is requesting the following Special Exceptions and Variances required to complete the project: 1. Per Section 134-1163(8)b., a special exception for a two-story and fourth-story addition. The existing building is four stories but it is being expanded. 2. Per Section 134-2182(b), a special exception for on-site shared parking, subject to a professional shared parking analysis. 3. Per Section 134-419, a variance to allow an expansion of an existing nonconforming building by increasing the height from 53 feet in lieu of the 49 feet 2 inches existing and the 25-foot maximum allowed by code. 4. Section 134-419, a variance to allow an expansion of an existing nonconforming building by increasing the overall building height to 63 feet 4 inches in lieu of the 53 feet 8 inches existing and the 35-foot maximum allowed by current code. 5. Per Section 134-419, a variance to allow an expansion of an existing nonconforming building by increasing the existing air-conditioned floor area of the fourth story to 13,212.9 square-feet from 3,448.75 square-feet existing. An open fourth story trellis of 5,433 square-feet is also proposed in this application and included in the calculation of lot coverage, below. There is an existing exterior fourth floor covered area of approximately 3,290 square-feet in addition to the existing air-conditioned floor area on the fourth story of the building. 6. Per Section 134-1163(5), variance to allow a minimum front yard setback of 1-foot 1 inch for portions of the building in lieu of the 5 feet existing and the 5 feet minimum required on the private property. The sidewalk is required to be a minimum of 10 feet wide and this proposal is a minimum of 8 feet 2 inches in the area where the sidewalk is only 1 foot 1 inch wide on private property. 7. Per Section 134-1163(9)b., variance for lot coverage of 71% on the first floor in lieu of the 57% existing and the 35% maximum allowable. 8. Per Section 134-1163(9)b., variance for lot coverage of 66% on the second floor in lieu of the 57% existing and the 35% maximum allowed for second story. 9. Per Section 134-1163(9)b., variance for lot coverage of 54% on the fourth floor in lieu of the 20% existing and the 35% maximum allowable by code.

A motion carried at the December meeting to defer the project to the February 26, 2020 meeting. A motion carried at the February meeting to defer the project to the March 25, 2020 meeting at the request of the attorney. A motion carried at the March meeting to defer the project to the April 29, 2020 meeting due to the COVID-19 situation. A motion carried at the April meeting to defer the project to the May 27, 2020 meeting. A motion carried at the May meeting to defer the project to the June 24, 2020 meeting at the request of the attorney.

Clerk's note: This project was deferred to the July 29, 2020 meeting at the Approval of the Agenda, Item VI.

Clerk's note: A short break was taken at 10:29 a.m. The meeting resumed at 10:40 a.m.

B-009-2020 New Cabana
Address: 1360 N. Ocean Blvd.
Applicant: Katherine J. Henry
Professional: M. Mark Marsh/Bridges Marsh & Associates, Inc.
Project Description: Construction of a beach cabana and site improvements. New doors and windows at the existing house.

A motion carried at the February meeting to defer the project to the March 25, 2020 meeting for a restudy of the project. A motion carried at the March meeting to defer the project to the April 29, 2020 meeting due to the COVID-19 situation. A motion carried at the April meeting to defer the project to the May 27, 2020 meeting. A motion carried at the May meeting to defer the project to the June 24, 2020 meeting giving consideration to all of the comments from the Commissioners.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by several members.

Maura Ziska, Attorney for the project, discussed the approval that the project had received from the Town Council for the beach cabana.

Mr. Marsh presented the architectural modifications proposed for the beach cabana.

Dustin Mizell, Environment Design Group, presented the landscape and hardscape modifications for the beach cabana.

Mr. Small asked for public comments. Mr. Falco stated no one requested to speak on the project.

Mr. Garrison stated he supported the project. Mr. Ives agreed.

Ms. Grace was in favor of the reduction of the beach cabana wall and lattice work. She was not in favor of the changes to the main home. Her issues with the main home related to the fenestration.

Mr. Corey was in favor of the beach cabana. Mr. Corey expressed concern for the amount of fenestration on the east elevation.

Ms. Shiverick agreed with Mr. Corey's comments on the east elevation fenestration. She also was in favor of the previous double hung windows. Ms.

Shiverick was in favor of the proposed changes with the cabana. She inquired if the windows on the north side of the cabana were operable. Mr. Marsh responded. Ms. Shiverick asked about the proposed fire pit design. Mr. Mizell responded. Ms. Shiverick suggested adding lanterns or a hanging lantern at the front door. Mr. Marsh stated he would propose a hanging lantern.

Mr. Sammons questioned the fenestration design on the east façade. He added that he could support the cabana changes but not the changes to the main home.

Ms. Catlin thought the cabana changes were nice. Ms. Catlin thought the changes to the home removed the character from the home.

Mr. Floersheimer agreed with the other Commissioners but felt the roof pitch of the cabana could still be reduced.

Mr. Small agreed with the comments of the other Commissioners on the main home. Mr. Small also thought the beach wall should be reduced to 30 inches rather than 36 inches.

Mr. Marsh further explained the design and intent of the project and advocated for the proposed changes.

Mr. Small asked if the owner did voluntarily agree to dedicate a utility easement supporting the undergrounding project subject to the satisfaction of the Town. Mr. Marsh agreed to the easement.

Mr. Corey inquired if Mr. Small thought the roof pitch of the beach cabana was acceptable. Mr. Small thought the roof pitch was acceptable.

Motion made by Mr. Corey and seconded by Ms. Grace to approve the beach cabana and landscaping proposed with the caveat that the ocean wall is lowered from 36 inches to 30 inches and to defer the modifications to the main house to the July 29, 2020 meeting, for a restudy in accordance with the comments from the commissioners. Motion carried 6-1, with Mr. Ives opposed. This motion was approved with the condition that the owner did voluntarily agree to dedicate a utility easement supporting the undergrounding project subject to the satisfaction of the Town.

Maura Ziska, attorney for the owner, objected to the motion and listed her objections.

B-011-2020 Demolition/New Construction
Address: 217 Sandpiper Dr.
Applicant: Valley Property Management LLC (Philip Cambo)
Professional: Gregory Bonner/B1Architect

Project Description: A new proposed one-story single family residence approximately 5,120 total square feet in a Bermuda architectural style.

A motion carried at the February meeting to approve the demolition request. A second motion carried to defer the project to the March 25, 2020 meeting for a restudy based on the comments from the Commissioners. A motion carried at the March meeting to defer the project to the April 29, 2020 meeting due to the COVID-19 situation. A motion carried at the April meeting to defer the project to the May 27, 2020 meeting. A motion carried at the May meeting to approve the project with the following conditions: the color of the shutters would be changed to a lighter color, the removal of the pediment on the south elevation (rear) of the residence, to restudy the cabana with the design to return to the June 24, 2020 meeting.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by several members.

Mr. Bonner presented the architectural modifications for the project.

Mr. Small asked for public comments. Mr. Falco stated no one requested to speak on the project.

Mr. Garrison thought the changes were positive.

Ms. Grace confirmed the proposed color of the brackets and wood columns was brown. Mr. Bonner responded. Ms. Grace thought there was too much brown in the details.

Ms. Shiverick agreed with Ms. Grace and thought the brackets, wood columns and pergola would look better in white. She also inquired about outdoor shower and bathroom area. Mr. Bonner responded. She was not in favor of the proposed hedge in front of the outdoor shower and suggested using wood to frame the area. She also thought the wood trim should be changed to white.

Mr. Sammons made a suggestion for the pergola. He thought the detailing was poor and added that the windows should be recessed.

Ms. Catlin agreed with Ms. Shiverick about the hedge in the shower area. She recommended using a lattice covered in vegetation.

Mr. Floersheimer asked for clarification on the outdoor fireplace and barbeque area. Mr. Bonner responded.

Ms. Grace asked if the professional would be interested in recessing the windows, which was suggested by Mr. Sammons. Mr. Bonner stated he thought that was a good suggestion along with changing the color of the proposed pergola and brackets to white.

Mr. Sammons further explained his comments regarding the windows to Mr. Bonner.

Mr. Small reviewed the previous approval with Mr. Bonner and asked for confirmation that the requested changes had been completed. Mr. Bonner provided confirmation.

Motion made by Ms. Shiverick and seconded by Mr. Garrison to approve the project as presented with the caveat that the recessed windows and shower area would return to the Commission at the July 29, 2020 meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

B-013-2020 Additions/Modifications
Address: 1620 S. Ocean Blvd.
Applicant: Anthony and Lynda Lomangino
Professional: Harold J. Smith/Smith and Moore Architects, Inc.
Project Description: Additions and alterations to an existing two-story residence. Landscape and hardscape revisions.

A motion carried at the March meeting to defer the project to the April 29, 2020 meeting due to the COVID-19 situation. A motion carried at the April meeting to defer the project to the May 27, 2020 meeting. A motion carried at the May meeting to approve the landscape and hardscape changes as presented. A second motion carried defer the project for one month, to the June 24, 2020 meeting, to restudy the potential heaviness and restudy where it could be lightened, and to reduce the moldings.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by several members.

Mr. Smith presented the architectural modifications proposed for the existing residence.

Mr. Garrison was in favor of the changes.

Mr. Ives thought that most of the changes were positive but still thought the front façade was heavy.

Mr. Corey inquired about the column size on the second floor loggia. Mr. Smith responded. Mr. Corey inquired about the Corinthian columns and asked if Mr. Smith thought about simplifying them. Mr. Smith responded. Mr. Corey thought the changes were an improvement.

Ms. Shiverick thought the house itself was heavy but appreciated the reductions and simplifications that were made. She stated she could support the project.

Mr. Sammons stated that he still could not support the project and stated the project was unredeemable. He stated his objections for the project.

Ms. Catlin appreciated that the owner was making changes to the existing home rather than demolishing the home. She thought the modifications proposed were respectful. Mr. Small agreed.

Ms. Grace thought the changes were an improvement. She asked Mr. Smith to address Mr. Sammons' comments. Mr. Smith responded.

Motion made by Mr. Garrison and seconded by Ms. Shiverick to approve the project as presented. Motion carried 6-1, with Mr. Sammons opposed.

Clerk's note: A lunch break was taken at 12:11 p.m. The meeting resumed at 12:48 p.m.

B-015-2020 Awnings
ARCOM TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS RELATIVE TO SPECIAL EXCEPTION WITH SITE PLAN REVIEW AND VARIANCE(S)
Address: 247 Worth Avenue
Applicant: Jane Holzer
Professional: Keith Spina/Spina O'Rourke
Project Description: Install a combination of new awnings consisting of a fixed awning connecting to the via to the front door of the restaurant and a retractable awning over the outdoor dining area to be used during inclement weather.

ZONING INFORMATION: 1) Sec. 134-1159 (a)(6), Sec. 134-329 and Sec. 134-229: A request to modify the previously approved Special Exception with Site Plan Review to add 51 additional seats to the previously approved 109 seat, 3,590 square foot restaurant ("Le Bilboquet") on both the 1st and 2nd floor in the rear of the via located at 247 Worth Avenue (160 total proposed seats). In addition, to add 594.5 square feet on the back of the building to house a cooler/storage area and elevator and two retractable awnings totaling 512 square feet over the via. There are also two existing permanent awnings that will be replaced with three awnings of the same approximate size. There is also an existing awning on Worth Avenue that will be replaced with an awning of the same approximate size. There will be new mechanical equipment located on the roof that will be screened. Additionally, the restaurant plans to have background music in the via. 2) Sec. 134-1159 (a) (6), Sec. 134-2176 and Sec. 134-2001: A request for Special Exception with Site Plan Review modification to allow 58 seats to be outside in the via in lieu of the 48 seats previously approved. 3) Sec. 134-1161 (a): A variance to have 58 outdoor seats over the Inside capacity. The Code does not allow outdoor seating above the Indoor capacity of the tenant space. 4) Sec. 134-1163 (7): A variance request to have a rear yard setback of 5.5 feet for the elevator and 2.5 feet for the cooler/storage building in lieu of the 10 foot minimum required In the C-WA Zoning District. 5) Sec. 134-1163(9): A variance request to allow a lot coverage for the elevator, cooler/storage building and retractable awnings of 84.6 % in lieu of the 72.4 % existing and the 35 % maximum allowed in the C-WA Zoning District for a two story building. 6) Sec. 134-1163(11): A request for a landscaped open space to be 3.5 % in lieu of the 4 % existing and the 25 % minimum required in the C-WA Zoning District for a two story building. 7) Sec. 134-2175, Sec. 134-329 and Sec. 134-229: A request for a variance to provide zero (0) on-site parking spaces in lieu of the required 24 parking spaces that would be required under the principle of equivalency for the additional 51 seats being requested and the new elevator and 494 square foot cooler/storage building that is being added. A variance was previously approved to eliminate the requirement of 29 parking spaces.

A motion carried at the March meeting to defer the project to the April 29, 2020 meeting due to the COVID-19 situation. A motion carried at the April meeting to defer the project to the May 27, 2020 meeting. A motion carried at the May meeting to defer the project for one month, to the June 24, 2020 meeting to return to the Commission with the details requested.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by several members.

Mr. Spina presented the architectural modifications proposed for the commercial space.

Mr. Small asked the professional to explain, with specificity, the zoning requests as they related to the architecture.

Maura Ziska, Attorney for the applicant, explained the zoning requests and discussed specifically what the Commission should be reviewing.

Mr. Castro stated that the variance relating to the landscaping open space was no longer relevant due to the increase of proposed landscape. He added that the variances related to the seating and the parking requirements did not need action from the Commission.

Mr. Small inquired about the portions of the project that needed approval from the Commission. Mr. Castro responded.

Mr. Spina continued with his presentation.

Dustin Mizell, Environment Design Group, presented the landscape and hardscape modifications proposed for the commercial site.

Mr. Small asked for public comments. Mr. Falco stated no one requested to speak on the project.

Mr. Garrison asked for confirmation that the coolers would not be seen due to the landscaping proposed, as well as confirmation that the screening on the roof was tall enough to screen the equipment. Mr. Spina responding. Mr. Garrison thought the changes would improve the building.

Mr. Ives was in support of the project.

Ms. Grace inquired if the previous staff approvals were valid or if the Commission was reviewing the whole project. Mr. Castro responded. A short discussion ensued. Ms. Grace was in favor of the Dominican shell stone pavers proposed. She thought some additional features could be added to the via and courtyard. She made a few suggestions. Ms. Grace was not in favor of the pendant globe lighting proposed for the via.

Mr. Corey reviewed the list of items that he believed the Commission was reviewing. He also reviewed the list of the variance requests. Mr. Castro provided confirmation. Mr. Corey inquired about the egress from the space. Mr. Castro responded. Mr. Corey expressed concern for the egress in the rear of the building. He also expressed concern for the cooler and storage spaces in the rear of the building. Mr. Castro stated a cross access agreement would be required from the owners of the parking lot. A discussion ensued. Mr. Corey thought the cooler and storage spaces were too large and they were creating circulation problems. Mr. Corey took issue with the project description and found it to be incorrect. Mr. Castro responded.

Ms. Shiverick thought the architecture was pleasing. She agreed with Ms. Grace and was not in favor of the globe lighting proposed. Ms. Shiverick inquired about the seating on the second floor. Mr. Castro responded. Ms. Shiverick was in favor of the project. Ms. Shiverick asked if the extra seats of the second floor affected the parking requirements. Mr. Castro responded. Ms. Shiverick stated she was in favor of the project and thought the location was good.

Clerk's note: Mr. Sammons declared a conflict of interest for the project and recused himself from the discussion.

Ms. Catlin appreciated the changes that were made as a result from the last meeting. She was happy with the improved look of the via. She was in favor of the architecture.

Mr. Floersheimer was in favor of the overall project however he did express a concern for the rear setback and shared similar concerns expressed by Mr. Corey. He did not believe the cooler and storage buildings were successfully designed on the rear of the building. Mr. Castro responded.

Mr. Cooney thought the changes improved the building and expressed concern for the loss of the retractable awnings that were previously proposed. Mr. Small agreed.

Mr. Small stated he was in favor of the proposed changes to the via but did express concern for the proposed variances and stated he could not support the requests.

Mr. Garrison expressed concern for the lack of space in the rear of the property as well as the functionality of the space. Mr. Spina responded and advocated for the project. Mr. Castro stated that while the project received a staff approval for the changes, they changes still needed Town Council approval.

Mr. Small pointed out that the coolers and the elevators were the reason the rear setbacks were needed. Mr. Spina stated that the existing building was a non-conforming building. A discussion ensued about the variance requests.

Ms. Grace inquired if the storage spaces could be placed upstairs if the applicant was not requesting additional seating.

Jane Holzer, owner of the building and partial owner of the parking lot, advocated for the cooler and storage spaces on the first floor. She advocated for the addition of the restaurant on Worth Avenue.

Mr. Small asked if it was possible to deed a portion of the property of the parking lot for this project. Ms. Holzer responded. Mr. Castro stated a cross access agreement would be required in perpetuity.

Motion by Mr. Corey to approve the project as presented with the caveat that rear trash, elevator and two variances were resolved and the necessary easement is obtained. Motion failed for lack of a second.

Mr. Garrison tried to clarify the motion. A discussion continued on a possible motion.

Motion made by Mr. Ives and seconded by Ms. Shiverick that implementation of the proposed variances will not cause negative architectural impact to the subject property. Motion carried 4-3, with Messrs. Small, Corey and Ms. Grace opposed.

A second motion made by Mr. Ives and seconded by Ms. Shiverick to approve the project as presented with the caveat to change the globe lanterns in the via to a lantern that is more Mediterranean in style. Motion carried 6-1, with Mr. Corey opposed.

Clerk's note: A short break was taken at 2:19 p.m. The meeting resumed at 2:30 p.m.

<u>B-017-2020 Demolition/New Construction</u>
Address: 260 Plantation Rd.
Applicant: FS Partners LLC
Professional: Roger Janssen/Dailey Janssen Architects
Project Description: Demolition of existing residence, landscape, hardscape and pool. Construction of a new two-story residence, landscape, hardscape and pool.

A motion carried at the April meeting to defer the project to the May 27, 2020 meeting. A motion carried at the May meeting to approve the demolition request as presented. A second motion carried to defer the project for one month, to the June 24, 2020 meeting, for a restudy based on the comments from the Commissioners.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by several members.

Mr. Janssen presented the architectural modifications proposed for the new, two-story project.

Matt Jackman, Nievera Williams Design, stated that the landscape and hardscape plans had not changed.

Mr. Garrison was in favor of the architectural modifications. Mr. Garrison confirmed that the hedge would remain during construction. Mr Jackman provided confirmation.

Ms. Grace was in favor of the architectural modifications but suggested changing the front door to a different material other than Mahogany.

Mr. Corey was in favor of the changes and appreciated the trees proposed to be planted next to the street.

Ms. Shiverick thanked Mr. Janssen for changing the shutters and agreed with Ms. Grace on the suggested change to the front door. She recommended cypress for the front door and railings. Mr. Janssen agreed.

Mr. Sammons preferred a wider door and thought the molding around the door could be increased. He also suggested decreasing the floor to floor height.

Ms. Catlin was in favor of the changes with the exception of the front door. She agreed with Mr. Sammons that the previously proposed door was more fitting.

Mr. Small asked for public comments. Ms. Churney stated no one requested to speak on the project.

Motion made by Ms. Grace and seconded by Mr. Corey to approve the project as presented with the following caveats: the front door color change and a reduction in height by 6 inches.

Mr. Janssen stated that the owners do not want to reduce the ceiling height.

Motion carried 4-3, with Messrs. Garrison, Small and Ms. Shiverick opposed.

<u>B-020-2020 New Construction</u>
Address: 171 Via Bellaria
Applicant: John Robert Tomisch Trust (M. Tim Hanlon, Attorney)
Professional: LaBerge & Ménard Inc.
Project Description: New 8,468 sq. ft. two-story house painted cream with clay tile roof, stone trim. New landscape and hardscape.

A motion carried at the May meeting to defer the project for one month, to the June 24, 2020 meeting, for a massive restudy with every aspect of the design.

Clerk's note: This project was deferred to the July 29, 2020 meeting at the Approval of the Agenda, Item VI.

B-027-2020 Demolition/New Construction
Address: 335 Seabreeze Ave.
Applicant: 65 Kimberly Place LLC
Professional: MP Design and Architecture Inc.
Project Description: Demolition of existing two-story residence, one car garage and pool. Renovation of existing two-story guest house. New four car garage. Existing site wall and motor court to remain. New Landscape.

A motion carried at the April meeting to defer the project to the May 27, 2020 meeting. A motion carried at the May meeting to defer the entire project for one month, to the June 24, 2020 meeting, to allow the professional to return with renderings, more history on the current home and a more thorough demolition plan.

Clerk's note: This project was deferred to the July 29, 2020 meeting at the Approval of the Agenda, Item VI.

MAJOR PROJECTS – NEW BUSINESS
B-032-2020 Additions/Modifications
Address: 220 El Vedado Rd.
Applicant: Robb Turner
Professional: Patrick Segraves/SKA Architect + Planner
Project Description: Addition of approx. 675 sq. ft. loggia and a stand-alone gym of approx. 840 sq. ft.

Clerk's note: This project was deferred to the July 29, 2020 meeting at the Approval of the Agenda, Item VI.

B-033-2020 Additions/Modifications
ARCOM TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS RELATIVE TO SPECIAL EXCEPTION WITH SITE PLAN REVIEW AND VARIANCE(S)
Address: 131 Seaview Ave.
Applicant: Matthew and Anne Iorio
Professional: Thomas M. Kirchhoff/Kirchoff & Associates Architects
Project Description: Complete restoration of 1936 Gustav Maass designed residence. Return to original Monterey style. Demolition of inappropriate prior additions. New two-story addition of family room and guest bedroom. New open loggia, new pool, hardscape and landscaping. Associated changes as presented.

ZONING INFORMATION: Section 134-8939(c): Special Exception with Site Plan Review to allow the renovation of an existing two story residence and swimming pool by demolishing more than 50% cubic footage on portions of platted lots with a depth of 97.12 feet in lieu of the 100 foot minimum required in the R-B Zoning District and an area of 9,712 in lieu of the 10,000 square foot minimum required in the R-B Zoning District. The request is to demolish a 1 story/237 square foot exercise room in the rear of the house; a 1 story/720 square foot Florida room in the rear of the house; and add a 386 square foot two story family room (first floor) and bedroom (second floor); and a 292 square foot loggia. The following variances are being requested: 1) Section 134-893(b)(5): a front yard setback of 24.9 feet in lieu of the 30 foot minimum setback required; 2) Section 134-893(b)(7): a west side yard setback of 4.9 feet in lieu of the 4.9 feet existing and the 15 foot minimum setback required; 3) Section 134-893(b)(11): a lot coverage of 31.3% in lieu of the 30% maximum allowed; 4) Section 134-893(b)(13): a cubic content ratio of 6.90 in lieu of the 6.99 existing and the 4.03 maximum allowed; 5) Section 134-893(b)(12): a landscape open space of 33% in lieu of the 45% minimum required; 6) Section 134-1757: an east side yard setback for the swimming pool of 6 feet in lieu of the 10 foot minimum required.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by several members.

Mr. Kirchhoff presented the architectural modifications proposed for the existing residence.

Mr. Small asked if the owner did voluntarily agree to dedicate a utility easement supporting the undergrounding project subject to the satisfaction of the Town. Mr. Kirchhoff stated he did not know the implications of the request. Mr. Castro recommended that Mr. Kirchhoff speak with Steven Stern.

Mr. Small asked for public comments. Mr. Falco stated no one requested to speak on the project.

Mr. Garrison thought the changes would enhance the neighborhood.

Mr. Ives was in favor of the project.

Ms. Grace thought the changes proposed were charming and would add character to the neighborhood. Ms. Grace asked for clarification for the type of door proposed as well as the hand rail proposed. Mr. Kirchhoff responded. Ms. Grace stated she preferred the two panel door.

Mr. Corey and Ms. Shiverick thought the home was nicely designed.

There was a consensus of the Commission that the changes would enhance the beauty of the neighborhood.

Keith Williams, Nievera Williams Design, presented the landscape and hardscape changes proposed for the existing residence.

Mr. Garrison was in favor of the landscape plan. He inquired about the perimeter hedge and whether it would remain during construction. Mr Williams responded and stated he would keep the hedge or construct a fence to protect the neighbors.

Mr. Corey requested that the professional consider changing the Green Buttonwood trees to Seagrape trees and moving them towards the street.

Many of the Commissioners were in favor of the landscape and hardscape plan.

Motion made by Mr. Garrison and seconded by Ms. Shiverick that implementation of the proposed variances will not cause negative architectural impact to the subject property. Motion carried unanimously.

A second motion made by Mr. Corey and seconded by Mr. Garrison to approve the project as presented with the caveat that the professional considers changing the Green Buttonwood tree to a Seagrape tree and placing it next to the street. Motion carried unanimously.

<u>B-034-2020 Additions/Modifications</u>
ARCOM TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS RELATIVE TO SPECIAL EXCEPTION WITH SITE PLAN REVIEW AND VARIANCE(S)
Address: 2730 S. Ocean Blvd.
Applicant: The Ambassador Hotel Cooperative Apartment Corp., A Florida Corporation. (Richard Schlesinger, President)
Professional: Richard Sammons/Fairfax, Sammons and Partners
Project Description: Additions and interior and exterior renovations to the buildings at 2730 S. Ocean Blvd. Landscape and hardscape changes included.

<u>ZONING INFORMATION:</u> The following zoning relief is being requested: 1. Section 134-1055 (16.): Special Exception approval to modify the existing condo-hotel use in the R-D(2) Zoning District. 2. Section 134-327: Site plan approval for the modifications to the existing condo-hotel site as identified above. 3. Section 134-1064: Special exception approval for the new balconies on the third, fourth and fifth floors. 4. Section 134-1060 (6)(f): Variance request for the proposed redevelopment to include the addition of balconies on the south side of the building that will encroach into the south side yard setback by a 30 inches thus a variance request for a setback of 27.5 feet in lieu of the 30 foot minimum required. 5. Section 134-2172: Variance to allow the proposed off-street, valet-operated parking, to be tandem and stacked in lieu of the code required off-street parking standards related to size of spaces and access. The code requires parking spaces to be designed so that a vehicle can be removed without the necessity to move another vehicle. The proposed parking is modifying and adding parking areas designed with stacked (tandem) and lift parking. 6. Section 134-1064: Variance to allow the lot coverage to be 44.9% in lieu of the 23.7% existing and the 22% maximum allowed in the R-D(2) Zoning District for 5 story buildings (the building is 7 stories with a lower level floor area). 7. Section 134-1060(6): Variance to allow a north side yard setback of 15 feet in lieu of the 30 foot minimum required for the under dune garage. 8. Section 134-1064(b)(3): Variance to allow a height of 68.96 feet in lieu of the 62.5 maximum allowed for the Penthouse additions (7th floor).

Clerk's note: This project was deferred to the July 29, 2020 meeting at the Approval of the Agenda, Item VI.

XI. **<u>DISCUSSION ITEM</u>**

1. ARCOM Project Designation Manual

Mr. Bergman asked the Commission to provide comments to the ARCOM Project Designation Manual.

Mr. Bergman discussed roof changes and whether they could be staff approved or reviewed by the Commission. Mr. Bergman asked the Commission for their feedback.

Paul Castro introduced a proposed change in roof material for 225 Jamaica Lane. He added due to the inconsistencies in the ARCOM Project Designation Manual, staff felt it was best to bring the proposed change to the Commission.

Ms. Grace stated she had comments related to the ARCOM Project Designation Manual. Mr. Bergman requested that Ms. Grace email the changes to him.

A lengthy discussion ensued among the Commissioners on whether the proposed roof change, as well as other items, should be reviewed by the Commission opposed to a review by staff. All Commissioners weighed in on this issue.

The Commission decided to allow staff to approve the proposed roof at 225 Jamaica Lane. There was also a decision to bring any roof material changes to the Commission for approval.

XII. **STAFF APPROVALS**

There was no more discussion on this item.

XIII. **ADDITIONAL COMMUNICATION FROM CITIZENS (3 MINUTE LIMIT PLEASE)**

Mr. Falco stated no one was requesting to speak.

XIV. **COMMENTS OF THE ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION AND DIRECTOR OF PLANNING, ZONING AND BUILDING DEPARTMENT**

Mr. Garrison expressed concern for hedges that are removed after receiving approval by the Commission. He thought this was not acceptable. Mr. Small agreed and thought Mr. Garrison had a good point.

Mr. Ives requested that major projects are moved to the front of the agenda for the next meeting.

Ms. Shiverick inquired if Commissioners would be able to call in to a meeting, rather than traveling for a meeting. Mr. Bergman responded. Mr. Castro stated that the current intent was to hold virtual meetings. He added that if the Town Council decided to hold an in-person meeting, the Commissioners would need to be in attendance.

Jay Boodheshwar, Deputy Town Manager, weighed in on this issue, explained the situation and added that the Town Council would have to make a decision on remote participation.

Mr. Sammons expressed concern about projects that are built that do not match what was approved by the Commission. Ms. Catlin agreed and recently saw projects with different landscape plans. A short discussion ensued.

XV. **ADJOURNMENT**

Motion made by Mr. Ives and seconded by Mr. Garrison to adjourn the meeting at 4:17 p.m. Motion carried unanimously.

The next meeting will be held on Wednesday, July 29, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. in the Town Council Chambers, 2nd floor, Town Hall, 360 S County Rd.

Respectfully Submitted,

Michael B. Small, Chairman
ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION

kmc

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE
Sammons, Richard		Architectural Review Commission
MAILING ADDRESS 455 Worth Avenue		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF: ☐ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☑ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY Town
CITY Palm Beach	COUNTY Palm Beach	NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION: Town of Palm Beach
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED June 24, 2020		MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☑ APPOINTIVE

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office MUST ABSTAIN from voting on a measure which would inure to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also MUST ABSTAIN from knowingly voting on a measure which would inure to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent, subsidiary, or sibling organization of a principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies (CRAs) under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

Although you must abstain from voting in the situations described above, you are not prohibited by Section 112.3143 from otherwise participating in these matters. However, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- *You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.* (Continued on page 2)

APPOINTED OFFICERS (continued)

- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, Richard Sammons, hereby disclose that on June 24, 20 20

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one or more)

✓ inured to my special private gain or loss,

___ inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, ______________.

___ inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, ______________.

___ inured to the special gain or loss of ______________, by whom I am retained; or

___ inured to the special gain or loss of ______________ which is the parent subsidiary, or sibling organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

B-015-2020 Awnings

247 Worth Avenue

Continuing Conflict

If disclosure of specific information would violate confidentiality or privilege pursuant to law or rules governing attorneys, a public officer, who is also an attorney, may comply with the disclosure requirements of this section by disclosing the nature of the interest in such a way as to provide the public with notice of the conflict.

6/24/2020
Date Filed

R. Samm
Signature

NOTICE UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED $10,000