



TOWN OF PALM BEACH

Minutes of the Town Council Meeting
Held on August 13, 2025

CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

The meeting was called to order at 9:30 AM in the Town Council Chambers.

Members Present: Bridget Moran, Ted Cooney, Lewis Crampton, Bobbie Lindsay, Julie Araskog, and Danielle Moore

Staff Present: Town Attorney Joanne O'Connor, Wayne Bergman, James Murphy, and Kelly Churney

INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Town Clerk Churney led the invocation, and Council President Lindsay led the Pledge of Allegiance.

APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

A motion was made by Council Member Araskog and seconded by Council President Pro Tem Crampton to approve the consent agenda as presented. The motion was carried unanimously, 5-0.

1. ZON-25-0040 (HSB-25-0006) 259 QUEENS LANE (COMBO) – SPECIAL EXCEPTION WITH SITE PLAN REVIEW The property owner, Lisa and Simon Van Den Born (Smith Kellogg, Design Professional), has filed an application requesting Town Council review and approval for a Special Exception with Site Plan Review due to the significant renovation (demolition of more than 50% of the building) to re-vest development on the non-conforming lots. The scope of work also includes exterior alterations, including one-story additions, window and door replacement, installation of a new trellis, as well as landscape and hardscape improvements, for this historically significant building. The Landmarks Preservation Commission shall perform design review of the application. [The

Landmark Commission approved the Floodplain Variance. Carried 7-0.] [The Landmark Commission approved this project as presented. Carried 5-2.]

2. ZON-25-0036 (ARC-25-0034) 3300 S OCEAN BLVD (COMBO) - SITE PLAN REVIEW The applicant, Meridian of Palm Beach Condominium (Environment Design Group), has filed an application requesting Town Council approval for multi-family dwellings Site Plan Review for modifications to an entry guard house. The Architectural Commission shall perform design review of the application. *[The Architectural Commission Recommendation: Implementation of the proposed variances will not cause a negative impact on the subject property. Carried 6-0.] [The Architectural Commission approved this project on the Consent Agenda. Carried 6-0.]*

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

A motion was made by Council Member Araskog and seconded by Council President Pro Tem Crampton to defer the appeal of ARC-25-0035, 940 N Lake Way, to the September 10, 2025, meeting. The motion was carried unanimously, 5-0.

A motion was made by Council Member Araskog and seconded by Council Member Moran to approve the amended agenda. The motion was carried unanimously, 5-0.

ADMINISTRATION OF THE OATH

Town Clerk Churney swore in all those intending to speak and continued to do so throughout the meeting, as necessary.

COMMENTS

3. MAYOR AND TOWN COUNCIL MEMBERS

Mayor Moore acknowledged the Planning and Zoning Commission for promptly getting through the quick fixes and getting them to the Town Council. She has received positive feedback from some residents.

Council Member Araskog indicated that a question had been raised at the Planning and Zoning Commission meeting asking why a truck study was initiated. She explained that it was conducted for safety reasons, as large trucks were taking up lanes and creating hazards for residents walking, biking, or driving, and those concerns needed to be addressed.

Council President Lindsay commented that a declaration of use agreement for the Bilboquet Market had been provided at the dais, which would be discussed later in the day.

4. PUBLIC - 3 MINUTE LIMIT

Council President Lindsay called for public comment. No one indicated a desire to speak.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

5. Appeal of ARCOM - ARC-25-0035 940 N LAKE WAY

Please note: This item was deferred to the September 10, 2025, meeting at the Approval of the Agenda.

GENERAL BUSINESS

6. Discussion Regarding Construction Screening Fences 25ft or Higher

Mr. Bergman explained that regulations for construction screening fences were established in 2019 to improve the aesthetics and limit dust and debris from construction sites. Typical screening requirements allow 6–8 feet for one-story projects and 16–18 feet for two-story projects based on line-of-sight concerns, protecting the privacy of neighboring windows and balconies. He noted that the perimeter landscaping shown in plans is often removed early in construction, and screening becomes a particular concern during hurricane season when it must be removed for safety. He invited staff, including Public Works Engineer Craig Hauschild, to assist in the discussion.

Council Member Cooney said his concern was how construction screening fences are dealt with during storm season. He pointed out that some of the fences have become large, and there is no consistency in the types of materials being used. He mentioned that contractors have raised safety and liability concerns since these fences usually aren't engineered or certified, especially when erected on a property line and remain in place for extended periods. While Council Member Cooney believes that screening can be helpful, he questions whether it's always practical, noting significant differences in how they look, how much they cover, and the cost.

Council Member Moran thought the screening materials should be more standardized.

Craig Hauschild, Town Civil Engineer, explained that while construction plans often say perimeter landscaping will stay in place, it's usually removed early so contractors can build walls, raise the site, and start construction. He noted that managing screening is a balancing act, and contractors sometimes create setups such as raising screens on cables so they can be lowered during storms. Screening is usually one of the first things removed before a storm event and the first to be replaced afterward. However, maintaining 90% opacity can be challenging, with damage and wind cuts leading to code enforcement issues. He agreed that standardizing materials would help, as some current options, like artificial vines or decorative coverings, are unattractive. He stressed that while not always aesthetically pleasing, screening protects neighbors from dust and debris, especially when constructing

taller structures adjacent to shorter structures.

Council Member Araskog recalled this concern being reviewed by the ORS Committee. She asked Attorney O'Connor whether standardizing screening materials was an option. Town Attorney O'Connor advised that the construction screening ordinance is relatively new, and standardization of screening materials could be addressed. Mr. Bergman noted that the code prohibits signage, murals, graffiti, and other decorative elements.

Council Member Moran wondered if the 90% opaque screening requirement was too much. Mr. Hauschild said it depends on the materials. Council Member Moran thought that certain materials should be specified. She noted that there could be prompts for the screening requirement. Mr. Hauschild said he would be willing to look into more stringent design methodologies for the screening if the Town Council gave such direction.

Council Member Cooney stated that if the Town Council deferred the item for one month, he would be prepared at the next meeting with more information and pictures to have a more robust discussion about refining standards for the community.

Council President Lindsay noted a nonconforming site next to her home. They had planned to put a wall on their property line with large footers extending into her property, which would have killed her entire hedge. Instead, they agreed to offset the wall 3 feet from her property line and turn the footers away from her property to avoid impacting the roots of her mature hedge. She also advocated standardizing the screening requirements.

Mayor Moore commented that more easements would be reclaimed with the underground project's end.

Council Member Cooney said more walls would come up as easements are reclaimed. He said he would defer to the staff on whether this needed to go to the Planning and Zoning Commission.

Council President Pro Tem Crampton also expressed concerns about the impacts on neighbors when the fence is high and the setbacks are narrow.

Council Member Araskog thought the discussion of the site wall should be taken to the Planning and Zoning Commission. She asked if anything in the code designated where a site wall could be constructed. Mr. Bergman said a site wall may be constructed up to the property line.

There was a consensus of the Town Council to defer the item to September 10, 2025.

Council President called for public comment. No one indicated a desire to speak.

7. Review and Discussion Regarding Zoning Code Items - Quick Fixes #1-7

7.1 Quick Fix #1: Mechanical Equipment

7.2 Quick Fix #2: Nonconforming Lots

7.3 Quick Fix #3: Angle of Vision

7.4 Quick Fix #4: Residential use in Commercial Districts Default to R-C

7.5 Quick Fix #5 Appeals

7.6 Quick Fix #6 Duration of Land Use Approval & Extension of Time

7.7 Quick Fix #7 Floodplain Notice

Assistant Director James Murphy explained that staff had been revisiting long-discussed “quick fixes” to the zoning code as part of the broader code reform effort, starting with seven draft ordinances presented to the Planning and Zoning Commission, which were well-received. The most significant item involves mechanical equipment regulations, including limits on the number of units, creating mechanical yards, and setting consistent screening wall heights, an area where the current code is contradictory. He noted that resolving this was important, as 62 variances for such issues have been granted in the past five years, and addressing them now would be best practice. He presented solutions to the following issues: mechanical equipment, non-conforming lots, angle of vision, residential use in the commercial districts that default to R-C zoning, appeals, duration of land use approval & extension of time, and floodplain notice.

Council Member Moran supported shortening the time for a contractor to file and receive a permit.

Council President Pro Tem Crampton asked about creating a zoning board of appeals. Mr. Murphy said no notion of a board adjustment was included in today's presentation.

Council Member Araskog questioned the rooftop equipment and the addition of ten feet. She felt that generators and mechanical equipment needed additional study.

Mayor Moore asked about rooftop screening for replacement equipment when existing units exist on a rooftop without screening. Mr. Murphy said these types of equipment are already allowed on roofs. The heights are being modified as necessary because the heights of the units have changed. He noted that the proposed changes strengthen the code.

Council Member Araskog supported moving forward with everything except single-family residential. She suggested hearing from an architect would be helpful.

Council President Lindsay called for public comment.

Attorney Maura Ziska thought the changes were practical and supported them.

A motion was made by Council Member Araskog and seconded by Council Member Moran to proceed with all the changes except for mechanical equipment, including generators, on the roofs of single-family homes; that item will be sent back to the Planning and Zoning Commission for discussion. The draft ordinances will be presented at the September 10, 2025, meeting. The motion carried unanimously, 5-0.

8. Proposed Zoning Code Items - Quick Fixes Group 2

Mr. Murphy outlined the next set of proposed zoning code changes that staff plans to present to the Planning and Zoning Commission for discussion. Key topics included creating new incentives for landmarked and historically significant properties, updating open space requirements, requiring canopy shade trees for resiliency, and standardizing regulations across commercial districts. He also plans to clarify and define terms like “awning” and “canopy” to prevent misinterpretation, address an unusual R-D(2) front setback formula, update basement definitions to meet FEMA and building code standards, and refine fill regulations.

Council President Lindsay commented that shade trees have recently been found in Florida to reduce temperatures underneath during the summer months between 12-14 degrees F. She mentioned that Miami-Dade County’s Mayor implemented a plan to plant shade trees adjacent to sidewalks wherever possible. Council President Lindsay thought this should be looked at for private and public property in Palm Beach.

Council Member Araskog supported the proposed fixes. She asked about the code’s allowance for increasing the rear yard by 5 feet; it can be subtracted from the front yard by 5 feet. She noted that doing this creates inconsistent situations on uniform streets. The council agreed to change this in the code.

Council President Pro Tem Crampton noted concerns about how the code impacts the island’s south end. He asked that staff take this concern to the Planning and Zoning Commission, as the ambassador process is vital to the south end and the resulting code. The application can be more creative as new buildings for the ambassador come along.

Council Member Moran was supportive of adding shade trees. She asked about variances on landmarked properties. Mr. Murphy stated that the code allows landmarked properties to have a dimensional waiver. He said some of the variances could potentially be removed, but not the elimination of variances for landmarked properties. Council Member Moran asked if historically significant buildings would be included in that category. She felt they should be included because that is how history is preserved.

A consensus of the Town Council was to allow staff to take the proposed items to the Planning and Zoning Commission for discussion.

**Note: A short break was taken at 10:52 a.m. and the meeting resumed at 10:59 a.m.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

9. ZON-25-0018 (ARC-25-0018) 164 SEMINOLE AVE (COMBO) – VARIANCES
The applicant, JPBK Properties #2, LLC (James G. Held, Manager), has filed an application requesting Town Council review and approval of two (2) variances related to the construction of a new residence and accessory structure, including (1) a variance to exceed maximum angle of vision and (2) a variance to exceed the maximum cubic content ratio permitted. The Architectural Commission (ARCOM) shall perform design review of the application. *[The Architectural Commission Recommendation: Implementation of the proposed variances will not cause a negative impact on the subject property. Carried 5-2.] [The Architectural Commission approved this project with conditions. Carried 7-0.]*

Council Members Araskog, Cooney, Moran, and Council President Lindsay disclosed ex parte communications.

Mr. Murphy provided staff comments and answered questions from the Town Council.

Mayor Moore thought that the angle of vision requirement could be met on this project.

Council Member Araskog agreed with Mayor Moore. She did not think there was a hardship to warrant the variance.

The potential property owner, Rob Frisbie, explained the request and why they requested variances.

Council President Pro Tem Crampton wondered if any of the variances requested by the applicant could be addressed with the quick fixes proposed by staff. Mr. Bergman said the angle of vision still applies to two-story buildings.

Council Member Araskog asked Mr. Bergman if it was true that if the home were moved back three feet, the office would not fit. Mr. Bergman thought that Mr. Frisbie was saying that if he shifted the entire project to the rear, he would not ask for an angle of vision variance but a setback variance. Mr. Frisbie said the office was an essential part of the construction.

Mayor Moore clarified that she meant shrinking the side where the chimney is out of the angle of vision.

Council President Lindsay called for public comment. No one indicated a desire to speak.

Council Member Moran thought Mr. Frisbie's reasoning for not shifting the house was reasonable, so she supported the request.

Council President Pro Tem Crampton agreed with Council Member Moran.

Council President Lindsay agreed with Council President Pro Tem Crampton and Council Member Moran. She said she would prefer to adopt FAR because she does not like CCR, which causes some of these variance requests.

Council Member Cooney supported the request.

A motion was made by Council Member Cooney and seconded by Council President Pro Tem Crampton that Variance No. ZON- 25-0018, 164 Seminole Avenue, shall be granted and find, in support thereof, that all the criteria applicable to this application as set forth in Section 134-201 (a), items 1 through 7, have been met. The motion was carried 4-1, with Council Member Araskog dissenting.

10. ZON-25-0026 (COA-25-0009) 1200 S OCEAN BLVD (COMBO) - SPECIAL EXCEPTION The applicant, Dustin Mizell with Environment Design Group, has filed an application requesting Town Council review and approval for a special exception to allow the construction of a new Padel court. The scope of work includes exterior alterations, landscape, and hardscape modifications for the landmarked property. The Landmarks Preservation Commission shall perform design review of the application. *[The Landmark Commission Recommendation: Implementation of the proposed variances will not cause a negative impact on the subject property. Carried 5-2.] [The Landmark Commission approved this project with conditions to use Option A. Carried 5-2.]*

Mayor Moore disclosed ex parte communications.

Mr. Murphy provided staff comments.

Sean Twomey of Environment Design Group presented the architectural plans for the proposed Padel court.

Council Member Cooney asked about the elevation, illustrating where the court would be. He said the neighbors and the guest house would be the only people impacted by this. He felt the proposed location was fine for the Padel court.

Council President Lindsay called for public comment. No one indicated a desire to speak.

Council President Pro Tem Crampton felt the location was appropriate, as it is adjacent to a tennis complex.

A motion was made by Council Member Cooney and seconded by Council Member

Araskog that Special Exception No. ZON-25-0026, 1200 S. Ocean Blvd., shall be granted based upon the finding that such grant will not adversely affect the public interest and that the applicable criteria set forth in Section 134-229 of the Town Code have been met. The motion was carried 5-0.

11. ZON-25-0030 (ARC-25-0024) 124 BRAZILIAN AVE (COMBO) - VARIANCES
The applicant, Gulf Stream Trust (Maura Ziska Representative, Kirchoff & Associates Architect), has filed an application requesting Town Council review and approval of four (4) variances to (1) reduce required number of garage spaces, (2&3) retain reduced west side yard setbacks at both first and second floors and (4) to reduce the required east side yard first floor setbacks for various additions and alterations. The Architectural Commission (ARCOM) shall perform design review of the application. *This project shall be deferred to the October 15, 2025, Town Council meeting, pending Architectural review.*

Please note: This item was deferred to the October 15, 2025, meeting at the Approval of the Agenda.

NEW BUSINESS

12. ZON-25-0034 (COA-25-0013) 215 EL BRAVO WAY (COMBO) - VARIANCES
The property owner, 215 El Bravo Trust (Maura Ziska, Trustee), has filed an application requesting Town Council review and approval of three (3) variances to: (1) reduce the rear (north) yard setback, (2) reduce the side (west) yard setback, and (3) exceed the maximum lot coverage permitted associated with the reconstruction and extension of the two-story west wing and a second-floor addition to the existing one-story garage. The scope of work also includes exterior alterations, selective demolition, and one-story additions, including window and door replacement, roof replacement, installation of a pergola, as well as new landscape and hardscape improvements, including a new pool for the landmarked property. The Landmarks Preservation Commission shall perform design review of the application. *[The Landmark Commission Recommendation: Implementation of the proposed variances will not cause a negative impact on the subject property. Carried 7-0.] [The Landmark Commission approved the Floodplain Variance. Carried 7-0.] [The Landmark Commission approved this project as presented. Carried 6-1.]*

Council Members Araskog, Cooney, Moran, and Mayor Moore disclosed ex parte communications.

Mr. Murphy provided staff comments.

Attorney Maura Ziska, representing the owner, discussed the hardship and described the requested variances. Jaime Torres Cruz of Fairfax and Sammons presented the architectural plans for the proposed modifications.

Council President Lindsay called for public comment. No one indicated a desire to speak.

A motion was made by Council Member Cooney and seconded by Council Member Moran that Variance No. ZON-25-0034, 215 El Bravo Way shall be granted and find, in support thereof, that all the criteria applicable to this application as set forth in Section 134-201 (a), items 1 through 7, have been met. The motion was carried 5-0.

13. ZON-25-0035 (COA-25-0017) 1221 N LAKE WAY (COMBO) - VARIANCES The property owner, 1221 NLW LLC (Maura Ziska, Authorized Representative), has filed an application requesting Town Council review and approval of two (2) variances to (1) reduce the side (south) yard setback, and (2) increase the distance between the pool water's edge and the pool equipment associated with the construction of a new pool on the south side of the landmarked property. The Landmarks Preservation Commission shall perform design review of the application. *[The Landmark Commission Recommendation: Implementation of the proposed variances will not cause a negative impact on the subject property. Carried 7-0.] [The Landmark Commission approved this project as presented. Carried 7-0.]*

Council Members Cooney, Moran, and Mayor Moore disclosed ex parte communications.

Mr. Murphy provided staff comments and answered questions from the members.

Representing the owner, Attorney Maura Ziska discussed the hardship and described the requested variances.

Council Member Cooney noted that several issues on the property are being improved and fixed. It was confirmed that the astroturf would be replaced with grass.

Council President Lindsay called for public comment. No one indicated a desire to speak.

A motion was made by Council Member Cooney and seconded by Council Member Moran that Variance No. ZON-25-0035, 1221 N. Lake Way, shall be granted and find, in support thereof, that all the criteria applicable to this application as set forth in Section 134-201 (a), items 1 through 7, have been met. The motion was carried 5-0.

14. ZON-25-0037 (ARC-25-0033) 171 EL PUEBLO WAY (COMBO) – VARIANCES The applicants, Kevin Ryan & Carolyn Presly-Ryan, have filed an application requesting Town Council review and approval of two (2) variances pertaining to a deficient pool heater setback from the east property line and to exceed the maximum distance separation permitted between swimming pool equipment and the swimming pool. The Architectural Commission shall perform design review of the application. *[The Architectural Commission Recommendation:*

Implementation of the proposed variances will not cause a negative impact on the subject property. Carried 6-0.] [The Architectural Commission approved this project on the Consent Agenda. Carried 6-0.]

There were no disclosures of ex parte communications.

Mr. Murphy provided staff comments and answered questions from the members.

Representing the owner, Attorney Maura Ziska discussed the hardship and described the requested variances.

Council Member Araskog expressed concern about noise from the pool heater. Mr. Murphy said the pool heater had a different setback, and current specifications indicate that pool heaters are silent.

Council President Lindsay called for public comment. No one indicated a desire to speak.

A motion was made by Council Member Cooney and seconded by Council Member Moran that Variance No. ZON-25-0037, 171 El Pueblo Way, shall be granted and find, in support thereof, that all the criteria applicable to this application as set forth in Section 134-201 (a), items 1 through 7, have been met. The motion was carried 5-0.

15. ZON-25-0038 (COA-25-0021) 360 SEASPRAY AVE (COMBO) - VARIANCES
The applicant, Erik Broberg with SKA Architect + Planner, has filed an application requesting Town Council review and approval of five (5) variances to (1) reduce the front (north) yard setback, (2) reduce the side (east) yard setback, (3) exceed the maximum angle of vision permitted, (4) exceed the maximum lot coverage permitted, and (5) exceed the maximum cubic content ratio (CCR) permitted for a new detached aluminum carport for the landmarked property. The Landmarks Preservation Commission shall perform design review of the application. ***This project shall be deferred to the September 10, 2025, Town Council meeting, pending Landmarks review.***

Please note: This item was deferred to the September 10, 2025, meeting at the Approval of the Agenda.

16. ZON-25-0042 235 SUNRISE AVE PALM BEACH HOTEL, Suite C2 and C17 at 223 SUNRISE AVE—VARIANCE The applicant, Grace Family Trust and Regain MD, has filed an application requesting Town Council review and approval for a Variance to allow an office use (medical) on the first floor of the Palm Beach Hotel in the C-TS zoning district where office use is only allowed above the first floor.

Council President Lindsay disclosed ex parte communications.

Mr. Murphy provided staff comments and answered questions from the members.

Attorney Maura Ziska, representing the owner, provided an overview of the project and introduced Dr. Chris Hodgkins. Dr. Hodgkins presented the project. He stated the practice would be an AI-Powered Regenerative Medicine office, and he explained the services that would be offered.

Council President Lindsay called for public comment. No one indicated a desire to speak.

Council Member Moran expressed concern about the impact on the community of a change in the Palm Beach walking experience. She hesitated to approve the first floor on a street experiencing some revitalization.

Council President Pro Tem Crampton said the location had a diverse collection of businesses. He thought the establishment of a cutting-edge medical facility would appeal to residents.

Mayor Moore acknowledged Council Member Moran's concerns but felt this would be appropriate since there are other first-floor offices in the area.

Council Member Araskog agreed with Council Member Moran. She asked what offices were in the Palm Beach Hotel, and Mr. Murphy responded.

Frederick Grace, partial owner of the building, noted that some of the office spaces in the Palm Beach Hotel were real estate offices.

A motion was made by Council President Pro Tem Crampton that Variance No. ZON-25-0042, 223 Sunrise Avenue, Suite C2 and C1, shall be granted and find, in support thereof, that all the criteria applicable to this application as set forth in Section 134-201 (a), items 1 through 7, have been met. The motion failed for the lack of a second.

A motion was made by Council member Cooney and seconded by Council Member Araskog that Variance No. ZON-25-0042, 223 Sunrise Avenue, Suite C2 and C17, be denied based on the reason that the application does not meet the criteria as set forth in Section 134-201 (a), items 1 through 7. The motion was carried 4-1, with Council President Pro Tem Crampton dissenting.

17. ZON-25-0043 353 PERUVIAN AVE—SPECIAL EXCEPTION WITH SITE PLAN REVIEW AND VARIANCE The applicant, Jay and Letty Biggens, have filed an application requesting Town Council review and approval for a (1) Special Exception with Site Plan Review for a commercial space that contains square footage greater than 3,000 SF in the C-TS district and/or (2) Special Exception

with Site Plan Review for outdoor furniture "For Sale" by the applicant's business only and not to be associated with the previously approved gourmet market that is in the same commercial plaza. Additionally, the applicant is requesting one variance to reduce the required parking for the total open-air merchandise display areas.

There was no disclosure of ex parte communications.

Mr. Murphy provided staff comments and answered questions from the members.

Attorney Maura Ziska, representing the owner, provided an overview of the project and introduced Letty Biggens. Ms. Ziska did note that there is currently no furniture in the courtyard. They would like to put furniture for sale in the courtyard to make the area more interesting. Ms. Biggens shared her vision for the business, which is to showcase outdoor furniture. Jay Biggens, co-owner, added that the idea was to attract customers.

Council Member Araskog asked why the furniture was placed in the elements before the store opened. Ms. Biggens responded that it was outdoor furniture with some protection by umbrellas. Council Member Araskog asked about efforts to renovate the area that was supposed to be renovated. Mr. Biggens said the building has a brand new roof.

Council President Lindsay called for public comment. No one indicated a desire to speak.

Council Member Cooney noted that this building sat empty for an extended time, and it is nice to have an occupant. He also did not have any issue with seating in the courtyard.

Council Member Araskog had an issue with the placement of furniture before approval. She suggested deferring the item until the market project is finished. She also felt the Landmarks Preservation Commission needed to review it.

Mayor Moore agreed with Council Member Araskog.

Council President Lindsay expressed concerns and agreed with her fellow council members. She supported deferring the request.

A motion was made by Council Member Araskog and seconded by Council Member Moran that Special Exception and Site Plan Review No. ZON-25-0043, 353 Peruvian Avenue, will be deferred indefinitely. The motion was carried 5-0.

ANY OTHER BUSINESS

There was no other business discussed at this time.

ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Council Member Araskog and seconded by Council Member Cooney to adjourn the meeting at 1:11 PM. The motion was carried unanimously, 5-0.

APPROVED:



Bobbie D. Lindsay, Town Council President

APPROVED:


Kelly Churney, CMC, Town Clerk
Date: 9/9/25