



**TOWN OF PALM BEACH
PLANNING, ZONING AND BUILDING
DEPARTMENT**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION
MEETING HELD ON WEDNESDAY, JUNE 16, 2021.**

Please be advised that in keeping with a directive from the Town Council, the minutes of all Town Boards and Commissions will be "abbreviated" in style. Persons interested in listening to the meeting, after the fact, may access the audio of that item via the Town's website at www.townofpalmbeach.com.

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Silvin called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m.

II. ROLL CALL

Richard Rene Silvin, Chairman	PRESENT
Sue Patterson, Vice Chairman	PRESENT
Jacqueline Albarran, Member	PRESENT
Patrick Segraves, Member	PRESENT
Kim Coleman, Member	PRESENT
Anne Fairfax, Member	PRESENT via Zoom (arrived 9:36 a.m.)
Brittain Damgard, Member	PRESENT
Anne Metzger, Alternate Member	PRESENT
Fernando Wong, Alternate Member	PRESENT via Zoom
Bridget Moran, Alternate Member	PRESENT

Staff Members present were:

Wayne Bergman, Director of Planning, Zoning and Building
James Murphy, Assistant Director of Planning, Zoning and Building
Paul Castro, Zoning Manager
Laura Groves van Onna, Historic Preservation Planner
Kelly Churney, Board Secretary to the Landmarks Preservation Commission
Emily Stillings, Preservation Consultant
Janet Murphy, Preservation Consultant

Please note: Ms. Metzger voted in the place of Ms. Fairfax, as Ms. Fairfax was participating via Zoom.

III. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chairman Silvin led the Pledge of Allegiance.

IV. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MAY 19, 2021 MEETING

Motion made by Ms. Albarran and seconded by Ms. Patterson to approve the minutes of the May 19, 2021 meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

V. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Ms. Van Onna stated that staff recommended the deferral of H-004-2021, 235 Dunbar Rd. to the July 21, 2021 meeting due to an insufficient application.

Motion made by Ms. Albarran and seconded by Ms. Patterson to approve the agenda as amended. Motion carried unanimously.

VI. ADMINISTRATION OF THE OATH TO PERSONS WHO WISH TO TESTIFY

Ms. Churney swore in all professionals before each presentation and throughout the meeting as necessary.

VII. COMMUNICATIONS FROM CITIZENS REGARDING NON-AGENDA ITEMS (3 MINUTE LIMIT PLEASE)

There were no comments heard at this time.

VIII. COMMENTS FROM THE LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION MEMBERS

Mr. Silvin thanked the members for their patience for the many virtual zoom meetings. He asked the members to use their call button so that the meeting would run smoothly. He recommended anyone interested in the reason the meetings were resuming in person, they could listen to the Town Council meeting on June 8, 2021. He introduced Sarah Pardue, Planner II, who is new to the Planning, Zoning and Building Department.

Ms. Coleman discussed Scott Snyder's presentation/fund raising efforts. She also discussed a Historic Preservation training session by Aimee Sunny, in which she attended, and added that she learned a lot from Ms. Sunny.

Ms. Metzger inquired about the voting protocol. Mr. Silvin replied.

IX. DISCUSSION ITEMS

1. Key Distinctions Between Landmarks & Historically Significant Buildings

Ms. Van Onna explained the key distinctions between landmarked and historically significant buildings.

Mr. Silvin requested that the list of key distinctions be sent to all of the members. Ms. Van Onna confirmed that she would send the list to the Commission.

Ms. Albarran inquired as to why it seemed that a Historically Significant Building was more restrictive than a Landmarked residence. Ms. Van Onna responded that the State added a requirement that the historically significant buildings had to meet the Secretary of Interior Standards. Ms. Albarran inquired if interiors had to be presented, as they were for tax abatement projects. Ms. Van Onna stated that

requirement was only for tax abatement projects. Ms. Stillings, Murphy Stillings, added that it was generally the public rooms that were reviewed.

Mr. Segraves inquired about the level of the Secretary of Interior's Standards in which the projects would be reviewed. Ms. Van Onna stated she would send the Commissioners some information as well as provide a refresher at an upcoming meeting.

Ms. Coleman inquired why the historically significant buildings were not protected from demolition. Ms. Van Onna stated that the program was developed in effort to benefit property owners who wanted to preserve and renovate their homes.

Ms. Fairfax inquired if the program was reversible in the future. Ms. Van Onna stated that the historically significant building could be requested and rescinded by the homeowner.

Ms. Coleman inquired who decided that the homeowner could decide whether their home was historically significant. Ms. Van Onna clarified that the owner could request this designation but staff studied and determined if it met the criteria.

Mr. Wong inquired whether a historically significant home would be reviewed by the Architectural Review Commission. Ms. Van Onna stated that ARCOM would only review the project if the home was proposed for demolition.

X. PROJECT REVIEW

A. CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS – OLD BUSINESS

1. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #022-2021

LPC TO MAKE RECOMMENDATION RELATIVE TO VARIANCE(S)

Address: 720 S. Ocean Blvd.

Owner/Applicant: MP Design and Architecture Inc.

Professional: MP Design & Architecture

Project Description: The name of the property is "El Solano" residence designed for Mr. Harold Vanderbilt and designed by Addison Mizner in 1919. The following changes are proposed: 300 SF addition at the main level (second floor) with a 2.6' setback in lieu of required 15'; 486 SF pool cabana addition with a 4.9' setback in lieu of required 15'.

A motion carried at the May meeting to defer the project to the June 16, 2021 meeting with the direction that the professional should review the comments from Ms. Sunny, modify the new loggia so that it retains some of the character of the existing Fatio designed loggia, change the two story element massing on the loggia, recess the five foot addition, modify the design of the kitchen window, evaluate the brackets and the capitals in the graphics and research the front gate material to determine if it could be changed to a weathered cypress design.

ZONING INFORMATION: The applicant is requesting approval to renovate and construct additions to the north side of the landmarked residence known as "El Salono" to include a new 486 square foot pool cabana and a 1,159 square foot second and third story addition. The following variances are being requested: 134-843(8): a north side yard setback ranging from 2.6 feet to 5.9 feet for the 2nd and 3rd story addition in lieu of the 15 foot minimum required in the R-A Zoning District. 134-843(8): a north side yard setback of 4.9 feet for the pool cabana in lieu of the 15 foot minimum required in the R-A Zoning District. 134-843(10): a building height of 26.33 for the proposed 3rd story addition in lieu of the 25 foot maximum allowed in the R-A Zoning District.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by several members. *Mr. Silvin announced that Ms. Patterson had a conflict of interest for this project and must leave the meeting. It should be noted that Ms. Moran voted in her absence.*

Michael Perry, MP Design & Architecture Inc., presented the architectural plans proposed for the renovations to the existing, landmarked residence.

Ms. Damgard inquired about the window and doors configuration on the second floor, west elevation. Mr. Perry explained the existing configuration of the doors and windows and explained the owners' request.

Ms. Coleman thought the configuration looked better with the existing French doors. Mr. Perry indicated the owners' wishes. Ms. Damgard agreed with Ms. Coleman.

Ms. Albarran agreed with Ms. Coleman and Damgard. She also inquired about the change to the garage doors. Mr. Perry stated that the existing garage doors were drawn incorrectly. She added that she was happy with the changes.

Ms. Metzger inquired about the Cypress front gates. Mr. Perry stated the gates would need to be entirely replaced and could not be modified. Mr. Perry stated the intent would be to keep the existing gates. Ms. Albarran discussed the timeline when she worked on the gates. A short discussion ensued about the gates. Ms. Metzger requested that the gates be replaced with Cypress gates. Mr. Perry stated he would make sure the gates would be replaced with one of Cypress material.

Ms. Coleman inquired about a possible tour of the home. Mr. Perry stated he would be happy to give a tour to any of the Commissioners.

Mr. Silvin inquired if staff had any comments. Ms. Van Onna provided staff comments.

Mr. Silvin inquired if there were any comments from the public.

M. Timothy Hanlon, representing the Pattersons at 710 S. Ocean Blvd, stated his clients were happy with the changes. He asked for confirmation that there were no increase in height on the shared north boundaries as well as no views from any

of the windows on the north. Mr. Perry provided confirmation. Mr. Hanlon also conveyed appreciation for the owners working with the Pattersons.

Aimee Sunny, Preservation Foundation of Palm Beach, thanked Mr. Perry and the owners for working to preserve the home to match more of the original features to the original design.

Motion made by Ms. Albarran and seconded by Ms. Coleman that implementation of the proposed variances will not cause negative architectural impact to the subject property. Motion carried unanimously, 7-0.

A second motion made by Ms. Albarran and seconded by Ms. Coleman to approve the project as presented with the condition that the French doors on the west elevation will remain and with the possibility that the vehicular gates will be changed to a Cypress material and approved at the staff level. Motion carried unanimously, 7-0.

Margaret Zeidman, President of the Town Council, welcomed the Commissioners back in person to the Town Hall. She was happy to see everyone and added that she would continue to provide feedback on the pandemic.

2. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #023-2021

LPC TO MAKE RECOMMENDATION RELATIVE TO VARIANCE(S)

Address: 100 Four Arts Plaza (Hulitar Garden)

Owner/Applicant: The Society of the Four Arts, Inc. (Dr. Philip B. Rylands)

Professional: Harvey E. Oyer, III, Esq.

Project Description: The Society of the Four Arts ("owner") requests approval of a 6.3 foot tall sculpture known as Tree by Giampaolo Seguso, to be placed in the Hulitar Garden. Giampaolo was born into a family that has produced leading glassblowers on the island of Murano for 22 years. He pioneered a small series of sculptures made of a combination of iron and glass, of which one is entitled Tree, and has an inscribed poem in Italian on the glass pendulum within the Tree. The Owner has obtained Tree for display in the Hulitar Garden. It will be placed under a magnificent tree on Donnell Terrace on the north side of the Dixon Building and would embellish an otherwise unadorned space.

A motion carried at the April meeting to defer the project to the May 19, 2021 meeting due to zoning issues. A motion carried at the May meeting to defer the project to the June 16, 2021 meeting due to zoning issues.

ZONING INFORMATION: Section 134-893(b)(1) - The Society of the Four Arts ("Applicant") requests a variance to allow the reduction in the north street side yard setback to feet 18 ft. in lieu of the 35 ft. minimum required for the installation of a 6 ft. 4-inch sculpture. The Applicant proposes to install the sculpture in the Donnell Terrace, which is an open space plaza on the north side of the Dixon Educational Building.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by Ms. Metzger.

Mr. Oyer provided an overview of the project, provided an explanation of the variances requested and presented the sculpture to the Commissioners.

Dr. Phillip Rylands, The Society of the Four Arts, Inc., discussed the sculpture and why this particular piece was chosen for this location.

Mr. Silvin thanked Dr. Rylands for his presentation and the changes that had been made to the sculpture garden.

Many of the Commissioners supported the request.

Ms. Metzger believed that the sculpture could be seen from the gate.

Mr. Silvin inquired if staff had any comments. Ms. Van Onna provided staff comments.

Mr. Silvin inquired if there were any comments from the public. There were no comments heard at this time.

Motion made by Ms. Albarran and seconded by Ms. Patterson that implementation of the proposed variances will not cause negative architectural impact to the subject property. Motion carried unanimously, 7-0.

A second motion made by Ms. Albarran and seconded by Ms. Patterson to approve the project as presented. Motion carried unanimously, 7-0.

3. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #026-2021

Address: 334 Australian Ave.

Owner/Applicant: Sandy Bottoms Trust

Professional: Patrick Segraves/SKA Architect + Planner

Project Description: Previously approved project (expired) and seeking re-approval with minor changes. Interior demolition of existing Landmark property, to include a proposed 953 sf second floor addition in keeping with the current style of existing home. New windows and doors and final landscape/hardscape to be included. Town Council approval for this project granted December 2019.

A motion carried at the May meeting to defer the project to the June 16, 2021 meeting at the request of the professional.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by Mr. Silvin.

Please note: Patrick Segraves declared a conflict of interest and left the meeting. It should be noted that Ms. Moran voted in his absence.

Daniel Clavijo, SKA Architect + Planner, presented the architectural plans proposed for the modifications to the existing, landmarked residence.

Cory Meyer, Nievera Williams, presented the landscape and hardscape plans proposed for the existing, landmarked residence.

Ms. Patterson thought the changes were simple and elegant. She thought the owner would make the home beautiful.

Ms. Albarran was happy with the changes. She thought the fenestration on the south elevation was much better. She made a recommendation for the upper windows on the east elevation. Mr. Clavijo provided an explanation for the design.

Ms. Moran complimented Nievera Williams on their plans with the photographs.

Ms. Metzger thought the changes were a huge improvement.

Mr. Silvin inquired if staff had any comments. Ms. Van Onna provided staff comments.

Mr. Silvin inquired if there were any comments from the public. There were no comments heard at this time.

Ms. Damgard inquired if the glass doors were appropriate for the home. Mr. Clavijo stated that the existing door was largely glass. He added that they were trying to maintain more of the existing condition with the proposed door.

Heather McQuarrie, owner, thanked the Commission and hoped that they were in favor of the proposed changes.

Motion made by Ms. Patterson and seconded by Ms. Coleman to approve the project as presented. Motion carried unanimously, 7-0.

4. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #031-2021

Address: 205 Royal Palm Way

Owner/Applicant: 205 Royal Palm Way LLC (Alex Griswold)

Professional: Keith Spina/Spina O'Rourke + Partners

Project Description: Scope of work includes new paver hardscape along north property line to accommodate valet and access to Carriage House per previously approved plan. New landscape along north property line with no reduction in total landscape open space. New concrete clock enclosure at NW corner of property with (2) swinging access gates for trash and future undergrounding transformer, (2) new sliding access gates in existing North site wall for (2) future underground transformers, new louvered mechanical equipment access gate & fixed panels in existing opening at north side of existing building. No variances proposed.

A motion carried at the May meeting to defer the project to the June 16, 2021 meeting due to zoning issues.

ZONING INFORMATION: Section 134-1112: Request by the applicant for site plan review modification to the property as follows: 1. Add new pavers along the north property line, next to the Carriage House Club to accommodate valet and Club access for off-site parking as previously approved. 2. Add new landscaping along the north property line. 3. Add a new concrete block wall enclosure with gates at the northwest corner of the property for trash and future undergrounding transformer. 4. Add new sliding access gates in existing perimeter site wall for two future underground transformers. 5. Add new louvered access panels and gates to enclose opening on north side of building to enclose mechanical equipment.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: None.

Nelo Freijomel, Spina O'Rourke + Partners, presented the architectural plans proposed for the modifications to the landmarked site. He also reviewed the landscape and hardscape plans for the site.

Mr. Silvin inquired what the applicant was seeking in terms of traffic flow. Mr. Freijomel responded.

Ms. Coleman thought the changes were wonderful. She thought that gates or doors should hide all of the dumpsters.

Ms. Patterson thought the project was nice and was happy it was cleaning up some unsightly areas.

Mr. Silvin inquired if staff had any comments. Ms. Van Onna provided staff comments.

Mr. Silvin inquired if there were any comments from the public. There were no comments heard at this time.

Mr. Segraves thought the project was good and cleaned up the area.

Motion made by Mr. Segraves and seconded by Ms. Patterson to approve the project as presented. Motion carried unanimously, 7-0.

B. CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS – NEW BUSINESS

1. Application for Certificate of Appropriateness #033-2021

Address: 350 Worth Avenue

Owner/Applicant: Everglades Club Inc. (Scott Lese, General Manager)

Professional: Jason Drobot/Brasseur and Drobot Architects

Project Description: Existing stucco finish to be removed from the 4th, 5th and 6th floor Tower Apartments. Existing sheathing and studs to be repaired where rotted. Existing aluminum Weathershield windows to be replaced with new Pella windows as previously approved for apartments at Via Parigi. New stucco

finish to be applied over new waterproofing membrane applied to repaired sheathing. *****Please note: This is a tax abatement project*****

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: None.

Mr. Drobot presented the architectural modifications proposed for the existing, landmarked building.

Mr. Silvin inquired if staff had any comments. Ms. Van Onna provided staff comments.

Mr. Silvin inquired if there were any comments from the public. There were no comments heard at this time.

Motion made by Ms. Patterson and seconded by Ms. Coleman to approve the project as presented. Motion carried unanimously, 7-0.

C. HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT BUILDINGS – OLD BUSINESS

None

D. HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT BUILDINGS – NEW BUSINESS

1. Application for Historically Significant Building H-003-2021

Address: 245 Barton Avenue

Owner/Applicant: Elizabeth Sorrel

Professional: MP Design & Architecture Inc.

Project Description: 314 square foot, one-story addition at the rear of the property. 26 square foot, one-story reduction at existing street facing garage. Renovate existing pool.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: None.

Michael Perry, MP Design & Architecture Inc., presented the architectural plans proposed for the renovation of the existing, historically significant residence.

Ms. Damgard was delighted to see the change in the garage doors.

Ms. Patterson was in favor of the proposed garage doors and thought they were much nicer than the existing.

Mr. Segraves inquired about the arrangement of the columns on the rear loggia. Mr. Perry stated he could spread out the columns.

Ms. Albarran thought if the columns were widened, the balustrades on the upper terrace would need to be changed to match. Mr. Perry stated he could match the French doors and windows on the upper terrace to the arrangement on the first floor. Mr. Segraves and Ms. Albarran were in favor of that suggestion.

Ms. Metzger inquired about the change in the garage door design. Mr. Perry responded and explained the change in the roofline.

Mr. Silvin inquired if staff had any comments. Ms. Van Onna provided staff comments.

Mr. Silvin inquired if there were any comments from the public.

Aimee Sunny, Preservation Foundation of Palm Beach, thanked Mr. Perry for the changes and discussed her conversations with Mr. Perry. She inquired about the potential change in the doors on the second floor, north elevation. Mr. Perry stated that the original drawings show French doors on the second floor, north elevation.

Motion made by Ms. Damgard and seconded by Ms. Coleman to approve the project as presented with the change to the columns and the French doors on the second floor, north elevation, which would be sent to staff as well as the Chairman, Ms. Albarran and Mr. Segraves for final approval. Motion carried unanimously.

2. Application for Historically Significant Building H-004-2021

Address: 235 Dunbar Rd.

Owner/Applicant: David W. Levinson

Professional: LaBerge & Menard

Project Description: Remove and replace front door; new landscaping.

Please note: This item was deferred to the July 21, 2021 meeting at the approval of the agenda, Item, V.

XI. UNSCHEDULED ITEMS (3 MINUTE LIMIT PLEASE)

1. Public

There were no comments heard at this time.

2. Staff

Ms. Van Onna stated that the condominium manager at 2505 S. Ocean Blvd. requested that the condominium be placed under consideration for landmark status.

Janet Murphy provided a brief history of 2505 S. Ocean Blvd.

Motion made by Ms. Albarran and seconded by Ms. Coleman to place 2505 S. Ocean Blvd. under consideration for landmark designation. Motion carried unanimously, 7-0.

Mr. Bergman reviewed the Landmark projects and issues presented at the May 2021 Town Council Meetings. Mr. Bergman answered questions from the Commissioners.

Mr. Bergman stated that Sue Patterson declared a conflict of interest for the project at 720 S. Ocean Blvd. at the May 19, 2021 meeting and had correctly completed the 8B form in accordance with State Law.

Mr. Bergman stated that Patrick Segraves declared a conflict of interest for the project at 209 Phipps Plaza at the May 19, 2021 meeting and had correctly completed the 8B form in accordance with State Law.

Mr. Silvin further reviewed the discussion regarding the review of docks in the Town and the role of the Commission in the review.

3. Commission

Ms. Coleman inquired about the notice requirements for projects. Mr. Bergman responded.

XII. ADJOURNMENT

Motion made by Ms. Coleman and seconded by Ms. Patterson to adjourn the meeting at 12:29 p.m. Motion carried unanimously.

The next meeting of the Landmarks Preservation Commission will be held on Wednesday, July 21, 2021 at 9:30 a.m. in the Town Council Chambers, 2nd floor, Town Hall, 360 S. County Road.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Richard Rene Silvin", followed by a horizontal line.

Richard Rene Silvin, Chairman
LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION

kmc

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME <i>Segraves, Patrick</i>		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE <i>Landmarks Preservation Commission</i>	
MAILING ADDRESS <i>2500 S. Ocean Blvd., # 2A1</i>		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF:	
CITY <i>Palm Beach</i>	COUNTY <i>Palm Beach</i>	☐ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☒ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY	
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED <i>June 16, 2021</i>		NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION: <i>Town of Palm Beach</i>	
		MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which would inure to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also **MUST ABSTAIN** from knowingly voting on a measure which would inure to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent, subsidiary, or sibling organization of a principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies (CRAs) under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

Although you must abstain from voting in the situations described above, you are not prohibited by Section 112.3143 from otherwise participating in these matters. However, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes. (Continued on page 2)

APPOINTED OFFICERS (continued)

- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, Patrick Segares, hereby disclose that on June 16, 20 21:

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one or more)

- ☒ inured to my special private gain or loss;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _____;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, by whom I am retained; or
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, which is the parent subsidiary, or sibling organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

Continuing Conflict
COA - 026 - 2021
334 Australian Ave.

If disclosure of specific information would violate confidentiality or privilege pursuant to law or rules governing attorneys, a public officer, who is also an attorney, may comply with the disclosure requirements of this section by disclosing the nature of the interest in such a way as to provide the public with notice of the conflict.

6.16.21

Date Filed

Patrick Segares
Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME <i>Patterson, Sue</i>		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE <i>Landmarks Preservation Commission</i>	
MAILING ADDRESS <i>710 S. Ocean Blvd</i>		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF:	
CITY <i>Palm Beach</i>	COUNTY <i>Palm Beach</i>	☐ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☒ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY	
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED <i>June 16, 2021</i>		NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION: <i>Town of Palm Beach</i>	
		MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which would inure to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also **MUST ABSTAIN** from knowingly voting on a measure which would inure to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent, subsidiary, or sibling organization of a principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies (CRAs) under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

* * * * *

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

* * * * *

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

Although you must abstain from voting in the situations described above, you are not prohibited by Section 112.3143 from otherwise participating in these matters. However, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes. (Continued on page 2)

APPOINTED OFFICERS (continued)

- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, Sue Patterson, hereby disclose that on June 16, 20 21:

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one or more)

- ☒ inured to my special private gain or loss;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _____;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, by whom I am retained; or
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, which is the parent subsidiary, or sibling organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

Continuing Conflict
COA- 022- 2021
720 S. Ocean Blvd

If disclosure of specific information would violate confidentiality or privilege pursuant to law or rules governing attorneys, a public officer, who is also an attorney, may comply with the disclosure requirements of this section by disclosing the nature of the interest in such a way as to provide the public with notice of the conflict.

Date Filed

6/16/21

Signature

S. Patterson

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.