



**TOWN OF PALM BEACH
PLANNING, ZONING AND BUILDING
DEPARTMENT**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION
MEETING HELD ON WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 20, 2021.**

Please be advised that in keeping with a directive from the Town Council, the minutes of all Town Boards and Commissions will be "abbreviated" in style. Persons interested in listening to the meeting, after the fact, may access the audio of that item via the Town's website at www.townofpalmbeach.com.

I. CALL TO ORDER

Vice Chair Patterson called the meeting to order at 9:29 a.m.

II. ROLL CALL

Richard Rene Silvin, Chairman	PRESENT (virtually)
Sue Patterson, Vice Chairman	PRESENT
Jacqueline Albarran, Member	PRESENT
Patrick Segraves, Member	PRESENT
Kim Coleman, Member	PRESENT
Anne Fairfax, Member	PRESENT (arrived virtually 9:36 a.m.)
Brittain Damgard, Member	PRESENT
Anne Metzger, Alternate Member	PRESENT
Fernando Wong, Alternate Member	PRESENT
Bridget Moran, Alternate Member	PRESENT

Staff Members present were:

Wayne Bergman, Director of Planning, Zoning and Building
James Murphy, Assistant Director of Planning, Zoning and Building
Sarah C. Pardue, Historic Preservation Planner
Jordan Hodges, Planner II
Kelly Churney, Board Secretary to the Landmarks Preservation Commission
Emily Stillings, Preservation Consultant

*Please note: It was noted that Ms. Metzger would be voting in the absence of Mr. Silvin.
It was also noted that Mr. Wong would be voting in the absence of Ms. Fairfax.*

III. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Vice Chair Patterson led the Pledge of Allegiance.

IV. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE SEPTEMBER 24, 2021 MEETING

Motion made by Ms. Damgard and seconded by Ms. Coleman to approve the minutes of the September 24, 2021 meeting. Motion carried unanimously, 7-0.

V. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Mr. Murphy indicated one modification to the agenda, which was the addition of a presentation by Donovan Rypkema from Place Economics.

Motion made by Mr. Segraves and seconded by Ms. Coleman to approve the agenda as amended. Motion carried unanimously, 7-0.

VI. ADMINISTRATION OF THE OATH TO PERSONS WHO WISH TO TESTIFY

Ms. Churney swore in all professionals before each presentation and throughout the meeting as necessary.

VII. COMMUNICATIONS FROM CITIZENS REGARDING NON-AGENDA ITEMS (3 MINUTE LIMIT PLEASE)

There were no comments heard at this time.

VIII. COMMENTS FROM THE LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION MEMBERS

Ms. Patterson thanked staff for their work on all issues prior to the meeting. She also thanked Aimee Sunny with the Preservation Foundation of Palm Beach as well as Donovan Rypkema for his upcoming presentation.

Mr. Silvin agreed with Ms. Patterson's comments regarding the preparation for the upcoming meetings. He also expressed his excitement for the upcoming presentation by Mr. Rypkema and the message it sends into the community, speaking to the value of Landmarked homes. Mr. Silvin discussed the Planning and Zoning meeting on October 19, 2021, and their discussion of zoning relief for landmarked homes in the Town. He also reminded the Commission that the second day meeting in November would fall on Monday, November 29, 2021.

VIII(a). Presentation by Donovan Rypkema – Landmarks Report

Aimee Sunny, Preservation Foundation of Palm Beach, introduced Donovan Rypkema with Place Economics. She stated that she believed the study would be very valuable.

Donovan Rypkema, Place Economics, presented the results of a several month study on the value of Landmarked homes and buildings in the Town, which showed property values for Landmarked homes went up, not down.

Ms. Damgard thanked the Preservation Foundation for important study and thought it was imperative that the findings are dispersed throughout the community.

Ms. Metzger inquired if Mr. Rypkema had given this type of presentation to other similar communities, and if so, how was the study distributed. Mr. Rypkema responded.

Ms. Coleman wondered how the sample of the data was obtained. Mr. Rypkema responded.

Ms. Sunny stated that the Preservation Foundation was working to share the study throughout the community.

Mr. Silvin stated he had memorized some of the more important statistics so that he could spread the word when he speaks with members from the community.

Ms. Patterson suggested sending Mr. Rypkema's video to the homeowners who are moving through the landmarked process as well as the Palm Beach Civic Association.

IX. PROJECT REVIEW

A. CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS – OLD BUSINESS

1. **COA-21-002, 8 S. LAKE TR.** The applicant, The Lakeside Trust Dated November 19, 2020 (Patrick C. Emans, Trustee), has filed an application requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness approval for modifications to a previously approved COA including minor architectural changes to the fenestration and metal railings to the landmark structure along with landscaping and site work.

A motion carried at the September meeting to approve the architecture. The landscape was deferred to the October 20, 2021 meeting.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure Mses. Patterson, Metzger and Mr. Silvin.

Please note: Fernando Wong declared a conflict of interest and recused himself from the dais.

Gary Rasmussen, Blasen Landscape Architecture, presented the modifications to the landscape and hardscape plans proposed for the existing site. Mr. Rasmussen passed around photographs of a plant material to be used.

Ms. Coleman stated she was glad that some of the Royal palms were being saved.

Mr. Segraves was in favor of some of the changes and thought the look was softer.

Ms. Damgard inquired about the switch from the Florida coral stone to the Dominican coral stone.

Jason Bibbens, Blasen Landscape Architecture, presented the request to replace all the Florida coral stone with Dominican coral stone. He discussed the reasons for the change.

Ms. Patterson inquired if staff had any comments. Ms. Pardue provided staff comments.

Ms. Stillings stated that the previous project had received a tax abatement and the owner was required to keep those improvements for 10 years unless the Commission approved the change. She also added that the tabby concrete was a part of the previous approval and urged the Commission to consider the hardscape changes.

Ms. Patterson called for public comments.

Aimee Sunny, Preservation Foundation of Palm Beach, expressed concern for the proposed changes to the hardscape. She stated it was not in best practice to remove original material for preservation purposes. She showed old plans that detailed the Florida coral stone.

Mr. Bibbens stated that the cap stone material would remain as it existed and was not in their proposal to be changed. He clarified the coral stone, which he proposed to replace, was not original coral stone. He explained that the Dominican coral stone material proposed was in a cream tone and had no brown veining.

Ms. Coleman sought clarification of where the coral stone was to be replaced. Mr. Rasmussen responded. She questioned whether the change was appropriate due to the color or veining that was associated with Dominican coral stone. Mr. Rasmussen responded.

Ms. Albarran stated she was not in favor of Dominican coral stone. She was happy to hear Ms. Stillings and Sunny support the coral stone.

Ms. Metzger remembered a previous project for this home where the Landmarks Commission was particular in requesting that Florida coral stone be used for any replacement.

Mr. Segraves was a proponent of the Florida coral stone. He recommended replacing the coral stone with Florida coral stone.

Ms. Patterson agreed with the previous commissioners.

Mr. Silvin inquired about the qualities of the Dominican coral stone that the owner preferred over other coral stones. Mr. Bibbens responded and stated the reasons the owner preferred the Dominican coral stone. Mr. Bibbens stated that the owner would compromise on some of the areas of the requested replacement. Mr. Bibbens inquired if a material sample of Dominican coral stone would assist the Commission in their decision. Ms. Coleman stated that different batches provide different samples.

Ms. Moran expressed concern for the proposed material change with the condition that was placed in 2018 to keep the Florida coral stone. She also did not believe a patchwork of materials was cohesive.

Ms. Fairfax thought that the request to change the stone to a similar material was in keeping and supported the request.

Ms. Patterson inquired about the compromise that the professionals suggested.

Mr. Bibbens reviewed the areas in which the coral stone would remain as well as the areas in which the cast stone and/or coral stone would be changed to Dominican coral stone.

A discussion ensued about the areas to be changed from one material to Dominican coral stone.

Ms. Albarran confirmed that the Dominican coral stone to be used would not have a gloss over the top. Mr. Bibbens confirmed there would not be a gloss on the top of the stone.

Mr. Segraves expressed concern that there would be a patchwork of materials on the property.

Please note: Brittain Damgard left the meeting at 10:59 a.m.

Ms. Moran did not believe all of the different stones would flow well together, especially from one stone to the next when in close proximity.

Ms. Coleman thought a better presentation, or a site visit was needed to make a decision on the proposal.

A discussion ensued about the mix of materials to be used.

Mr. Segraves stated the Commission needed to understand what areas were historic and how the new areas would look aesthetically.

Motion made by Mr. Segraves and seconded by Ms. Coleman to approve the landscape plans as presented and to defer the hardscape plans to the November 17, 2021 meeting.

Discussion ensued about the timeline of the project and how a one-month delay would affect the project.

Motion carried unanimously, 6-0.

B. CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS – NEW BUSINESS

1. **COA-21-007 425 SEABREEZE AVE.** The applicant, Mr. and Mrs. Tim Murphy, have filed an application requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness approval for modifications to a landmarked structure including architectural changes to the

fenestration and metal railings with landscaping and site work. Note: this residence is under consideration for landmark status.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by several members.

Please note: Patrick Segraves declared a conflict of interest and recused himself from the dais.

Daniel Clavijo, SKA Architect + Planner, presented architectural modifications proposed for the existing home.

Tim Murphy, owner, stated he supported the landmarked designation. He thanked the town for the designation report prepared by Janet Murphy and Emily Stillings. He indicated he was excited about the changes to the home.

Cory Meyer, Nievera Williams Design, presented the landscape and hardscape modifications proposed for the existing property.

Please note: Kim Coleman left the meeting at 11:23 a.m.

Ms. Patterson inquired if staff had any comments. Ms. Pardue provided staff comments.

Ms. Patterson called for public comments.

Aimee Sunny, Preservation Foundation of Palm Beach, thanked the homeowners for supporting the landmarks program. She also thanked the professionals for making changes in response to comments she provided. She showed historic drawings of the home. She suggested the Commission provide the option for two different front door designs as well as provided a suggestion for a railing design.

Ms. Moran suggested they mimic the original front door as suggested by Ms. Sunny. She inquired about the landscaping after the construction on page DM2. Mr. Meyer responded and discussed the landscaping to be used after construction. Ms. Moran inquired about the design for the shutters and indicated that she preferred the louvered design.

Ms. Albarran agreed with Ms. Sunny regarding the front door and hoped they use the original front door design. Ms. Albarran also stated she preferred the original railing design.

Mr. Silvin thanked the owner for his support. Mr. Silvin inquired how Mr. Tim Murphy felt about keeping the original front door as well as the side lights. Mr. Murphy stated he was open to the original front door as well as the railing design, as long as it met code.

Ms. Metzger liked the idea of the glass above the front door. She supported the rest of the design.

Ms. Patterson inquired if the owner would dedicated a utility easement. Mr. Clavijo confirmed the owner would dedicate an easement if necessary.

Motion made by Ms. Albarran and seconded by Ms. Moran to approve the project with the following conditions: the front door is to be designed after the original, historic front door if possible, the railings after to be patterned after the original design if possible, and to use louvered shutters. Motion carried unanimously, 5-0. This application was approved with the condition that prior to the issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall either dedicate and record a utility easement, or enter into an agreement ensuring said easement, if necessary to facilitate utility undergrounding in the area.

2. **COA-21-003 315 TANGIER RD.** The applicant, Guy Endzweig, has filed an application requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness approval for modifications to a previously approved COA including minor exterior landscape changes, hardscape changes, a new driveway installation and other site work.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by Mr. Silvin and Ms. Metzger.

Don Skowron, Don Skowron Incorporated, presented the landscape and hardscape modifications proposed for the existing, landmarked residence.

Ms. Patterson inquired if staff had any comments. Ms. Pardue provided staff comments.

Ms. Patterson called for public comments.

Ms. Stillings asked for clarification on the gate proposed. Mr. Skowron stated the gate shown on L-9 was the correct gate proposed.

Ms. Metzger inquired about the gate material. Mr. Skowron stated the gate would be a white, aluminum gate.

Ms. Moran inquired about the tapered columns proposed for the pergola. Mr. Skowron showed a picture of the existing columns and added that the proposed matched the tapering of the existing.

Please note: Mses. Coleman and Damgard returned at 12:03 p.m.

Ms. Fairfax inquired about the column details. Mr. Skowron responded and stated the plan was to match the existing columns.

Motion made by Mr. Segraves and seconded by Ms. Albarran to approve the project as presented, with the condition that the columns on the pergola will match the existing columns on the loggia. Motion carried unanimously, 7-0.

Please note: A short break was taken at 12:07 p.m. The meeting resumed at 12:20 p.m.

3. **COA-21-004 (ZON-21-015) 264-270 S. COUNTY RD. AND 205 ROYAL PALM WAY (COMBO).** The applicant, Carriage House Properties Partners LLC, has filed an application requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness approval for modifications to a previously approved COA that is a tax abatement project including minor architectural changes to the fenestration, installation of decorative aluminum screen panels, new awnings, and new gates. The variance portion of the application shall be reviewed by Town Council. The application will require special exception and site plan review by Town Council.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by Mr. Silvin and Ms. Metzger and Albarran.

Nelo Freijomel, Spina O'Rourke + Partners, presented the architectural modifications proposed for the existing site. He explained that changes to the fenestration was not a part of the application.

Ms. Patterson inquired if staff had any comments. Mr. Murphy provided staff comments.

Ms. Patterson called for public comments.

Aimee Sunny, Preservation Foundation of Palm Beach, stated her concerns had to do with windows and shutters, which were not before the Commission's review at this time.

Ms. Albarran believed the awnings were fine. She discussed the pedestrian gate design with Mr. Freijomel, particularly the logo openings and the top of the gate.

Mr. Segraves questioned the fringe and scalloped edge of the awning. He questioned if this detail belonged more on the interior and wondered how it would weather. He asked for further details on this proposal. Mr. Freijomel responded.

Ms. Coleman suggested adding a white banding at the bottom of the awning rather than the fringe.

Mr. Freijomel stated he would be happy to recommend a white band rather than the fringe to his client.

Ms. Moran inquired about the return of the awning and wondered if that was a new request. Mr. Freijomel responded. Ms. Moran also agreed that the fringe was not appropriate.

Ms. Fairfax thought that any item that could be removed was more of a whimsy detail; she questioned whether it was appropriate for the Commission to weigh in on this detail.

Mr. Silvín agreed with Ms. Albarrán on her comments on the gate. He also agreed with Ms. Fairfax's comments on the awning.

Mr. Freijomel stated that if approved with the fringe, he would guarantee that the owner would not allow the fringe to appear dirty.

Motion made by Ms. Coleman and seconded by Mr. Segraves to approve the project as presented with the following conditions: a solid white border is added to the bottom of the awning instead of the Bullion fringe proposed, the gate is reworked to eliminate logo openings, and to enclose the top gate detail on South County Road to mirror the gate on Royal Palm Way. Motion carried unanimously, 7-0.

Ms. Damgård inquired about the timeline for completion of the project. Mr. Freijomel responded.

Motion by Ms. Albarrán and seconded by Ms. Coleman that implementation of the proposed variance will not cause negative architectural impact to the subject property. Motion carried unanimously, 7-0.

C. HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT BUILDINGS – OLD BUSINESS

None

D. HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT BUILDINGS – NEW BUSINESS

1. **HSB-21-001 (ZON-21-005) 251 PARK AVE. (COMBO).** The applicant, Patrick McGowan, has filed an application requesting Landmarks Preservation Commission review and approval for the renovation of and modifications to a historically significant building including the construction of a new loggia, minor architectural changes, and overall site work, including variances from the setback, lot coverage and open space requirements. The variance portion of the application shall be reviewed by Town Council.

Call for disclosure of ex parte communication: Disclosure by several members.

Daniel Clavijo, SKA Architect + Planner, presented the architectural modifications proposed to the existing residence.

Steve West, Parker Yannette Design Group, presented the landscape and hardscape modifications proposed to the existing residence.

Ms. Patterson inquired if staff had any comments. Mr. Murphy provided staff comments.

Ms. Patterson called for public comments.

Aimee Sunny, Preservation Foundation of Palm Beach, shared two historic images of the home. She pointed out features of the historic windows in the home, compatible and appropriate for Mission Revival homes, and suggested the professional use similar windows in the proposed project. She also suggested an eight-light, divided casement window would be a compatible window for the porch.

Mr. Silvin commended the owner for bringing the multi-unit building back into a single-family dwelling. He also was happy to see the increased greenspace proposed. Mr. Silvin inquired if a variance was required for the A/C units. Mr. Murphy responded.

Ms. Fairfax stated that she could not recall seeing any four over one windows in Palm Beach and thought the windows warranted a discussion by the Commission. Ms. Sunny stated that the four over one windows were seen all over Florida, especially in Mission Revival style homes. She recommended the owner to use this type of window, or something compatible, if possible. She also recommended including the arch in the four over one windows, were applicable.

Ms. Albarran asked for clarification on the four over one windows. Ms. Sunny responded and provided an explanation on the windows.

Ms. Patterson asked Ms. Sunny's opinion on the windows removed on the north and west elevations. Ms. Sunny stated she did not have any comments on the removal of these windows.

Mr. Clavijo stated he received the comments from staff regarding the four over one windows. Mr. Clavijo stated that the owner did not prefer the four over one windows and explained the reasons. He provided more explanation on the windows they proposed.

Ms. Albarran thought the 8 light windows were appropriate for the front elevation. She questioned the single hung windows proposed on the west elevation. Mr. Clavijo responded and explained the window choice.

Ms. Albarran was supportive of the pergola.

Ms. Patterson also supportive of the pergola.

Ms. Coleman asked about the uneven appliques on the front of the house and wondered if they were existing. Mr. Clavijo confirmed that the appliques on the front of the home were uneven.

Ms. Damgard inquired about the windows proposed on the west elevation. Ms. Albarran explained her concern for the windows on the west elevation.

Ms. Metzger inquired about the original window design. Mr. Clavijo responded.

Motion made by Ms. Albarran and seconded by Ms. Coleman to approve the project as presented with the condition that the first floor casement windows on the south elevation are changed to 8 divided lights and the second floor windows on the south elevation shall be changed to a six over one, double hung window. Motion carried unanimously, 7-0.

A second motion made by Ms. Albarran and seconded by Ms. Coleman that implementation of the proposed variance will not cause negative architectural impact to the subject property. Motion carried unanimously, 7-0. This application was approved with the condition that prior to the issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall either dedicate and record a utility easement, or enter into an agreement ensuring said easement, if necessary to facilitate utility undergrounding in the area.

Mr. Clavijo agreed to the easement requested.

X. UNSCHEDULED ITEMS (3 MINUTE LIMIT PLEASE)

1. Public

Aimee Sunny, Preservation Foundation of Palm Beach, reminded the Commission that the realtors' training symposium would take place on October 21, 2021 from 9:30 am to Noon. She welcomed all to attend and stated there was a complimentary registration available on the Preservation's website.

2. Staff

Mr. Bergman reviewed the Landmark projects and issues presented at the October 2021 Town Council Meetings.

Mr. Bergman stated that Fernando Wong declared a continuing conflict of interest for the project at 8 S. Lake Trail at the September 24, 2021 meeting and had correctly completed the 8B form in accordance with State Law.

Mr. Bergman introduced Jordan Hodges, the new planner in the Planning, Zoning and Building Department.

3. Commission

Mr. Silvin stated that artificial turf would now be reviewed by the ARCOM or LPC Commissions.

Ms. Coleman inquired about the designation hearings for the month of November.

A discussion ensued about the upcoming designation hearings in November.

XI. ADJOURNMENT

Motion made by Mr. Segraves and seconded by Ms. Albarran to adjourn the meeting at 1:42 p.m. The meeting adjourned without the benefit of a roll call.

The next meeting of the Landmarks Preservation Commission will be held on Wednesday, November 17, 2021, at 9:30 a.m. in the Town Council Chambers, 2nd floor, Town Hall, 360 S. County Road.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "S. S. Patterson", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Sue Patterson, Vice Chairman
LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION

kmc

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME <i>Wong, Fernando</i>	NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE <i>Landmarks Preservation Commission</i>
MAILING ADDRESS <i>201 Seaview Ave. #2</i>	THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF: ☐ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☒ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY
CITY <i>Palm Beach</i>	NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION: <i>Town of Palm Beach</i>
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED <i>Oct 20, 2021</i>	MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTEE

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which would inure to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also **MUST ABSTAIN** from knowingly voting on a measure which would inure to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent, subsidiary, or sibling organization of a principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies (CRAs) under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

Although you must abstain from voting in the situations described above, you are not prohibited by Section 112.3143 from otherwise participating in these matters. However, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes. (Continued on page 2)

APPOINTED OFFICERS (continued)

- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, Fernando Wong, hereby disclose that on October 20, 2021:

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one or more)

- ☒ Inured to my special private gain or loss; Previous LA for the property
- ☐ Inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____;
- ☐ Inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _____;
- ☐ Inured to the special gain or loss of _____, by whom I am retained; or
- ☐ Inured to the special gain or loss of _____, which is the parent subsidiary, or sibling organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

Continuing Conflict
CoA - 21-002
85 Lake Trail

If disclosure of specific information would violate confidentiality or privilege pursuant to law or rules governing attorneys, a public officer, who is also an attorney, may comply with the disclosure requirements of this section by disclosing the nature of the interest in such a way as to provide the public with notice of the conflict.

Date Filed

OCT 20 - 2021

Signature



NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME <u>Searcy, Patrick</u>	NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE <u>Landmarks Preservation Commission</u>
MAILING ADDRESS <u>2500 S. Ocean Blvd, #2A1</u>	THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF: ☐ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☒ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY
CITY <u>Palm Beach</u>	NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION <u>Town of Palm Beach</u>
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED <u>October 20, 2021</u>	MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTEE

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which would inure to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also **MUST ABSTAIN** from knowingly voting on a measure which would inure to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent, subsidiary, or sibling organization of a principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies (CRAs) under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

Although you must abstain from voting in the situations described above, you are not prohibited by Section 112.3143 from otherwise participating in these matters. However, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes. (Continued on page 2)

APPOINTED OFFICERS (continued)

- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, Patrick Segaves, hereby disclose that on October 20, 20 21:

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one or more)

- ☐ inured to my special private gain or loss;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _____;
- ☒ inured to the special gain or loss of Tim Murphy, by whom I am retained; or
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, which is the parent subsidiary, or sibling organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

COA - 21-007

425 Seabreeze Avenue

If disclosure of specific information would violate confidentiality or privilege pursuant to law or rules governing attorneys, a public officer, who is also an attorney, may comply with the disclosure requirements of this section by disclosing the nature of the interest in such a way as to provide the public with notice of the conflict.

10.10.21
Date Filed

Signature 

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME <i>Seagraves, Patrick</i>		NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE <i>Landmarks Preservation Commission</i>	
MAILING ADDRESS <i>2500 S. Ocean Blvd. # 2A1</i>		THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF:	
CITY <i>Palm Beach</i>	COUNTY <i>Palm Beach</i>	☐ CITY ☐ COUNTY ☒ OTHER LOCAL AGENCY	
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED <i>October 20, 2021</i>		NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION: <i>Town of Palm Beach</i>	
		MY POSITION IS: ☐ ELECTIVE ☒ APPOINTIVE	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which would inure to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also **MUST ABSTAIN** from knowingly voting on a measure which would inure to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent, subsidiary, or sibling organization of a principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies (CRAs) under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; and

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

Although you must abstain from voting in the situations described above, you are not prohibited by Section 112.3143 from otherwise participating in these matters. However, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes. (Continued on page 2)

APPOINTED OFFICERS (continued)

- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

I, Patrick Segraves, hereby disclose that on October 20, 20 21:

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one or more)

- ☐ inured to my special private gain or loss;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____;
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _____;
- ☒ inured to the special gain or loss of Patrick McGowan, by whom I am retained; or
- ☐ inured to the special gain or loss of _____, which is the parent subsidiary, or sibling organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

HSB-21-001
251 Park Avenue

If disclosure of specific information would violate confidentiality or privilege pursuant to law or rules governing attorneys, a public officer, who is also an attorney, may comply with the disclosure requirements of this section by disclosing the nature of the interest in such a way as to provide the public with notice of the conflict.

10.20.21
Date Filed

Patrick Segraves
Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.